



**Western  
Bay of Plenty**  
District Council



# **Representation Review 2024**

Initial Proposal  
Consultation

Submission

Summary Report

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

Topic	Issue	Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Do you agree with the proposal to reduce the number of general ward councillors from 11 to 8?	Comments
RRW24-01: Reducing The Number of Councillors	01: Support Proposal	5	Sammy Paige	Yes	<p>I believe reducing the numbers of councillors and providing a larger remuneration pool will attract a much better selection of candidates/Councillors rather than the retirees we constantly see.</p> <p>I would hope that the larger remuneration pool would also be shared with community boards so we can see better quality community advocates on them (if they are kept, which personally I hope they are not).</p> <p>I also believe it will see a fairer representation of the interests of the entire district rather than Councillors protecting their turf and only advocating for their area.</p>
		6	Alison Henderson (Alie)	Yes	The arguments presented in the proposal make good sense.
		14	Martin Baker	Yes	<p>Councils are far too big.</p> <p>Look at your standard corporate board, they're all 8-10 members maximum.</p> <p>Will also mean that lazy Councillors will no longer get away with not fronting to their communities.</p>
		19	David Marshall	Yes	Sensible saving while maintaining representation.
		36	Buddy Mikaere	Yes	Hopefully will lead to a more cohesive Council. The divergent views of Councillors in the same ward are a distraction - one would expect them to have a discussion and reach a consensus otherwise we are let with personal views which are not necessarily in the interests of the ward as a whole.

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

		37	Jodi Hurford	Yes	Drop some councillors and keep our rates affordable Love to see some Waihi Beach infrastructure
		38	Wayne Koedyk	Yes	Quicker and more effective decision making with a smaller number of councillors without any loss of quality of decision. More meaningful roles possible for each councillor, so hopefully able to attract proven high performing capable candidates for councillors at election time.
		58	Phillip Hapi Smith	Yes	Unless they each have a specific role or portfolio, then I see no reason why the numbers should not be reduced
		70	Nicole Royackers	Yes	I understand that reducing the number of general ward councillors will be more efficient, but I hope the benefits from the efficiency flows through to community.
		74	Geoffrey Harper	Yes	Over represented
		76	Liz Meyers	Yes	Better to have a few committed councilors who the public can get to know.
		82	Jeffrey Dougal	Yes	I the the proposal is sound as it creates a better representation.
		95	Peter Musk	Yes	Reduce overheads & less wrangling to get consensus
		98	Maxine Wallis	Yes	Makes sense; improved focus on important matters relevant to each councillor, economy of scale
		101	Melanie Longdill	Yes	Unnecessary expense to have so many
		106	Ian Stevens	Yes	T,here to many
		107	Andrea Wylie	Yes	Too many voices at the table. Reduce number of councillors
		114	Keith Davidson	Yes	If is too many. It will be much easier to develop consensus with a smaller governance board
		115	Shane Valois	Yes	Yes we don't need to be paying too many people. Reducing the numbers is great.

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

				Too many voices=too many opinions=too little action. Another cost not needed for council. Make the 8 voices the right ones for the job - not because of who they know, but because they are genuine community passionate people.
121	Barbara Atkins	Yes		
133	Gregg Newton	Yes		Would go further than that to be honest
136	Leigh Neilson	Yes		Reduces cost in line with belt tightening by central Govt.
174	Reweti Kuka	Yes		Reductions allow for a defined group of councillors, too many council members is often more like minded "individual" councillors.
193	Mike Bayliss	Yes		Less people better decisions
211	Ian Larsen	Yes		Too many politicians with too many vested interests. At least with 8 we will be able to work out the corrupt ones
212	Andrew Hodgson	Yes		I agree with the proposal to reduce the number of general ward councillors from 11 to 8.
227	Betty Robson	Yes		Does it still retain a Māori councilor on the board? If so, I agree.
229	Sally Bower	Yes		I think there are enough people to cover the areas with numbers dropping to 8.
232	Gabrielle Syminton	Yes		Eight can do the same job as Eleven.
238	John Allen	Yes		Yes I think we should reduce the number of councillors
241	Maureen Jacob	Yes		I'm happy with the reduction of councillors
281	Andrea Laison	Yes		Easier to reach a consensus.
305	Sandra Potaka	Yes		Effective governance relies on the mix of skills, knowledge, expertise and experience. 8 members is going to be as effective
311	Gavin Harris	Yes		Efficiency makkes sense so long as representation proportionality is preserved.
314	Dietmar Vogel	No		Reduction " yes "
326	Darlene Dinsdale	Yes		To enable the establishment of Māori wards, totally agree. However, this would create a larger Council
338	Peter Stockwell	Yes		I am in favour of minimising the size of local body authorities provided there is still fair representation for all people within the constituency.

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

		58	Phillip Hapi Smith	
				If 8 can do the same amount of work as 11 then yes reduce the numbers. If 11 have specific roles that are vital in the decision making then leave it as is.
		346	Julian Fitter	Yes
				I do not like to see a reducyion in councillors as thatvreduces representation, but I can see the issue caused by creating a new Maori wards. However the pop]ulation per Councillor in Katikati/Waihi Ward is well out of line with the Maketu/Te Puke Ward figure - it should be possible to adjust the boundaries to get a more equal distribution of councillors per population.
		354	Garry Webber	Yes
				To achieve that end I am firmly of the opinion that for the next and all future elections we need;1 Mayour, 4 Wards - with 2 Councillors for the Katikati/Waihi Beach ward, 3 Councillors for the Kaimai ward, 3 Councillors for the Te Puke/Maketu ward and 1 Councillor for a District wide Maori Ward,
		373	Allan Dawson	Yes
				Provided councillors are representative of their ward then a smaller number will be more efficient ( get more work done for any given cost) and be more directly accountable to rate payers.
		376	Megan Jowsey	Yes
				I agree with the overall concept of decreasing the number of councillors
		380	Terangi Bartlett	Yes
				Very much support the reduction of councillors.
		381	Pania Wilson	Yes
				Because this will allow potentially two Māori Ward seats for the Western Bay of Plenty District Council.
		393	Tim Dunn	Yes
				I believe 8 councillors should be sufficient for the WBOP.

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

		407	Alison Cowley	Yes	Yes I understand that the solution to having proportional Māori representation by having a Māori Ward means that the balance is achieved by reducing overall councillor numbers. I would hope that fewer councillors will lead to reasonable remuneration and therefore attract a good calibre of business and community experienced Councillors
		413	Maketu Community Board (Donna Walters)	Yes	basis of a more consistent community number per councillor makes sense with the gain of less cost to the ratepayers
		415	Tippany Hopping	Yes	Basis is rational. Further reviews should be made to make Ward Councillors a full time role and remove Community Boards. Set clear expectations of the Ward Councillors to still keep locality & connectivity to smaller hubs / rural areas. Therefore potentially even less Councillors required again, eg each Councillor spends a day in each hub, with monthly forums to keep connected / on top of issues.
		436	Alan Goodyear		I agree with the proposal to reduce the number of councillors to ten and to have one councilor representing a Maori
		446	Jesse Archer	Yes	The councillors need to be better remunerated
		456	Maxine Woodhall	Don't know	Reduction in rem if no community boards Likes that councillors have the same number of people to represent. Conversation about timing of Māori ward/Representation Review.
		461	Nicola Austin	Yes	Support reduction in councillors
		470	Rawiri Bhana	Yes	More efficient and promotes a better distribution of democracy
		480	Kalleisha Wade	Yes	top heavy
		482	Karen Aspey	Yes	I agree with this reduction so that a Maori ward can be created to have more equitable representation at the
		483	Paula Gaelic	Yes	If it means having a Māori ward
		490	Te Puna Heartlands		Te Puna Heartland Incorporated endorses the proposal to alter the numbers of representatives for the Kaimai Ward from 4 to 3

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

	505	Dale Snell	Yes	providing he elected councillors are happy to sit on two different community boards.
	529	John Buchanan	Yes	I agree with the proposal to reduce the number of councillors to ten and to have one councilor representing a
	537	Maureen & Jean Gauvin		Can see in smaller numbers in terms of running meetings and conversation/discussion
	543	Mabel Wharekawa -Burt		Support reduction in councillors
	562	Willie and Judy Tapsell		One Māori ward Councillor can cope with the representation across the District.The big kaupapa this time is about Māori wards.

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

Topic	Issue	Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Do you agree with the proposal to reduce the number of general ward councillors from 11 to 8?
RRW24-01: Reducing The Number of Councillors	01: Support Proposal	2	Raewyn Bennett	Yes
		4	Ross Goudie	Yes
		13	Barbara Hickman	Yes
		17	Susan Lean	Yes
		22	Shane Max	Yes
		24	Catherine Rolleston	Yes
		26	Jodie Houltram	Yes
		30	Tom Hansen	Yes
		31	Colin Pollitt	Yes
		33	Joanne Wiggett	Yes
		34	Todd Mortensen	Yes
		42	Kassandra Steel	Yes
		45	Simon Neylon	Yes
		47	Pauline Westhead	Yes
		48	Chris Larking	Yes
		49	Eric Kolver	Yes
		51	Michael Cooper	Yes
		52	Peter Ellingham	Yes
		53	Nathan Candy	Yes
		55	Karen Smillie	Yes
		56	Amy Edwards	Yes
		57	Amanda Phillips	Yes
		59	Toni Fraser	Yes
		61	Tony Nicholls	Yes
		64	John Topping	Yes
		68	Martin Jessop	Yes
		69	Caleb Evans	Yes
		71	Greg Ward	Yes
		72	Indra Pokhrel	Yes
		73	G Mitchell	Yes
75	Beverly McCormick	Yes		
78	William James Lochhead	Yes		
83	Stephen Steddy	Yes		
84	Christine Pelosi	Yes		
86	Rebecca James	Yes		



## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

87	Ross Simmonds	Yes
89	Peter McCormick	Yes
93	Chris and Sue Bedford	Yes
97	Ron Mcdiarmid	Yes
100	Steven Stark	Yes
103	Susanne Rolfe	Yes
104	Owen Allsop	Yes
105	Wayne Merriman	Yes
109	Peter Pooran	Yes
110	Mark Copeland	Yes
112	Graeme Dick	Yes
117	Camilla Grimbeek	Yes
118	Maru Rinui	Yes
119	Wayne McIndoe	Yes
123	Gavin Stansfield	Yes
130	Peter Griffiths	Yes
9	Vincent Beckett	Yes
137	Wayne O'Driscoll	Yes
139	William Kehoe	Yes
141	Raewyn Turner	Yes
142	Richard	Yes
143	Tara Kehoe	Yes
144	P. McKenzie	Yes
145	Tim Reehal	Yes
146	Iain Haggarty	Yes
147	George Clemens	Yes
150	Denis Henderson	Yes
158	Werner Schefer	Yes
159	M Stevens	Yes
160	Leanne Robinson	Yes
162	Maree Voorend	Yes
163	Peter Bullock	Yes
165	Lynda Frew	Yes
167	Phillip Martelli	Yes
169	Hope	Yes
172	Paretiaki Burt	Yes
175	Gia Nelson	Yes
177	Hautapu Baker	Yes

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

180	Jo Cameron	Yes
181	Dean	Yes
186	Reremoana Nepia	Yes
195	Nicola Reid	Yes
197	Wayne Warin	Yes
200	Linda Marsh	Yes
201	Glenn Burt	Yes
205	Blanche McMath	Yes
206	Chris Sutton	Yes
207	Sara Thorburn	Yes
213	Clare Neven	Yes
214	Michelle Jordan-Tong	Yes
215	Debbie Oakley	Yes
216	Sharlene Rumney	Yes
219	Doug Moore	Yes
220	Kevin Christie	Yes
224	Margaret Ross	Yes
228	Robyn McLennan	Yes
231	Peter Arnold	Yes
236	Aaron Hokopaura	Yes
237	Jim Thomas	Yes
239	Annette Assen	Yes
240	Colin Chase	Yes
244	Greg Lewis	Yes
245	Steve Allan	Yes
248	Nathan Sanderson	Yes
249	Kahurangi Ake-Johnson	Yes
251	Geoff & Ali Rice	Yes
257	Nats	Yes
263	Meremaihi Aloua	Yes
264	Ruiha Whare	Yes
266	Roberta Marsh	Yes
268	Owen taki Williams	Yes
273	Phillip kahotea	Yes
278	Mary Brockett	Yes
282	Donna Gardiner	Yes
283	Lyn Smith	Yes
284	Toni Heke-Ririnui	Yes

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

286	Hope Bidois	Yes
293	Marama Walker	Yes
299	Mere-Tu-Ahiahi Horopapera	Yes
301	Tim Donaldson	Yes
303	Scott Campbell	Yes
304	Malcolm Robertson	Yes
308	Dennis Johns	Yes
316	Ngatuputupu Potene	Yes
318	Teena Dinsdale	Yes
327	Apina Rodger	Yes
329	Maurice Morehu Ngatoko	Yes
333	Gavin Motu	Yes
335	Judith and Peter Malcolm	Yes
341	Liz Farrell	Yes
348	Kalim Karaka	Yes
369	Gwynnith Cumming	Yes
374	Paul Yeo	Yes
375	Hilton Paul	Yes
377	Dennis Madden	Yes
379	Daniel Fuller	Yes
383	Patricia Marion Haine	Yes
390	Elva Conroy	Yes
396	Marlene Samuels	Yes
404	Eoin Ritchie	Yes
406	Pia Osborne	Yes
409	Toni Connelly	Yes
417	Tracy Harris	Yes
421	Roxanne Bunker	Yes
422	Nathan Bunker	Yes
427	Marie Bourke	Yes
428	Charles Tuapawa Jnr	Yes
430	Russell Dons	Yes
439	Nathan Olsen	Yes
442	Blair Johnstone	Yes
450	Stephen Colson	Yes
451	Ian Goodger	Yes
452	Jacqui Knight	Yes
453	Noel Fisher	Yes

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

	454	Rob Pakes	Yes
	455	Felia Faber	Yes
	458	Martyn Woodhall	Yes
	465	Colin Graham	Yes
	466	Natalie Mankelow	Yes
	469	Catherine Stringer	Yes
	484	Heather Cleaver	Yes
	498	Nessie Ani Hinetai Kuka	Yes
	499	Te Puke Community Board	Yes
	500	Shantelle Mahia	Yes
	501	Sara Broadhurst	Yes
	504	Michael Jones	Yes
	521	Mike Hickey	Yes
	526	John Bell	Yes

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

Topic	Issue	Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Do you agree with the proposal to reduce the number of general ward councillors from 11 to 8?	Comments
RRW24-01: Reducing The Number of Councillors	02: Oppose Proposal	7	Craig Darrall	No	Reducing elected councillors means less scrutiny of decisions made by faceless, non elected bureaucrats. This is a terrible idea and an attack on the democratic principles that define this country.
		11	Dr Jean-Paul Thull	No	Living in the Kaimai ward, I understand the geographic area being huge, making it difficult for a reduced number of councillors to continue the amazing job they are doing. It is important to say that the councillors turn up at numerous events, at all times of day and built up trust with the community in the area they focus on. It is obvious that the community wishes to also stay with the current number to ensure they are well represented by % of votes. We are all happy to contribute to the costs of our councillors as we see real value in their representation and most of them act like Mayor Wayne Brown, something the Kaimai ward community supports.
		16	Andy Earl	No	Not for our region. Kati Kati only has 2 as it stands, currently?!
		27	Kevin Searle	No	Katikati is growing, so we need more, not less, representation.
		32	Sandra Partington	No	With the increasing population, I think it's important to maintain the current level of councillors to ensure they have the time and resources to respond to ratepayers concerns.

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

				If we retain the Māori ward then this reduction in overall numbers will artificially increase the influence of a non elected member.
35	Jared Lee	No		
41	Brett Waterhouse	No		Many different minds to approach any task is a win. Less is not going to assist any decisions.
43	Simon	No		Less councillors mean less representation
46	Lindsay Horne	No		Leave as is
50	Beat Wisler	No		The number of Councillors must stay the same as currently is the case.
60	Susan Padgett	No		11 people gives the chance of more of a community cross section.
63	Gregory Padgett	Yes		Why reduce numbers. More people make better decisions
65	Peter Smith	No		I see no need to reduce representation when our population is expanding.
77	Steve Harvey	No		I think we need to keep current numbers to allow for representation from all areas of WBOPDC, very different areas and demographics to have a voice.
79	Craig Farndale	No		The greater the number the more likely we won't end up with decisions that favour one race, bloodline or ancestry. It'll also stop decisions being made that favour one side of the political spectrum.
85	Gaynor Coldicutt	No		Too much power concentrated on a few, not representative across the wide region
96	Roger Goodman	No		With an increasing population of younger demographic, reducing the number of Councillors is counter intuitive.
127	Mike	No		The population is growing so if anything the number of representatives should be increasing in line with this.
138	Diane Crisp	No		Waihi Beach could lose representation due to the higher population of Katikati.
140	Leon Scheepers	No		reducing ward councillors will reduce coverage of the wards

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

				Seems to be a very disingenuous question. You would have us agree to a reduction in councillors which would be good, yet you have changed one of the councillors to be a Māori ward councillor.
152	Aaron Drayson	No		
161	Chris Parkinson	No		Western Bay of Plenty is a long narrow area meaning some councillors already have to travel considerable
164	Mike Woodrow	No		I'm afraid this will be used to get rid of councillors that don't see eye to eye with the Mayor
194	Colin Hewens	No		It's going to make the work load per councillor heavier.
204	Angela Gill	No		Better to get more perspectives for a more varied view. Also an odd number always will be better when voting.
209	Richard Logan	No		It's already abundantly clear that the current 11 Councillors are unable to fulfil their obligations in terms of knowing Bay of Plenty residents and voters views on key critical matters. Your own Councillors openly criticise Council's failure to engage and consult with the community. That's a breach of almost every clause in Section 3 of the Code of Conduct every Councillor signed. Reducing the number of Councillors will only make it worse.
8	Peter Turner	No		It is best the way it presently
230	Matthew Hattie	No		This would be a further erosion of democracy.
243	Esther Williams	No		I think you need more people in there to keep it honest- more people is more democracy
253	Jason ake	No		Local voices are critical component of all local civics. We probably need diversity that reflects our community
259	Tahlia Rikihana	No		All areas need representation
272	Donna-Marie Parata	No		More diverse voices the better. The more Māori voices then even better!

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

		276	Robert	No	Well, do more voices mean better outcomes, or would fewer voices mean faster outcomes? Interesting one, if it saves us rate payers money by having less councillors to support maybe. But I do like having more voices at the table.
		300	TeAroha Rahui	No	Why should you reduce the seats
		312	Judy Treloar	No	With the increasing population and governance requirements of our area, I believe a reduction in Councillors will bring more workload and less efficiencies and more oversights, along with not representing the ratepayers sufficiently
		324	Whareangiangi Pita	No	I believe there are merits in having the diversity of numbers around any decision making table.
		330	Kura Martin	Don't know	•The number of Councillors should remain the same.
		334	David Ratima Trust ceo-Agent	No	We need more people power



## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

				Happy with the status quo, change not required, a large area currently represented by the right number of GENERAL ward councillors. Change not required - current number of GENERAL ward councillors is accurate for the size of this district, all councillors should be elected/selected based on merit.
337	Grant William Jeffcote	No		
343	Te Uru Tarewa Irwin	No		The more views the better, more voices heard
356	Lee Weatherley	No		Counterintuitive to have less councillors representing more people. Māori ward and general ward councillors are not mutually exclusive.
368	Heather Guphill	No		I believe all wards should have 3 Councilors, so am happy with 9 in the General Ward, 1 Maori Ward and 1 Mayor
370	Hamuera Kohu	No		many voices bring many perspectives.
384	Karen Whatuira	No		Our city needs a diverse representation
385	Lynne Winter	No		No Māori specific seat and keep same number of councillors. We are growing population and need coverage of councillors. Please leave the number of councillors same as at present
399	Hannah Stewart	No		The more people representing our community the better. It gives a broader range of personalities and strengths to make decisions.
402	Anne Roberts	No		current number is good, big area population increasing and diverse

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

		405	Peter Richard Dudfield	No	The existing 3 general ward councilors for Katikati/Waihi Beach already makes representation for Waihi Beach/Bowentown problematic. The number of councillors should be increased not decreased
		410	Tony Cook	No	Keep the same number of Councillors
		419	Mike Rose	No	Reducing the number of councillors will ensure Waihi Beach is even less well represented than it is now. With only two councillors for Katikati and Waihi Beach, and with Katikati voters greatly out-numbering those from Waihi Beach, it is almost completely inevitable that both councillors will be from Katikati. While the two areas are close in distance, they are completely different communities and what suits one often does not suit the other. This leaves Waihi Beach residents likely to fall into a totally unjust (very high) taxation but representation situation.
		423	Cherry Clements	No	Western Bay is a large area to cover. Less councillors will mean they will have more work to do to keep on top of council proceedings and keep the community informed.
		424	John Dallimore	No	No adequate reason has been given. It is subjugation democratic representation. If it is a being done to appease the appointment of Maori ward representation this is an undemocratic suggestion from Council. Afterall, Council is there to serve the residents.
		426	Julie Te Amo	No	I think the more to help with mahi the better
		429	Te Rangī Rae	No	Less people isn't better representation
		431	Lynne Jamieson	No	The number of councillors should be retained as 6.
		432	Smith	No	Keep same number of Councillors
		433	David Cummins	No	I respectfully submit that the current number of Councillors is adequate

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

				The Local Government Act 2002 Section 42 clarifies that the CEO is there to implement the decision of the local authority (the Council) To do this the Council must be strong, with elected members to stand up to any CEO who thinks he is the kingpin. He is an employee to do and organise the business of the Council. I am diametrically opposed to any reduction in the number of councillors.
437	W. B. P. Martin	No		
443	Katch Katikati	No		We feel that it is good to balance out the numbers of residents across the councillors.
445	Paul Nikora	No		This question needs to be in context with what happens in total
447	Roger Course	No		Sounds like they have enough to to do as it is.
448	Patrick Donohue	No		No, the more the better, will end up with a more one sided Woke council than we all ready have
456	Maxine Woodhall	Don't know		Not if the reduction automatically means that a Māori ward will be added
457	Jill Dell	No		Small communities will miss out representation
459	Robert Hulme	No		<p>Accessible representation is important to me and as population inevitably increases it's likely that more councillors will be needed, not less.</p> <p>Availability of councilors to speak with ratepayers is the foundation of the democratic process.</p>
462	Lisa Cooper	Don't know		Not really ok with reduced number. Unless the councilor you are cutting is <span style="background-color: black; color: black;">[REDACTED]</span> does not add value. There is no room for racism.
468	Roana Bennett	No		We have alarge region with a diverse population, and we need good representation with all voices at the table.
473	K Martin	Don't know		decrease only if its going to decrease the amount of rates we pay, otherwise keep at 11

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

		491	Katikati Community Board		There are significant identifiable changes which will require a great deal of governance and skills including Water DoneWell, integrating water resources with Tauranga and setting up a CCO, followed potentially with integration with TCC due to revenue loss, Māori ward and the referendum let alone implementing the Long Term Plan and other issues. Councillors already express that they have a heavy workload, reducing the numbers increases the load. The Community Board believes that Council should stay with 11 Councillors + 1 Māori ward + Mayor until this is resolved over the next 3-6 years.
		493	Katikati - Waihi Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association		We are extremely concerned that if Katikati – Waihi Beach Ward has only two councillors there is a very real possibility that Waihi Beach will be left without a resident councillor. For the last two weeks and for many other weeks of the year Waihi Beach is probably the biggest centre of population in the Western Bay. •The Council pre-engagement survey showed that more people wanted more Councillors
		497	Jackie Wenham	No	No we don't want the number of representatives reduced. We live in Waihi Beach & will effectively lose representation if numbers are reduced. We recently brought a proposal up at the Waihi Beach Community board meeting about an issue in our area. One of our Council representatives Sally Henry who is from Katikati did not even know of the area that we were referring to. So how can she adequately represent us?

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

				<p>Despite the feedback ("have your say") that WBOP District Council may receive on this question, the decision seems to be a foregone conclusion to me i.e. the introduction by Council of a separate Maori Ward means the 'representation' maths does not work unless the number of general ward councillors is reduced to the proposed number. To my mind that is neither responsible governance, nor a good reason for change.</p> <p>In addition, it seems counter intuitive to be proposing a reduction in councillors when, and I quote, "population estimates show nearly 12,000 newcomers to the Western Bay" * since the last representation review in 2018.</p> <p>Unless ward councillors have had the enviable privilege of considerable time on their hands until now, it does not make sense that fewer councillors will be required to represent a larger number of people</p> <p>(* Representation Review Initial Proposal 2024: Frequently Asked Questions)</p>	
		356	Lee Weatherley	No	
		508	Larissa Pool	No	We want to retain a voice and representative at Waihi Beach
		510	Alisha		I am concerned that the reduced number of Councillors will reduce the diversity of votes, ultimately leading to some communities not being fairly represented.
		515	Keith Hay		We are extremely concerned that if Katikati – Waihi Beach Ward has only two councillors there is a very real possibility that Waihi Beach will be left without a resident councillor. For the last two weeks and for many other weeks of the year Waihi Beach is probably the biggest centre of population in the Western Bay.
		518	Bruce Brown	No	Being on Council involves considerable time and work and this needs to be shared between all councillors

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

					I do not agree with reducing the numbers of Councilors and I fail to see the need for that. Perhaps it is to reduce costs but the best way to do that would be to cut back on the Mayors salary and make it a part time position to chair meetings and open new bowling club greens.
		519	Ivan Curwood	No	
		523	M. J. Matheson	No	Definitely no race based wards. If it is deemed necessary to have race based wards then have them for each and every ethnicity in the Western Bay - number of representatives based on or as equivalent to their numbers in the region. Why not have a separate ward/representative for the elderly + every other splinter group.
		524	Graeme D Mankelow	No	8 is to small a coverage
		525	Norman F Mayo	No	No justification for this from a democracy point of view - one must wonder who proposed this!! I question the logic!

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

Topic	Issue	Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Do you agree with the proposal to reduce the number of general ward councillors from 11 to 8?
RRW24-01: Reducing The Number of Councillors	02: Oppose Proposal	1	Mark Hume	No
		18	Kathleen Jones	No
		20	Vanessa Ewart	No
		44	John Clements	No
		66	Grant Webber	No
		67	Clayton Hall	No
		90	Peter Butler	No
		91	Marian Jones	No
		111	Alan Richard Kurtovich	No
		120	Cecilia Burgess	No
		148	Philip Laurence	No
		166	Rob Hawker	No
		170	Summer Heke	No
		179	Tash Tukaokao	No
		184	Braden Strahan	No
		198	Titihuia Ngata	No
		210	Dallas Tauwi	No
		234	June Sobye	No
		246	Kelly Tate	No
		247	Rachael Coll	No
		252	Julian Rolleston	No
		255	Sophie Biel	No
		261	Marama dickson	No
		274	Ellause Rolleston	No
		279	Te Pae Tamihana	No
		280	Pikitia Hennings	No
		291	Carley Hennings	No
		296	Hinenui Cooper	No
		313	Richard Shore	No
		319	Nga Pikitia Hennings	No
		322	Anne	No
		328	Rachael	No
		332	Mereana Te Pere	No
		336	Leslie brumby	No
		340	Bruce and Susan Hamblyn	No
342	Rosina Tuhakaraina	No		
350	Kelly	No		
351	Don Wallis	No		

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

	355	Shannon	No
	357	Phil Shields	No
	358	Lynette Shields	No
	371	Marata Paama	No
	389	Donald Carter	No
	398	Monikoura McMillan	No
	403	Hinemotu Douglas	No
	414	Grant Cowles	No
	416	Janene Cowles	No
	420	Mark Smith	No
	425	Mokoera Te Amo	No
	449	Kristy	No
	463	Ashleigh Spencrr	No
	464	Tracy Brown	No
	471	Jennifer Lowes	No
	472	Billie Jean Potaka Ayton	No
	475	Judy Tapsell	No
	478	Ange Dinsdale	No
	494	Henry Kruger	No
	503	Patricia Hay	No
	520	Grant Deed	No
	528	Maurice Fletcher	No
	565	Joan Dugmore	No



## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

Topic	Issue	Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Do you agree with reducing the number of councillors from 11 to 8?	Summary
RRW24-01: Reducing The Number of Councillors	03: Other Comments About the Number of Councillors	12	Grand Parker	Yes	The costs of democracy must be controlled and managed appropriately. Current rates are unsustainable on rate payers so council must address the costs of excessive governance and management costs. Council must focus on doing more with less rather than doing less with more by focussing on core services and reducing unnecessary waste & address ever growing staffing level and personnel costs
		21	Brooke Jones	Yes	I don't see any positive action coming from having the number of council members now reflective of the rates paid on WBOP
		23	Blaise Williams	Yes	Diversity - is it represented by less councillors?
		25	Maraea Kiel	No	NOT IF THIS IS ABOUT REDUCING THE NUMBER OF MAORI WARD REPRESENTATIVES. If this question is referring to reducing Maori Representation ,then this is terribly misleading ,and a cunning way to get this passed..How very sad!!!!
		62	Julie Cole	Yes	Won't it be 9 general ward councillors with the Māori Ward Councillor added in? Aren't we one people. Will there be a Hindu Councillor representing the people? etc. Would be great if you could stop the separatism. Can we have a vote on this?
		80	Hone Allen	No	should be reduced by the abolition of maori wards.
		81	Peter Longdill	No	Retain local representation for Waihi beach. It is a different community to Katikati with different concerns and issues. Do not aggregate Waihi beach with katikati.
		96	Roger Goodman	No	add another Councilor. Too much territory for 3.
		122	Andrew Nippierd	No	Personally I think that whatever the outcome of this survey it will make no difference. The WBOP council have already made their decision and this survey is simply a way of whitewashing the results.
		140	Leon Scheepers	No	If less councillors, voters need to be more picky about who they vote for

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

176	Carol Parsons	Don't know	I would need more information: How many people would be represented? How many people will be represented by the Maori Representative? What type of property does the Majority of the population have within each ward ?
183	Terry Griffiths	Yes	I think 6 would be ample
188	Don Kerr	Yes	A medium size company typically has 4-8 directors so that's what WBOPDC should have.
199	Toni Strahan	No	Reduction of numbers is fine, but not if a Maori Ward is to be introduced
226	Terri (Therese) McClintock	Yes	What are they achieving now??
235	John Bourke	Yes	We need quality councillors so I would suggest that the total remuneration pool remains the same but applied to 8 rather than 11. This should encourage representation from better qualified individuals.
258	Rangitawhai Rahiri	No	My whare will sit out in no-where land with these new proposals.....
262	Suaree Borell	Don't know	Depends on other changes that could be made. Need more info on the trade off - have reviewed the presentation but not sure of my final option. Need to look at ways to reduce workload for Councillors and staff. Should be direct consultation with those directly affected.
265	Tama K	Don't know	Usually odd numbers guarantee an outcome for or against
267	Sharon McLeod	Don't know	I need to understand the reasons for reduction why/why not in depth.
270	Gloria Stockdale	Yes	Most councils are top heavy with Staff.
298	Oriwa Lovett	Don't know	Is this a cost saving exercise or is there not enough mahi for the councillors
317	Angela Moore	No	What are the reasonings behind this proposed change? Why is the council not providing this info? I would agree with the reduction of councillors if the reasoning is to cut spending e.g. salaries to prevent rates rises
323	Kelly Kuka	Yes	Will a reduction in staff mean reduction in costs?

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

372	Julia Wharton	Don't know	Why are you doing this? No reasons been given.
373	Allan Dawson	Yes	How do you see reduced number of councillors representing at community boards. Need to have quality councillors and quality relationships between the executives and council
382	Antonio Apaapa	Don't know	Tautoko for the rights of my ancestral rights
401	Wayne Stevenson	Yes	What if there was more people in the Katikati area - would that mean more councillors for KK?
408	Cheryl Toma	Don't know	Need more local & Maori representation
424	John Dallimore	No	Was the reduction in councillors numbers driven by Wellington?
476	Arapo Whata	Don't know	Whichever includes the māori ward councillor, then i'd be happy.
491	Katikati Community Board		<p>2. If the Katikati Waihi Beach Ward is to lose a councillor, the electorate is biased toward Katikati and Waihi Beach could miss out. Electoral numbers indicate historically it is roughly a 3:1 bias toward Katikati highlights the issue.</p> <p>3. Given 1 above the issue of equal numbers of representation needs to be reconsidered as this whole debate is short term in light of the foreseeable changes.</p>
492	Waihi Beach Community Board		This is direct consequence of having a Māori Ward to achieve ward populations and to be within the +/-105 rule. For Waihi Beach, this could have a direct effect of having no elected person living in our community. We will need two strong Community Boards covering the whole area.
505	Dale Snell	Yes	Why would 16 councillors be too many? Could stick with status quo + Maori Ward
525	Norman F Mayo	No	Would like to have 1 councillor just for Waihi Beach
530	Roel Kouzman		Conversation about democracy and what the thoughts are in decision-making.
547	Clive lock		What is the reduction in number of councillors?

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

		550	Heather Wills		If less councillors, voters need to be more picky about who they vote for Was asking questions about voting process/casting vote/sanding orders at council meetings.
		563	Clester Eru		Can have +/- 10% just between the general wards and for the Māori wards independantly - rather than same population number for each Councillor.
		564	Antonia Stringfield		Implications if have more Councillors - becomes more of a part time job.

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

Topic	Issue	Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Do you agree with reducing the number of councillors from 11 to 8?
RRW24-01: Reducing The Number of Councillors	03: Other Comments About the Number of Councillors	39	Ken Coulam	Don't know
		92	Raymond Joseph Mckinney	Don't know
		108	Ian Skipper	Don't know
		113	Amanda Besley	Don't know
		134	Sheryl Thocolich	Don't know
		157	Marie	Don't know
		168	Teresa Nepia	Don't know
		171	Peata	Don't know
		173	Rebecca	Don't know
		178	Te Moanaroa Togo	Don't know
		187	Carol Fppard	Don't know
		196	Malia Stowers	Don't know
		217	Danielle Tuapawa	Don't know
		221	Rachel Hollands	Don't know
		222	Jodene	Don't know
		250	Hemorere	Don't know
		254	Pirihira Wihapi-Taupe	Don't know
		260	Anita Johns	Don't know
		268	Owen taki Williams	Don't know
		277	Rimini Williams	Don't know
		285	Andrea Wells	Don't know
		287	Kiri Ahomiro	Don't know
		288	Corey	Don't know
		289	Kalei Delamere-Ririnui	Don't know
		290	Wei Xu	Don't know
		295	Atawhai Heke	Don't know
		297	Kahurimu Rauwhero	Don't know
		315	Jasmine Kuka	Don't know
		320	Malcolm Smith	Don't know
		321	Tammy Webb	Don't know
325	Anton Palmer	Don't know		
331	Angeline Samuels	Don't know		
347	Taylor Raj	Don't know		
359	Frank Kawe	Don't know		
360	Joel Ngātūere	Don't know		
361	Makere Tawa	Don't know		
362	Jane Sowerby	Don't know		

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

	363	Kirsty Willison	Don't know
	364	Justine Murray	Don't know
	365	Regan Murray	Don't know
	366	Apanui Tawa	Don't know
	367	Mererina Murray	Don't know
	378	Noel Stevens	Don't know
	386	Raymond Sowerby	Don't know
	387	Annabelle Sowerby	Don't know
	388	Charnaye walker	Don't know
	391	Allen Meredith	Don't know
	392	Kevin Blanchard	Don't know
	394	Eunique Paki	Don't know
	397	Paul Meharry	Don't know
	418	Penny Stockwell	Don't know
	440	Briar Davie	Don't know
	441	Megan Johnstone	Don't know
	460	Susan Hope	Don't know
	467	Megan Olsen	Don't know
	477	Anahera Dinsdale	Don't know
	479	Miriama Le Comte	Don't know
	495	Steve Burton	Don't know
	506	Joanne Johnston	Don't know
	527	Doreen Dickey	Don't know
	560	Keith Hayman	Don't know

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

Topic	Issue	Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Do you agree that we should continue to have three General Wards?	Comments
RRW24-02: General Wards	01: Support Continuation of Three General Wards	6	Alison Henderson (Alie)	Yes	There are different communities of interest in each of the three proposed general wards and the proposed Māori ward, so the four wards generally make good sense and seem to represent the population equitably.
		11	Dr Jean-Paul Thull	Yes	There is no benefit to add an Omokoroa ward as councillor Grainger explained well recently.
		13	Barbara Hickman	Yes	I agree with this number of wards so long as the community boards remain.
		16	Andy Earl	Yes	I'm not sure about Te Puke, but definitely as per with KK & Kaimai.
		25	Maraea Kiel	Yes	Absolutely. How else would Council get a true perspective of each area
		29	Stuart S Steel	Yes	For now , until voting next year
		39	Ken Coulam	Yes	Having general wards makes for a more representative Council than having all Councillors elected at large.
		41	Brett Waterhouse	Yes	Each ward is different needing different needs. Each ward needs its own plan of attack for community needs
		46	Lindsay Horne	Yes	Leave as is
		58	Phillip Hapi Smith	Yes	As long as there is fair representation for those living in each ward. Each area has its specific dynamics; only those living in that ward will have the local knowledge to address any concerns or issues
		77	Steve Harvey	Yes	Again, these are very large areas and quite different needs so should be kept as different wards
		107	Andrea Wylie	Yes	Diverse communities so probably best to continue with more local representation
		113	Amanda Besley	Yes	Each contains a unique catchment of people and environment.
		114	Keith Davidson	Yes	Making the boundaries more proportional to the total voters is an endorsement of the democratic process of 1 person, 1 vote.
		122	Andrew Nippierd	Yes	They are working well at the moment
		155	Carey Pearce	Yes	Similar numbers of constituents makes sense
		167	Phillip Martelli	Yes	They have generally worked well to date covering the broader catchment of communities of interest.
		211	Ian Larsen	Yes	So long as the ward boundaries are established fairly to balance rural and urban influence on the voting process. If the wards tilted towards urban influence then rural folk get to pay rates and the "representation" goes to the urban people where are the amenities are.
		8	Peter Turner	Yes	Keep it the way it is
		232	Gabrielle Syminton	Yes	There is no good reason to change what already works.
235	John Bourke	Yes	Provided the boudaries are adjusted in line with the number of ratepayers.		

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

263	Meremaihi Aloua	Yes	Āe, we must be granted and supported to have a seat and voice at the table.
272	Donna-Marie Parata	Yes	Definitely keeping voices to each area makes sense. No use having people from Katikati making decisions for us living in Te Puke a s vice versa.
277	Rimini Williams	Yes	Because things that affect Te Puke may not be relevant in say Katikati
278	Mary Brockett	Yes	Wairoa , Hawkes Bay, is a classic example of what happens when local knowledge is not IMMEDIATELY available to be acted on. The last episode of flooding would not have happened if immediate local knowledge had been acted on. The council being based in Napier/Hastings was totally detrimental to the local Wairoa immediate needs. Therefore local Wards need to remain.
291	Carley Hennings	Yes	Yes I support this
295	Atawhai Heke	Yes	As it is a large area everyone has different views and needs and they should be able to voice their opinions
298	Oriwa Lovett	Yes	Each ward has there own specific needs
309	Noel Silver	Yes	The representation is not broken so does NOT need to change.
334	David Ratima TrusT ceo-Agent	Yes	More people means more information to digest ie to wards
337	Grant William Jeffcote	Yes	Areas in their own right.
354	Garry Webber	Yes	To achieve that end I am firmly of the opinion that for the next and all future elections we need;1 Mayour, 4 Wards - with 2 Councillors for the Katikati/Waihi Beach ward, 3 Councillors for the Kaimai ward, 3 Councillors for the Te Puke/Maketu ward and 1 Councillor for a District wide Maori Ward,
355	Shannon	Yes	Yes I tautoko this as we deserve to have a voice
368	Heather Guptill	Yes	It seems to be working well currently but due to the growth in Omokoroa and Te Puna in the future another ward should be considered.
373	Allan Dawson	Yes	This is a good division of the district ( with minor changes perhaps). For general stability, understanding and community it is extremely unwise to make significant short term changes unless mandated by all rate payers.



Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

381	Pania Wilson	Yes	If we have keep to the status quo and these three General Wards remain, and if we reduce the number of General Ward Councillors to 8, this will allow the possible election of two Māori Ward councillors for the Western Bay of Plenty District Council as that is such a huge area to cover.
393	Tim Dunn	Yes	The 3 wards seem to adequately represent the 3 communities in the WBOP.
399	Hannah Stewart	Yes	Yes because they are all 3 very different areas with their own set of needs
400	Karl Christoffersen	Yes	Practical division
402	Anne Roberts	Yes	WBOP needs to operate on democratic mode, not racial, very diverse population and blended
413	Maketu Community Board (Donna Walters)	Yes	aligns with key residential pockets within WBOPD.
415	Tippany Hopping	Yes	aligns with key residential pockets within WBOPD.
423	Cherry Clements	Yes	The wards appear to be as evenly distributed as possible.
424	John Dallimore	Yes	It is crucial maintain the the natural interaction between be a communities of interest. The town does not exist on its own; it compasses the wider community of interest.
437	W. B. P. Martin	Yes	Yes to Keep our three general wards.
474	Thomas Tapsell	Yes	See no reason to change
479	Miriama Le Comte	Yes	In my opinion we should also have 3 māori wards one for each area.
16	Andy Earl		Leave everything as it is, apart from Esdaile Rd. Ask the Omokoroa Community Board & CR Don Thwaites instead of just implementing it from Barks Corner?
487	Pirirākau Tribal Authority - Incorporated (Julie Shepherd)		There are 23 Marae in the Western Bay of Plenty District with their communities established around Marae residing nearby and blended into all parts of all communities. In addition, Marae and their wider whānau host multiple events where large numbers of wider Marae whānau are present which contributes positively to the local economy. The proposal to maintain three General wards and one Māori ward is supported, as it aligns with the principles of fair representation and acknowledges the importance of Māori perspectives at the Council decision making table.
497	Jackie Wenham	Yes	As previously stated Waihi Beach needs to be represented

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

		524	Graeme D Mankelow	Yes	Works well. Does not make sense to change it.
		525	Norman F Mayo	Yes	Yes - These cover the area quite well

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

Topic	Issue	Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Do you agree that we should continue to have three General Wards?
RRW24-02: General Wards	01: Support Continuation of Three General Wards	1	Mark Hume	Yes
		4	Ross Goudie	Yes
		5	Sammy Paige	Yes
		7	Craig Darrall	Yes
		10	Wendy Baker	Yes
		14	Martin Baker	Yes
		17	Susan Lean	Yes
		18	Kathleen Jones	Yes
		20	Vanessa Ewart	Yes
		23	Blaise Williams	Yes
		26	Jodie Houltram	Yes
		27	Kevin Searle	Yes
		31	Colin Pollitt	Yes
		32	Sandra Partington	Yes
		33	Joanne Wiggett	Yes
		34	Todd Mortensen	Yes
		35	Jared Lee	Yes
		36	Buddy Mikaere	Yes
		37	Jodi Hurford	Yes
		38	Wayne Koedyk	Yes
		40	Richard Stephens	Yes
		43	Simon	Yes
		44	John Clements	Yes
		45	Simon Neylon	Yes
		47	Pauline Westhead	Yes
		48	Chris Larking	Yes
		49	Eric Kolver	Yes
		50	Beat Wisler	Yes
		51	Michael Cooper	Yes
		52	Peter Ellingham	Yes
53	Nathan Candy	Yes		
54	Garry Newson	Yes		
55	Karen Smillie	Yes		
56	Amy Edwards	Yes		
57	Amanda Phillips	Yes		

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

60	Susan Padgett	Yes
61	Tony Nicholls	Yes
63	Gregory Padgett	Yes
64	John Topping	Yes
65	Peter Smith	Yes
66	Grant Webber	Yes
67	Clayton Hall	Yes
68	Martin Jessop	Yes
69	Caleb Evans	Yes
70	Nicole Royackers	Yes
74	Geoffrey Harper	Yes
75	Beverly McCormick	Yes
78	William James Lochhead	Yes
80	Hone Allen	Yes
82	Jeffrey Dougal	Yes
83	Stephen Steddy	Yes
84	Christine Pelosi	Yes
85	Gaynor Coldicutt	Yes
86	Rebecca James	Yes
87	Ross Simmonds	Yes
88	Nic Davies	Yes
89	Peter McCormick	Yes
90	Peter Butler	Yes
91	Marian Jones	Yes
93	Chris and Sue Bedford	Yes
94	Michael O'Rourke	Yes
95	Peter Musk	Yes
97	Ron Mcdiarmid	Yes
98	Maxine Wallis	Yes
99	Lesley Rainbow	Yes
100	Steven Stark	Yes
103	Susanne Rolfe	Yes
104	Owen Allsop	Yes
105	Wayne Merriman	Yes
108	Ian Skipper	Yes
109	Peter Pooran	Yes
110	Mark Copeland	Yes
112	Graeme Dick	Yes

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

117	Camilla Grimbeek	Yes
118	Maru Rinui	Yes
119	Wayne McIndoe	Yes
120	Cecilia Burgess	Yes
123	Gavin Stansfield	Yes
124	Anonymous	Yes
126	Nelson Drummond and Christine	Yes
127	Mike	Yes
128	Robert Heath Smart	Yes
129	Allan Wolfe	Yes
130	Peter Griffiths	Yes
9	Vincent Beckett	Yes
133	Gregg Newton	Yes
134	Sheryl Thocolich	Yes
135	Belinda Carlyle	Yes
136	Leigh Neilson	Yes
137	Wayne O'Driscoll	Yes
138	Diane Crisp	Yes
139	William Kehoe	Yes
140	Leon Scheepers	Yes
141	Raewyn Turner	Yes
142	Richard	Yes
143	Tara Kehoe	Yes
144	P. McKenzie	Yes
145	Tim Reehal	Yes
146	Iain Haggarty	Yes
147	George Clemens	Yes
148	Philip Laurence	Yes
149	Philip Xu	Yes
150	Denis Henderson	Yes
151	Kiel Mans	Yes
152	Aaron Drayson	Yes
153	Russell Knutson	Yes
157	Marie	Yes
158	Werner Schefer	Yes
159	M Stevens	Yes
160	Leanne Robinson	Yes
161	Chris Parkinson	Yes

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

162	Maree Voorend	Yes
163	Peter Bullock	Yes
164	Mike Woodrow	Yes
165	Lynda Frew	Yes
166	Rob Hawker	Yes
168	Teresa Nepia	Yes
169	Hope	Yes
170	Summer Heke	Yes
171	Peata	Yes
172	Paretiaki Burt	Yes
173	Rebecca	Yes
174	Reweti Kuka	Yes
175	Gia Nelson	Yes
177	Hautapu Baker	Yes
179	Tash Tukaokao	Yes
180	Jo Cameron	Yes
181	Dean	Yes
182	Richard Hoko	Yes
183	Terry Griffiths	Yes
184	Braden Strahan	Yes
187	Carol Fppard	Yes
188	Don Kerr	Yes
193	Mike Bayliss	Yes
194	Colin Hewens	Yes
195	Nicola Reid	Yes
196	Malia Stowers	Yes
197	Wayne Warin	Yes
198	Titihuia Ngata	Yes
200	Linda Marsh	Yes
201	Glenn Burt	Yes
202	Destiny Leaf	Yes
203	Sophia Muir	Yes
204	Angela Gill	Yes
205	Blanche McMath	Yes
206	Chris Sutton	Yes
207	Sara Thorburn	Yes
208	Neil Redshaw	Yes
209	Richard Logan	Yes

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

210	Dallas Tauwiwi	Yes
212	Andrew Hodgson	Yes
213	Clare Neven	Yes
214	Michelle Jordan-Tong	Yes
215	Debbie Oakley	Yes
216	Sharlene Rumney	Yes
217	Danielle Tuapawa	Yes
218	Andy Wichers	Yes
219	Doug Moore	Yes
220	Kevin Christie	Yes
221	Rachel Hollands	Yes
222	Jodene	Yes
224	Margaret Ross	Yes
225	Julie Nicholson	Yes
226	Terri (Therese) McClintock	Yes
228	Robyn McLennan	Yes
229	Sally Bower	Yes
231	Peter Arnold	Yes
233	Timothy Pearce	Yes
234	June Sobyte	Yes
236	Aaron Hokopaura	Yes
237	Jim Thomas	Yes
238	John Allen	Yes
239	Annette Assen	Yes
240	Colin Chase	Yes
241	Maureen Jacob	Yes
244	Greg Lewis	Yes
246	Kelly Tate	Yes
247	Rachael Coll	Yes
249	Kahurangi Ake-Johnson	Yes
251	Geoff & Ali Rice	Yes
252	Julian Rolleston	Yes
253	Jason ake	Yes
254	Pirihira Wihapi-Taupe	Yes
255	Sophie Biel	Yes
257	Nats	Yes
258	Rangitawhai Rahiri	Yes
259	Tahlia Rikihana	Yes

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

264	Ruiha Whare	Yes
265	Tama K	Yes
266	Roberta Marsh	Yes
268	Owen taki Williams	Yes
273	Phillip kahotea	Yes
274	Ellause Rolleston	Yes
280	Pikitia Hennings	Yes
281	Andrea Laison	Yes
285	Andrea Wells	Yes
286	Hope Bidois	Yes
290	Wei Xu	Yes
293	Marama Walker	Yes
296	Hinenui Cooper	Yes
297	Kahurimu Rauwhero	Yes
299	Mere-Tu-Ahiahi Horopapera	Yes
300	TeAroha Rahui	Yes
301	Tim Donaldson	Yes
302	Hirinii Potene	Yes
304	Malcolm Robertson	Yes
305	Sandra Potaka	Yes
308	Dennis Johns	Yes
310	Dianne Morris	Yes
311	Gavin Harris	Yes
312	Judy Treloar	Yes
313	Richard Shore	Yes
314	Dietmar Vogel	Yes
315	Jasmine Kuka	Yes
316	Ngatuputupu Potene	Yes
317	Angela Moore	Yes
318	Teena Dinsdale	Yes
319	Nga Pikitia Hennings	Yes
320	Malcolm Smith	Yes
322	Anne	Yes
324	Whareangiangi Pita	Yes
325	Anton Palmer	Yes
326	Darlene Dinsdale	Yes
327	Apina Rodger	Yes



## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

329	Maurice Morehu Ngatoko	Yes
330	Kura Martin	Yes
331	Angeline Samuels	Yes
332	Mereana Te Pere	Yes
333	Gavin Motu	Yes
335	Judith and Peter Malcolm	Yes
336	Leslie brumby	Yes
338	Peter Stockwell	Yes
339	John Pottinger	Yes
340	Bruce and Susan Hamblyn	Yes
341	Liz Farrell	Yes
342	Rosina Tuhakaraina	Yes
347	Taylor Raj	Yes
348	Kalim Karaka	Yes
350	Kelly	Yes
351	Don Wallis	Yes
356	Lee Weatherley	Yes
357	Phil Shields	Yes
358	Lynette Shields	Yes
359	Frank Kawe	Yes
360	Joel Ngātūere	Yes
371	Marata Paama	Yes
372	Julia Wharton	Yes
374	Paul Yeo	Yes
375	Hilton Paul	Yes
376	Megan Jowsey	Yes
377	Dennis Madden	Yes
378	Noel Stevens	Yes
379	Daniel Fuller	Yes
380	Terangi Bartlett	Yes
382	Antonio Apaapa	Yes
384	Karen Whatuira	Yes
385	Lynne Winter	Yes
388	Charnaye walker	Yes
389	Donald Carter	Yes
390	Elva Conroy	Yes
391	Allen Meredith	Yes
392	Kevin Blanchard	Yes

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

396	Marlene Samuels	Yes
397	Paul Meharry	Yes
398	Monikoura McMillan	Yes
401	Wayne Stevenson	Yes
403	Hinemotu Douglas	Yes
404	Eoin Ritchie	Yes
405	Peter Richard Dudfield	Yes
407	Alison Cowley	Yes
409	Toni Connelly	Yes
414	Grant Cowles	Yes
416	Janene Cowles	Yes
417	Tracy Harris	Yes
418	Penny Stockwell	Yes
420	Mark Smith	Yes
421	Roxanne Bunker	Yes
422	Nathan Bunker	Yes
426	Julie Te Amo	Yes
427	Marie Bourke	Yes
428	Charles Tuapawa Jnr	Yes
429	Te Rangi Rae	Yes
430	Russell Dons	Yes
439	Nathan Olsen	Yes
443	Katch Katikati	Yes
444	Gendi Burwell	Yes
445	Paul Nikora	Yes
446	Jesse Archer	Yes
448	Patrick Donohue	Yes
449	Kristy	Yes
450	Stephen Colson	Yes
451	Ian Goodger	Yes
452	Jacqui Knight	Yes
453	Noel Fisher	Yes
454	Rob Pakes	Yes
455	Felia Faber	Yes
456	Maxine Woodhall	Yes
457	Jill Dell	Yes
458	Martyn Woodhall	Yes
459	Robert Hulme	Yes

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

460	Susan Hope	Yes
461	Nicola Austin	Yes
462	Lisa Cooper	Yes
463	Ashleigh Spencrr	Yes
464	Tracy Brown	Yes
465	Colin Graham	Yes
466	Natalie Mankelow	Yes
467	Megan Olsen	Yes
468	Roana Bennett	Yes
469	Catherine Stringer	Yes
470	Rawiri Bhana	Yes
472	Billie Jean Potaka Ayton	Yes
473	K Martin	Yes
475	Judy Tapsell	Yes
476	Arapo Whata	Yes
478	Ange Dinsdale	Yes
481	Janet Commins	Yes
482	Karen Aspey	Yes
484	Heather Cleaver	Yes
485	Paul Hickson	Yes
494	Henry Kruger	Yes
495	Steve Burton	Yes
498	Nessie Ani Hinetai Kuka	Yes
499	Te Puke Community Board	Yes
500	Shantelle Mahia	Yes
501	Sara Broadhurst	Yes
503	Patricia Hay	Yes
505	Dale Snell	Yes
506	Joanne Johnston	Yes
508	Larissa Pool	Yes
518	Bruce Brown	Yes
520	Grant Deed	Yes
521	Mike Hickey	Yes
523	M. J. Matheson	Yes
526	John Bell	Yes
528	Maurice Fletcher	Yes
560	Keith Hayman	Yes

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal				
--	--	--	--	--

		565	Joan Dugmore	Yes
--	--	-----	--------------	-----

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

Topic	Issue	Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Do you agree that we should continue to have three General Wards?	Comments
RRW24-02: General Wards	02: Oppose Continuation of Three General Wards	19	David Marshall	Yes	Would prefer to see 3 wards of equal size & representation
		21	Brooke Jones	No	These areas are unique. Why combine them? They service totally different demographics
		79	Craig Farndale	No	Bigger ain't better. Look at the sh*tshow that happened in Auckland with their (un)supercity.
		81	Peter Longdill	No	Do not aggregate Waihi beach with Katikati. Different concerns and issues need different representation.
		96	Roger Goodman	No	As the population increase either add a ward or increase to 4 Councillors per ward.
		101	Melanie Longdill	No	I think waihi beach should have its own ward as we are often paying higher rates and getting very little in return.
		106	Ian Stevens	No	Waihi. beach should have a ward by itself
		111	Alan Richard Kurtovich	No	Waihi Beach needs its own Councilor
		230	Matthew Hattie	Yes	More wards should be added, to meet the needs in more specific areas.
		419	Mike Rose	No	I believe the best representation is to have councillors as close to their communities as possible. I therefore think Waihi Beach and Katikati, two very different areas, should have separate representation. The same should be possibly apply to Te Puke and Maketu but I am not familiar enough wither situation to sensibly comment.

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

Topic	Issue	Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Do you agree that we should continue to have three General Wards?
RRW24-02: General Wards	02: Oppose Continuation of Three General Wards	28	Michelle Zoanne Hawthorne	No
		71	Greg Ward	No
		73	G Mitchell	No
		154	Matt Muller	No
		248	Nathan Sanderson	No
		261	Marama dickson	No
		282	Donna Gardiner	No
		383	Patricia Marion Haine	No
		406	Pia Osborne	No

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

Topic	Issue	Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Do you agree that we should continue to have three General Wards?	Comments
RRW24 -02: General Wards	03: Other Comments About General Wards	15	Beverley Edwards	No	No - Oropi is not in any of those 3 zones
		42	Kassandra Steel	Yes	I believe that Katikati waihi beach should be included in Tauranga City Council. It's hard to divide our council between the city. It seems like there are different ideas in the different locations.
		96	Roger Goodman	No	More representation is required to meet growing population OR create fifth ward with 3 Councillors each..
		121	Barbara Atkins	Don't know	Im unsure about 3 Wards but 5 communities? Ensures 2 lose their voice and importance?
		125	Cherie Palm	No	The proposed boundary changes should be shown in a full district map so can be clearly compared with the current boundary map and show population figures for each and include Maori ward. With the proposed adjustments there is no consolidated information provided to justify the move. Also why is Omokoroa not included as part of the Kaimai ward wording even though it has its own community board?
		176	Carol Parsons	Yes	I need more information to make an informed answer
		227	Betty Robson	Don't know	If it means different Wards saves on the cost of rates than yes. I disagree that paying high rates for Katikati should be spent in overpopulated Omokoroa.
		262	Suaree Borell	Yes	I think you shouldn't call them minor - I would like deeper info on the change eg - how many houses are in one boundary then moved to another ... a richer explanation of why that particular location was chosen for the change etc
		306	Matewai Maxine Karaka-Clarke	Don't know	All of those "rohe" belong to Waitaha, Ngāti Ranginui iwi. Have you invited them to the table to firstly for their input? I will support on the premise that the lwi of these boundaries have been consulted prior to this going to air.
		321	Tammy Webb	Don't know	Matakana Island should be included in the kaimai ward
		346	Julian Fitter	Yes	The boundary changes have resulted in an unequal distribution of Councillors. per head of population - outside your guidelines. The alternative would be to go to 4 wards with 2 Councillors each.
		349	Pirihira Douglas	No	Need more wards !
		408	Cheryl Toma	No	Change to consider different hapu , lwi boundaries and areas

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

		504	Michael Jones	Yes	Thinks there is benefit to at large ward - you are governin for the District.Candidates don't have to live in the ward / District to be elected.Concerned that the views of the different Māori at each end of the District will not be represented.
		509	Helen Biel	Yes	The issue of waka boundaries has come up in the past. The Council boundaries imposed across tribal rohe impacts on traditional tribal boundaries. For example, Tapuika tribal estate takes in 3 local councils. They are TCC, WBOPDC and RDC.
		514	Economic Development Group (Mark Boyle)		Can't there be one more at large ward.Good governance should be the right people who are remunerated appropriately. If the representation was done on GDP from an area Te Puke would have 2/3 of the Councillors.



## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

Topic	Issue	Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Do you agree that we should continue to have three General Wards?
RRW24-02: General Wards	03: Other Comments About General Wards	22	Shane Max	Don't know
		30	Tom Hansen	Don't know
		59	Toni Fraser	Don't know
		72	Indra Pokhrel	Don't know
		76	Liz Meyers	Don't know
		102	Angela Scott	Don't know
		115	Shane Valois	Don't know
		116	Sonia Diacono	Don't know
		131	Jane Yates	Don't know
		178	Te Moanaroa Togo	Don't know
		185	Tamoe Ngata	Don't know
		186	Reremoana Nepia	Don't know
		242	Darren Leef	Don't know
		260	Anita Johns	Don't know
		270	Gloria Stockdale	Don't know
		275	Teresa Moana	Don't know
		283	Lyn Smith	Don't know
		287	Kiri Ahomiro	Don't know
		288	Corey	Don't know
		289	Kalei Delamere-Ririnui	Don't know
		323	Kelly Kuka	Don't know
		328	Rachael	Don't know
		345	Kyle Beetham	Don't know
		364	Justine Murray	Don't know
		365	Regan Murray	Don't know
		366	Apanui Tawa	Don't know
		367	Mererina Murray	Don't know
		369	Gwynnith Cumming	Don't know
		386	Raymond Sowerby	Don't know
		387	Annabelle Sowerby	Don't know
394	Eunique Paki	Don't know		
440	Briar Davie	Don't know		
441	Megan Johnstone	Don't know		
442	Blair Johnstone	Don't know		
447	Roger Course	Don't know		

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

		471	Jennifer Lowes	Don't know
		477	Anahera Dinsdale	Don't know
		480	Kalleisha Wade	Don't know
		483	Paula Gaelic	Don't know
		502	Chiavanni Le'Mon	Don't know
		527	Doreen Dickey	Don't know

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

Topic	Issue	Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Do you support the Katikati-Waihi Beach and Kaimai Ward boundary adjustment?	Comments
RRW24-03: Ward Boundary Adjustments	01: Support Katikati, Waihi Beach - Kaimai Ward Boundary Change	6	Alison Henderson (Alie)	Yes	All good!
		16	Andy Earl	No	Practical to put Esdaile Rd @ Pahoia, with Omokoroa. Leave the rest of our boundaries as they are!
		36	Buddy Mikaere	Yes	Seems sensible
		41	Brett Waterhouse	Don't know	agreed with the proposed boundary changes for the Waihi Beach-Katikati and Kaimai Ward boundary.
		96	Roger Goodman	Yes	Yes is logical and add another Councilor. Too much territory for 3.
		114	Keith Davidson	Yes	Making the boundaries more proportional to the total voters is an endorsement of the democratic process of 1 person, 1 vote.
		165	Lynda Frew	Yes	Good to ensure similar population numbers and commonality of concerns with boundary adjustments.
		194	Colin Hewens	Yes	You have the numbers to make sane decisions for equity between the three areas.
		199	Toni Strahan	Yes	Yes adjustment to align numbers represented is a good idea.
		265	Tama K	Yes	Don't think matters know the boundaries, they answer to the same chamber
		278	Mary Brockett	Yes	As long as these changes are not detrimental to local issues
		279	Te Pae Tamihana	Yes	Ae-I tautoko this
		291	Carley Hennings	Yes	Yes I support this
		346	Julian Fitter	Yes	Yes, but you should increase the population of Kaimai by increasing the population of Maketu/Te Puke to more evenly distribute population between the wards.
		373	Allan Dawson	Yes	yes that seems sensible given the way the district has developed.
376	Megan Jowsey	Yes	I agree with rebalancing the ward populations.		

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

		407	Alison Cowley	Yes	Representation has to be proportional to population. We would expect councillors to communicate effectively with their Ward boundary colleagues.
		423	Cherry Clements	Yes	Why doesn't it follow the small area of coastline to the Wainui River instead of State Highway 2? I.e. include Turner Road to the sea? Boundary change seems logical Was the number of councillors reduced because of the need for a Maori Ward
		436	Alan Goodyear		I also agree with adjusting the ward boundaries.
		443	Katch Katikati	Yes	We feel that Pahoia residents probably move more towards Omokoroa as their service town than Katikati and that the change is not significant.
		456	Maxine Woodhall	Yes	Agree only on the basis of sharing the workload equitably between councillors
		491	Katikati Community Board		5. The Community Board thinks that absorbing Pahoia back into the Kaimai Ward is logical and supports this change.
		505	Dale Snell	Yes	ultimately this is a local decision
		524	Graeme D Mankelow	Yes	As long as only minor
		525	Norman F Mayo	Yes	minor. Seems reasonable
		529	John Buchanan	Yes	I also agree with adjusting the ward boundaries

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

Topic	Issue	Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Do you support the Katikati-Waihi Beach and Kaimai Ward boundary adjustment?
RRW24-03: Ward Boundary Adjustments	01: Support Katikati, Waihi Beach - Kaimai Ward Boundary Change	1	Mark Hume	Yes
		4	Ross Goudie	Yes
		5	Sammy Paige	Yes
		9	Vincent Beckett	Yes
		10	Wendy Baker	Yes
		13	Barbara Hickman	Yes
		14	Martin Baker	Yes
		15	Beverley Edwards	Yes
		17	Susan Lean	Yes
		18	Kathleen Jones	Yes
		20	Vanessa Ewart	Yes
		22	Shane Max	Yes
		23	Blaise Williams	Yes
		26	Jodie Houltram	Yes
		31	Colin Pollitt	Yes
		33	Joanne Wiggett	Yes
		35	Jared Lee	Yes
		37	Jodi Hurford	Yes
		40	Richard Stephens	Yes
		42	Kassandra Steel	Yes
		43	Simon	Yes
		44	John Clements	Yes
		45	Simon Neylon	Yes
		46	Lindsay Horne	Yes
		47	Pauline Westhead	Yes
		48	Chris Larking	Yes
		49	Eric Kolver	Yes
		51	Michael Cooper	Yes
54	Garry Newson	Yes		
55	Karen Smillie	Yes		
56	Amy Edwards	Yes		
61	Tony Nicholls	Yes		
65	Peter Smith	Yes		
68	Martin Jessop	Yes		
70	Nicole Royackers	Yes		
72	Indra Pokhrel	Yes		
73	G Mitchell	Yes		

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

75	Beverly McCormick	Yes
76	Liz Meyers	Yes
78	William James Lochhead	Yes
80	Hone Allen	Yes
83	Stephen Steddy	Yes
84	Christine Pelosi	Yes
85	Gaynor Coldicutt	Yes
86	Rebecca James	Yes
88	Nic Davies	Yes
89	Peter McCormick	Yes
90	Peter Butler	Yes
91	Marian Jones	Yes
93	Chris and Sue Bedford	Yes
94	Michael O'Rourke	Yes
95	Peter Musk	Yes
97	Ron Mcdiarmid	Yes
98	Maxine Wallis	Yes
99	Lesley Rainbow	Yes
100	Steven Stark	Yes
103	Susanne Rolfe	Yes
104	Owen Allsop	Yes
105	Wayne Merriman	Yes
107	Andrea Wylie	Yes
108	Ian Skipper	Yes
109	Peter Pooran	Yes
110	Mark Copeland	Yes
112	Graeme Dick	Yes
117	Camilla Grimbeek	Yes
118	Maru Rininui	Yes
119	Wayne McIndoe	Yes
120	Cecilia Burgess	Yes
123	Gavin Stansfield	Yes
127	Mike	Yes
128	Robert Heath Smart	Yes
130	Peter Griffiths	Yes
134	Sheryl Thocolich	Yes
136	Leigh Neilson	Yes
137	Wayne O'Driscoll	Yes
138	Diane Crisp	Yes
140	Leon Scheepers	Yes

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

141	Raewyn Turner	Yes
145	Tim Reehal	Yes
147	George Clemens	Yes
148	Philip Laurence	Yes
151	Kiel Mans	Yes
155	Carey Pearce	Yes
158	Werner Schefer	Yes
160	Leanne Robinson	Yes
163	Peter Bullock	Yes
166	Rob Hawker	Yes
167	Phillip Martelli	Yes
170	Summer Heke	Yes
172	Paretiaki Burt	Yes
173	Rebecca	Yes
175	Gia Nelson	Yes
177	Hautapu Baker	Yes
180	Jo Cameron	Yes
183	Terry Griffiths	Yes
186	Reremoana Nepia	Yes
193	Mike Bayliss	Yes
195	Nicola Reid	Yes
200	Linda Marsh	Yes
201	Glenn Burt	Yes
203	Sophia Muir	Yes
205	Blanche McMath	Yes
206	Chris Sutton	Yes
209	Richard Logan	Yes
212	Andrew Hodgson	Yes
213	Clare Neven	Yes
216	Sharlene Rumney	Yes
219	Doug Moore	Yes
224	Margaret Ross	Yes
225	Julie Nicholson	Yes
229	Sally Bower	Yes
231	Peter Arnold	Yes
235	John Bourke	Yes
237	Jim Thomas	Yes
238	John Allen	Yes
239	Annette Assen	Yes
244	Greg Lewis	Yes

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

264	Ruiha Whare	Yes
266	Roberta Marsh	Yes
268	Owen taki Williams	Yes
273	Phillip kahotea	Yes
280	Pikitia Hennings	Yes
281	Andrea Laison	Yes
282	Donna Gardiner	Yes
305	Sandra Potaka	Yes
308	Dennis Johns	Yes
310	Dianne Morris	Yes
314	Dietmar Vogel	Yes
318	Teena Dinsdale	Yes
319	Nga Pikitia Hennings	Yes
320	Malcolm Smith	Yes
325	Anton Palmer	Yes
326	Darlene Dinsdale	Yes
327	Apina Rodger	Yes
329	Maurice Morehu Ngatoko	Yes
332	Mereana Te Pere	Yes
335	Judith and Peter Malcolm	Yes
336	Leslie brumby	Yes
338	Peter Stockwell	Yes
339	John Pottinger	Yes
341	Liz Farrell	Yes
350	Kelly	Yes
351	Don Wallis	Yes
358	Lynette Shields	Yes
359	Frank Kawe	Yes
374	Paul Yeo	Yes
377	Dennis Madden	Yes
379	Daniel Fuller	Yes
380	Terangi Bartlett	Yes
381	Pania Wilson	Yes
382	Antonio Apaapa	Yes
383	Patricia Marion Haine	Yes
393	Tim Dunn	Yes
397	Paul Meharry	Yes
401	Wayne Stevenson	Yes
403	Hinemotu Douglas	Yes
404	Eoin Ritchie	Yes



## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

	406	Pia Osborne	Yes
	417	Tracy Harris	Yes
	418	Penny Stockwell	Yes
	420	Mark Smith	Yes
	421	Roxanne Bunker	Yes
	422	Nathan Bunker	Yes
	427	Marie Bourke	Yes
	428	Charles Tuapawa Jnr	Yes
	429	Te Rangi Rae	Yes
	430	Russell Dons	Yes
	445	Paul Nikora	Yes
	447	Roger Course	Yes
	449	Kristy	Yes
	450	Stephen Colson	Yes
	451	Ian Goodger	Yes
	452	Jacqui Knight	Yes
	453	Noel Fisher	Yes
	454	Rob Pakes	Yes
	455	Felia Faber	Yes
	458	Martyn Woodhall	Yes
	459	Robert Hulme	Yes
	460	Susan Hope	Yes
	461	Nicola Austin	Yes
	465	Colin Graham	Yes
	466	Natalie Mankelow	Yes
	469	Catherine Stringer	Yes
	470	Rawiri Bhana	Yes
	482	Karen Aspey	Yes
	483	Paula Gaelic	Yes
	484	Heather Cleaver	Yes
	498	Nessie Ani Hinetai Kuka	Yes
	501	Sara Broadhurst	Yes
	520	Grant Deed	Yes
	526	John Bell	Yes
	560	Keith Hayman	Yes

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

Topic	Issue	Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Do you support the Katikati-Waihi Beach and Kaimai Ward boundary adjustment?	Comments
RRW24-03: Ward Boundary Adjustments	02: Oppose Katikati, Waihi Beach - Kaimai Ward Boundary Change	16	Andy Earl	No	We are 7 minutes to the Waihi Beach turn off & about 10 to Pahoia. It is fine as it is. We have 3 Hapu in the area, & One is working under Te Reretuakahia. Leave it as is. Leave Kati Kati & Waihi Beach as they are.
		21	Brooke Jones	No	As above. Why combine two totally demographics
		67	Clayton Hall	Don't know	Do not understand the logic to come up with this boundary adjustment. Why cut off turner Rd. It is now like an island amongst its neighbours.
		77	Steve Harvey	No	Think it is well covered as it is
		106	Ian Stevens	No	Again I repeat we are not getting good representation being part of. Katikati
		126	Nelson Drummond and Christine Brooke	No	Our proposal is to have 9 General Ward Councillors, as we are opposed to changing the boundaries and making the Katikati ward smaller"
		232	Gabrielle Syminton	No	There is no advantage to change what already works.
		307	Paul Mead	No	I object to the proposed boundary changes.
		309	Noel Silver	No	The numbers are minor , so leave things alone.
		340	Bruce and Susan Hamblyn	No	No logical reason to make changes.
		402	Anne Roberts	Don't know	Think everything is fine as is
		408	Cheryl Toma	No	Change to consider different hapu , lwi boundaries and areas
		424	John Dallimore	No	No valid reason has been given why this is necessary. Town needs to be inclusive of the wider community of interest and service interactions.
		497	Jackie Wenham	No	No we at Waihi Beach do not want to lose representation
		503	Patricia Hay	No	Not if it is only to accommodate a maori ward
510	Alisha			I am strongly opposed the boundary adjustment for Katikati ward. It is not minor, Katikati is largely made up of rural residents and this boundary change would see all of rural Katikati no longer represented. The recent issues with NZTA regarding the Katikati to Omokoroa corridor have taught us how important it is to have representation that understands the nuances of the community that it represents.	

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

Topic	Issue	Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Do you support the Katikati-Waihi Beach and Kaimai Ward boundary adjustment?
RRW24-03: Ward Boundary Adjustments	02: Oppose Katikati, Waihi Beach - Kaimai Ward Boundary Change	8	Peter Turner	No
		27	Kevin Searle	No
		28	Michelle Zoanne Hawthorne	No
		50	Beat Wisler	No
		53	Nathan Candy	No
		64	John Topping	No
		71	Greg Ward	No
		101	Melanie Longdill	No
		144	P. McKenzie	No
		146	Iain Haggarty	No
		159	M Stevens	No
		179	Tash Tukaokao	No
		187	Carol Fppard	No
		202	Destiny Leaf	No
		210	Dallas Tauwi	No
		221	Rachel Hollands	No
		234	June Soby	No
		236	Aaron Hokopaura	No
		243	Esther Williams	No
		248	Nathan Sanderson	No
		255	Sophie Biel	No
		261	Marama dickson	No
		293	Marama Walker	No
		297	Kahurimu Rauwhero	No
		298	Oriwa Lovett	No
		300	TeAroha Rahui	No
		302	Hirinii Potene	No
		313	Richard Shore	No
		322	Anne	No
		333	Gavin Motu	No
337	Grant William Jeffcote	No		
343	Te Uru Tarewa Irwin	No		
349	Pirihira Douglas	No		
355	Shannon	No		
368	Heather Guptill	No		

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

		375	Hilton Paul	No
		384	Karen Whatuira	No
		389	Donald Carter	No
		394	Eunique Paki	No
		396	Marlene Samuels	No
		400	Karl Christoffersen	No
		405	Peter Richard Dudfield	No
		409	Toni Connelly	No
		411	Timothy & Carol Shellis	No
		414	Grant Cowles	No
		416	Janene Cowles	No
		448	Patrick Donohue	No
		457	Jill Dell	No
		463	Ashleigh Spencrr	No
		478	Ange Dinsdale	No
		494	Henry Kruger	No
		506	Joanne Johnston	No
		508	Larissa Pool	No
		509	Helen Biel	No
		521	Mike Hickey	No
		528	Maurice Fletcher	No

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

Topic	Issue	Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Do you support the Katikati-Waihi Beach and Kaimai Ward boundary adjustment?	Comments
RRW24-03: Ward Boundary Adjustments	03: Other Comments About Katikati, Waihi Beach - Kaimai Ward Boundary Change	11	Dr Jean-Paul Thull	Yes	While it makes no difference to me, the map is not very clear by just showing the Waipapa River. Integrating Omokoroa makes a lot of sense and another reason NOT to drop councillor numbers from Kaimai ward as we have currently a great bunch of experienced politicians and pragmatic professionals.
		19	David Marshall	Don't know	Depends whether we have 3 equal wards as to boundaries
		25	Maraea Kiel	Don't know	Sorry not sure on why these adjustments are proposed.
		38	Wayne Koedyk	No	Consideration should be given to both population AND rates income in determining the size and boundary lines of the wards and the number of councillors allocated to each ward. Waihi Beach is under represented by councillors and under served by Council based on the rates income from this ward.
		58	Phillip Hapi Smith	Don't know	Its up to that community. Not my area. A lot of development is currently happening within the Maketu area and on the fringes of the proposed boundary ward. This development will have a huge impact on the Maketu community. The Rangiora Business park will have a huge increase in vehicular traffic of all shapes and sizes. The impact on the Maketu community and the current way of life that people enjoy and want to preserve could be devastating if this traffic is allowed to use Maketu for any access to the Park.. A No entry or Exit to the Rangiora business park through the Maketu ward needs to be put in place Now
		62	Julie Cole	No	The last 4 years have taught us hugely about border control resulting in the lack of trust of Government and their agencies. Would be great if we could turn this on it's head and embrace all communities in the area. If not 3 separate boards, why can we not be one? Why do you have to consult on the boundary changes. So Councillors will have to manage - 7,500 in terms of representation.
		63	Gregory Padgett	Don't know	Can't see where my road sits on your map
		82	Jeffrey Dougal	Don't know	I'm not sure why that adjustment is necessary
		111	Alan Richard Kurtovich	No	Waihi Beach currently misses out on alot of decision making now
		121	Barbara Atkins	Don't know	As long as it not just about numbers but areas of population and communities grouped
		125	Cherie Palm	No	Insufficient consolidated information as to why it is moving.
		176	Carol Parsons	Don't know	I need more information to make an informed answer
		204	Angela Gill	Don't know	What are the reasons for the boundary adjustments?

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

		220	Kevin Christie	No	<p>The Information presented does not indicate 2 crucial aspects that need to be addressed before proceeding:</p> <p>1. WHY the change</p> <p>2. Effect of the change</p> <p>I believe that to present the information without this been addressed makes the proposal deficient in principal and has the effect of having your say without reason!</p> <p>PLEASE EXPLAIN</p>
		226	Terri (Therese) McClintock	No	Are they really minor? looks a lot
		263	Meremaihi Aloua	Don't know	So long as we are granted and supported to have a seat and voice at the table.
		306	Matewai Maxine Karaka-Clarke	Don't know	<p>Have you invited them to the table to firstly for their input?</p> <p>I will support on the premise that the lwi of these boundaries have been consulted prior to this going to air.</p>
		312	Judy Treloar	Don't know	We want assurance that the Maketu - Te Puke don't become the "poor cousins" to Katikati-Waihi Beach, with more servces, infrastructure and spending going to these other areas.
		317	Angela Moore	No	I do not agree with this, those in areas removed from representation will not have a voice of representation. The proposed reduction in rates charges of under \$30 per annum is pathetic and not worth the loss of representation of our community councillors
		334	David Ratima Trust ceo-Agent	No	As a part of BOP which is a state we are already boundaried
		392	Kevin Blanchard	Don't know	It is not clear what the impact will be for our household being moved from the Kaimai Ward to the Te Puke Ward. What difference does it make which group I vote for.

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

Topic	Issue	Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Do you support the Katikati-Waihi Beach and Kaimai Ward boundary adjustment?
RRW24-03: Ward Boundary Adjustments	03: Other Comments About Katikati, Waihi Beach - Kaimai Ward Boundary Change	2	Raewyn Bennett	Don't know
		7	Craig Darrall	Don't know
		12	Grand Parker	Don't know
		24	Catherine Rolleston	Don't know
		29	Stuart S Steel	Don't know
		30	Tom Hansen	Don't know
		32	Sandra Partington	Don't know
		34	Todd Mortensen	Don't know
		39	Ken Coulam	Don't know
		52	Peter Ellingham	Don't know
		57	Amanda Phillips	Don't know
		59	Toni Fraser	Don't know
		60	Susan Padgett	Don't know
		66	Grant Webber	Don't know
		69	Caleb Evans	Don't know
		74	Geoffrey Harper	Don't know
		81	Peter Longdill	Don't know
		87	Ross Simmonds	Don't know
		102	Angela Scott	Don't know
		113	Amanda Besley	Don't know
		115	Shane Valois	Don't know
		116	Sonia Diacono	Don't know
		122	Andrew Nippierd	Don't know
		124	Anonymous	Don't know
		129	Allan Wolfe	Don't know
		131	Jane Yates	Don't know
		133	Gregg Newton	Don't know
		135	Belinda Carlyle	Don't know
		139	William Kehoe	Don't know
		142	Richard	Don't know
143	Tara Kehoe	Don't know		
149	Philip Xu	Don't know		
150	Denis Henderson	Don't know		
152	Aaron Drayson	Don't know		
153	Russell Knutson	Don't know		
154	Matt Muller	Don't know		
157	Marie	Don't know		
161	Chris Parkinson	Don't know		
162	Maree Voorend	Don't know		
164	Mike Woodrow	Don't know		
168	Teresa Nepia	Don't know		
169	Hope	Don't know		

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

171	Peata	Don't know
174	Reweti Kuka	Don't know
178	Te Moanaroa Togo	Don't know
181	Dean	Don't know
182	Richard Hoko	Don't know
184	Braden Strahan	Don't know
185	Tamoe Ngata	Don't know
188	Don Kerr	Don't know
196	Malia Stowers	Don't know
197	Wayne Warin	Don't know
198	Titihuia Ngata	Don't know
207	Sara Thorburn	Don't know
211	Ian Larsen	Don't know
214	Michelle Jordan-Tong	Don't know
215	Debbie Oakley	Don't know
217	Danielle Tuapawa	Don't know
222	Jodene	Don't know
227	Betty Robson	Don't know
228	Robyn McLennan	Don't know
230	Matthew Hattie	Don't know
233	Timothy Pearce	Don't know
240	Colin Chase	Don't know
241	Maureen Jacob	Don't know
242	Darren Leef	Don't know
245	Steve Allan	Don't know
246	Kelly Tate	Don't know
247	Rachael Coll	Don't know
251	Geoff & Ali Rice	Don't know
252	Julian Rolleston	Don't know
253	Jason ake	Don't know
254	Pirihira Wihapi-Taupe	Don't know
257	Nats	Don't know
258	Rangitawhai Rahiri	Don't know
259	Tahlia Rikihana	Don't know
260	Anita Johns	Don't know
262	Suaree Borell	Don't know
270	Gloria Stockdale	Don't know
272	Donna-Marie Parata	Don't know
274	Ellause Rolleston	Don't know
275	Teresa Moana	Don't know
276	Robert	Don't know
277	Rimini Williams	Don't know
283	Lyn Smith	Don't know
285	Andrea Wells	Don't know
286	Hope Bidois	Don't know



## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

287	Kiri Ahomiro	Don't know
288	Corey	Don't know
289	Kalei Delamere-Ririnui	Don't know
290	Wei Xu	Don't know
295	Atawhai Heke	Don't know
296	Hinenui Cooper	Don't know
299	Mere-Tu-Ahiahi Horopapera	Don't know
301	Tim Donaldson	Don't know
304	Malcolm Robertson	Don't know
311	Gavin Harris	Don't know
315	Jasmine Kuka	Don't know
316	Ngatuputupu Potene	Don't know
321	Tammy Webb	Don't know
323	Kelly Kuka	Don't know
324	Whareangiangi Pita	Don't know
328	Rachael	Don't know
330	Kura Martin	Don't know
331	Angeline Samuels	Don't know
342	Rosina Tuhakaraina	Don't know
345	Kyle Beetham	Don't know
347	Taylor Raj	Don't know
348	Kalim Karaka	Don't know
356	Lee Weatherley	Don't know
360	Joel Ngātuere	Don't know
364	Justine Murray	Don't know
365	Regan Murray	Don't know
366	Apanui Tawa	Don't know
367	Mererina Murray	Don't know
369	Gwynnith Cumming	Don't know
370	Hamuera Kohu	Don't know
371	Marata Paama	Don't know
372	Julia Wharton	Don't know
378	Noel Stevens	Don't know
385	Lynne Winter	Don't know
386	Raymond Sowerby	Don't know
387	Annabelle Sowerby	Don't know
388	Charnaye walker	Don't know
391	Allen Meredith	Don't know
399	Hannah Stewart	Don't know
419	Mike Rose	Don't know
425	Mokoera Te Amo	Don't know
426	Julie Te Amo	Don't know
439	Nathan Olsen	Don't know
440	Briar Davie	Don't know
441	Megan Johnstone	Don't know

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

	442	Blair Johnstone	Don't know
	444	Gendi Burwell	Don't know
	446	Jesse Archer	Don't know
	462	Lisa Cooper	Don't know
	467	Megan Olsen	Don't know
	468	Roana Bennett	Don't know
	471	Jennifer Lowes	Don't know
	472	Billie Jean Potaka Ayton	Don't know
	473	K Martin	Don't know
	474	Thomas Tapsell	Don't know
	475	Judy Tapsell	Don't know
	476	Arapo Whata	Don't know
	477	Anahera Dinsdale	Don't know
	479	Miriama Le Comte	Don't know
	480	Kalleisha Wade	Don't know
	481	Janet Commins	Don't know
	485	Paul Hickson	Don't know
	495	Steve Burton	Don't know
	499	Te Puke Community Board	Don't know
	500	Shantelle Mahia	Don't know
	502	Chiavanni Le'Mon	Don't know
	518	Bruce Brown	Don't know
	527	Doreen Dickey	Don't know

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

Topic	Issue	Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Do you support the Kaimai and Maketu-Te Puke Ward boundary adjustment?	Comments
RRW24-03: Ward Boundary Adjustments	04: Support Kaimai and Maketu- Te Puke Ward Boundary Change	6	Alison Henderson (Alie)	Yes	All good!
		36	Buddy Mikaere	Yes	Seems sensible
		58	Phillip Hapi Smith	Yes	Minor extension to existing boundaries
		96	Roger Goodman	Yes	Yes is logical and add another Kaimai Councilor. Too much territory for 3.
		114	Keith Davidson	Yes	Making the boundaries more proportional to the total voters is an endorsement of the democratic process of 1 person, 1 vote.
		194	Colin Hewens	Yes	You have the numbers to make sane decisions for equity between the three areas.
		279	Te Pae Tamihana	Yes	Ae-I tautoko this kōrero
		291	Carley Hennings	Yes	Yes I support this
		324	Whareangi Pita	Yes	Tribal boundaries must be a knowledge
		346	Julian Fitter	Yes	Yes, but see above comment
		376	Megan Jowsey	Yes	I agree with rebalancing the ward populations.
		436	Alan Goodyear		I also agree with adjusting the ward boundaries.
		456	Maxine Woodhall	Yes	Agree only on the basis of sharing the workload equitably between councillors
		468	Roana Bennett	Yes	The Maketu Ward boundaries need to better reflect Maketu.
		505	Dale Snell	Yes	Boundary change is good
529	John Buchanan	Yes	I also agree with adjusting the ward boundaries		

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

Topic	Issue	Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Do you support the Kaimai and Maketu-Te Puke Ward boundary adjustment?
RRW24-03: Ward Boundary Adjustments	04: Support Kaimai - Maketu-Te Puke Ward Boundary Change	4	Ross Goudie	Yes
		5	Sammy Paige	Yes
		10	Wendy Baker	Yes
		13	Barbara Hickman	Yes
		14	Martin Baker	Yes
		15	Beverley Edwards	Yes
		23	Blaise Williams	Yes
		26	Jodie Houltram	Yes
		31	Colin Pollitt	Yes
		33	Joanne Wiggett	Yes
		35	Jared Lee	Yes
		37	Jodi Hurford	Yes
		40	Richard Stephens	Yes
		41	Brett Waterhouse	Yes
		42	Kassandra Steel	Yes
		43	Simon	Yes
		44	John Clements	Yes
		45	Simon Neylon	Yes
		47	Pauline Westhead	Yes
		49	Eric Kolver	Yes
		54	Garry Newson	Yes
		55	Karen Smillie	Yes
		56	Amy Edwards	Yes
		61	Tony Nicholls	Yes
		65	Peter Smith	Yes
		67	Clayton Hall	Yes
		68	Martin Jessop	Yes
		70	Nicole Royackers	Yes
		72	Indra Pokhrel	Yes
		73	G Mitchell	Yes
76	Liz Meyers	Yes		
78	William James Lochhead	Yes		
83	Stephen Steddy	Yes		
85	Gaynor Coldicutt	Yes		
86	Rebecca James	Yes		
89	Peter McCormick	Yes		

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

90	Peter Butler	Yes
94	Michael O'Rourke	Yes
95	Peter Musk	Yes
97	Ron Mcdiarmid	Yes
100	Steven Stark	Yes
101	Melanie Longdill	Yes
103	Susanne Rolfe	Yes
104	Owen Allsop	Yes
105	Wayne Merriman	Yes
107	Andrea Wylie	Yes
109	Peter Pooran	Yes
110	Mark Copeland	Yes
112	Graeme Dick	Yes
117	Camilla Grimbeek	Yes
118	Maru Rininui	Yes
119	Wayne McIndoe	Yes
120	Cecilia Burgess	Yes
123	Gavin Stansfield	Yes
127	Mike	Yes
128	Robert Heath Smart	Yes
130	Peter Griffiths	Yes
134	Sheryl Thocolich	Yes
136	Leigh Neilson	Yes
137	Wayne O'Driscoll	Yes
138	Diane Crisp	Yes
141	Raewyn Turner	Yes
145	Tim Reehal	Yes
147	George Clemens	Yes
148	Philip Laurence	Yes
151	Kiel Mans	Yes
155	Carey Pearce	Yes
158	Werner Schefer	Yes
160	Leanne Robinson	Yes
165	Lynda Frew	Yes
166	Rob Hawker	Yes
167	Phillip Martelli	Yes
170	Summer Heke	Yes
172	Paretiaki Burt	Yes
173	Rebecca	Yes

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

175	Gia Nelson	Yes
177	Hautapu Baker	Yes
180	Jo Cameron	Yes
183	Terry Griffiths	Yes
186	Reremoana Nepia	Yes
193	Mike Bayliss	Yes
195	Nicola Reid	Yes
199	Toni Strahan	Yes
201	Glenn Burt	Yes
205	Blanche McMath	Yes
206	Chris Sutton	Yes
209	Richard Logan	Yes
212	Andrew Hodgson	Yes
213	Clare Neven	Yes
216	Sharlene Rumney	Yes
219	Doug Moore	Yes
224	Margaret Ross	Yes
225	Julie Nicholson	Yes
228	Robyn McLennan	Yes
229	Sally Bower	Yes
231	Peter Arnold	Yes
235	John Bourke	Yes
237	Jim Thomas	Yes
238	John Allen	Yes
239	Annette Assen	Yes
244	Greg Lewis	Yes
251	Geoff & Ali Rice	Yes
257	Nats	Yes
264	Ruiha Whare	Yes
266	Roberta Marsh	Yes
268	Owen taki Williams	Yes
273	Phillip kahotea	Yes
278	Mary Brockett	Yes
280	Pikitia Hennings	Yes
281	Andrea Laison	Yes
282	Donna Gardiner	Yes
297	Kahurimu Rauwhero	Yes
301	Tim Donaldson	Yes
305	Sandra Potaka	Yes

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

308	Dennis Johns	Yes
310	Dianne Morris	Yes
314	Dietmar Vogel	Yes
318	Teena Dinsdale	Yes
319	Nga Pikitia Hennings	Yes
320	Malcolm Smith	Yes
325	Anton Palmer	Yes
326	Darlene Dinsdale	Yes
327	Apina Rodger	Yes
329	Maurice Morehu Ngatoko	Yes
332	Mereana Te Pere	Yes
335	Judith and Peter Malcolm	Yes
336	Leslie brumby	Yes
338	Peter Stockwell	Yes
341	Liz Farrell	Yes
350	Kelly	Yes
351	Don Wallis	Yes
355	Shannon	Yes
358	Lynette Shields	Yes
359	Frank Kawe	Yes
374	Paul Yeo	Yes
377	Dennis Madden	Yes
379	Daniel Fuller	Yes
380	Terangi Bartlett	Yes
381	Pania Wilson	Yes
383	Patricia Marion Haine	Yes
390	Elva Conroy	Yes
393	Tim Dunn	Yes
397	Paul Meharry	Yes
403	Hinemotu Douglas	Yes
404	Eoin Ritchie	Yes
406	Pia Osborne	Yes
407	Alison Cowley	Yes
417	Tracy Harris	Yes
418	Penny Stockwell	Yes
420	Mark Smith	Yes
421	Roxanne Bunker	Yes
422	Nathan Bunker	Yes
423	Cherry Clements	Yes

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

	425	Mokoera Te Amo	Yes
	427	Marie Bourke	Yes
	428	Charles Tuapawa Jnr	Yes
	429	Te Rangi Rae	Yes
	430	Russell Dons	Yes
	445	Paul Nikora	Yes
	447	Roger Course	Yes
	449	Kristy	Yes
	451	Ian Goodger	Yes
	453	Noel Fisher	Yes
	454	Rob Pakes	Yes
	455	Felia Faber	Yes
	458	Martyn Woodhall	Yes
	465	Colin Graham	Yes
	466	Natalie Mankelow	Yes
	469	Catherine Stringer	Yes
	470	Rawiri Bhana	Yes
	474	Thomas Tapsell	Yes
	475	Judy Tapsell	Yes
	476	Arapo Whata	Yes
	481	Janet Commins	Yes
	482	Karen Aspey	Yes
	483	Paula Gaelic	Yes
	485	Paul Hickson	Yes
	498	Nessie Ani Hinetai Kuka	Yes
	499	Te Puke Community Board	Yes
	501	Sara Broadhurst	Yes
	504	Michael Jones	Yes
	518	Bruce Brown	Yes
	520	Grant Deed	Yes
	524	Graeme D Mankelow	Yes
	525	Norman F Mayo	Yes
	526	John Bell	Yes
	560	Keith Hayman	Yes



Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

Topic	Issue	Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Do you support the Kaimai and Maketu-Te Puke Ward boundary adjustment?	Comments
RRW24-03: Ward Boundary Adjustments	05: Oppose Kaimai - Maketu-Te Puke Ward Boundary Change	8	Peter Turner	No	All area should have equal representation for the ratepayers
		16	Andy Earl	No	From all conversation, the Kaiamai electorate are happy with what they have. Margaret Murray Bengel does a good job.
		232	Gabrielle Syminton	No	There is no good reason to change
		296	Hinenui Cooper	No	Consultation needs to occur with Ngati Pukenga ki Tauranga. The proposed changes splits our Rohe into 2 separate wards. This is not acceptable.
		307	Paul Mead	No	I object to the proposed boundary changes.
		309	Noel Silver	No	The numbers are minor , so leave things alone
		357	Phil Shields		The existing ward structure is satisfactory.
		369	Gwynnith Cumming	No	As a household we are not involved in community or use any businesses or facilities in Maketu and do not see that it is of any advantage to have this boundary change for us. We are connected to Te Puke Community and that is where we would expect our rates portion going to rather than Maketu.
		373	Allan Dawson	Don't know	Am not familiar with the reasons for change in that district but suspect they are similar to 7.
		402	Anne Roberts	No	WBOP is rural community, needs to be inclusive, urban and rural intertwined in life facets
		408	Cheryl Toma	No	Consider hapu, iwi boundaries & area
503	Patricia Hay	No	Not if it is only to accommodate a maori ward		

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

Topic	Issue	Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Do you support the Kaimai and Maketu-Te Puke Ward boundary adjustment?
RRW24-03: Ward Boundary Adjustments	05: Oppose Kaimai - Maketu-Te Puke Ward Boundary Change	7	Craig Darrall	No
		20	Vanessa Ewart	No
		21	Brooke Jones	No
		28	Michelle Zoanne Hawthorne	No
		48	Chris Larking	No
		50	Beat Wisler	No
		62	Julie Cole	No
		64	John Topping	No
		69	Caleb Evans	No
		71	Greg Ward	No
		111	Alan Richard Kurtovich	No
		126	Nelson Drummond and Christine Brooke	No
		144	P. McKenzie	No
		146	Iain Haggarty	No
		159	M Stevens	No
		169	Hope	No
		179	Tash Tukaokao	No
		202	Destiny Leaf	No
		210	Dallas Tauwi	No
		234	June Soby	No
		236	Aaron Hokopaura	No
		243	Esther Williams	No
		248	Nathan Sanderson	No
		253	Jason ake	No
		255	Sophie Biel	No
		261	Marama dickson	No
		293	Marama Walker	No
		298	Oriwa Lovett	No
		300	TeAroha Rahui	No
		302	Hirinii Potene	No
313	Richard Shore	No		
316	Ngatuputupu Potene	No		
333	Gavin Motu	No		
334	David Ratima TrusT ceo-Agent	No		
337	Grant William Jeffcote	No		
339	John Pottinger	No		
343	Te Uru Tarewa Irwin	No		

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

	368	Heather Guptill	No
	384	Karen Whatuira	No
	394	Eunique Paki	No
	396	Marlene Samuels	No
	399	Hannah Stewart	No
	400	Karl Christoffersen	No
	409	Toni Connelly	No
	411	Timothy & Carol Shellis	No
	414	Grant Cowles	No
	416	Janene Cowles	No
	424	John Dallimore	No
	448	Patrick Donohue	No
	457	Jill Dell	No
	472	Billie Jean Potaka Ayton	No
	478	Ange Dinsdale	No
	497	Jackie Wenham	No
	528	Maurice Fletcher	No
	565	Joan Dugmore	No

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

Topic	Issue	Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Do you support the Kaimai and Maketu-Te Puke	Comments
RRW24-03: Ward Boundary Adjustments	06: Other Comments About Kaimai and Maketu-Te Puke Ward Boundary Changes	8	Peter Turner	No	All area should have equal representation for the ratepayers
		58	Phillip Hapi Smith	Yes	A lot of development is currently happening within the Maketu area and on the fringes of the proposed boundary ward. This development will have a huge impact on the Maketu community. The Ranguru Business park will have a huge increase in vehicular traffic of all shapes and sizes. The impact on the Maketu community and the current way of life that people enjoy and want to preserve could be devastating if this traffic is allowed to use Maketu for any access to the Park.. A No entry or Exit to the Ranguru business park through the Maketu ward needs to be put in place Now
		60	Susan Padgett	Don't know	Can't see clearly where Rocky Cutting Rd sits.
		121	Barbara Atkins	Don't know	As long as it not just about numbers but areas of population and communities grouped
		125	Cherie Palm	No	Insufficient consolidated information as to why it is moving.
		176	Carol Parsons	Don't know	I need more information to make an informed answer
		263	Meremaihi Aloua	Don't know	So long as we are granted and supported to have a seat and voice at the table.
		265	Tama K	Yes	Don't think matters know the boundaries, they answer to the same chamber
		272	Donna-Marie Parata	Don't know	Even though I come under this area, I don't know what this means. Does it means it extends our area of minimises it? Unsure.
		306	Matewai Maxine Karaka-Clarke	Don't know	Have you invited them to the table to firstly for their input? I will support on the premise that the lwi of these boundaries have been consulted prior to this going to air.

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

		312	Judy Treloar	Don't know	We want assurance that the Maketu - Te Puke don't become the "poor cousins" to Katikati-Waihi Beach, with more services, infrastructure and spending going to these other areas.
		349	Pirihira Douglas	No	Need more info
		373	Allan Dawson	Don't know	Am not familiar with the reasons for change in that district but suspect they are similar to 7.
		509	Helen Biel	Don't know	We have no issue with the minor adjustment to the Te Puke Maketū ward. What I will highlight is the fact that both wards are in with-in our tribal estate. I understand the need to reflect the interests of the community. The adjustment makes no difference to Tapuika except to reiterate that both wards are within our tribal rohe.
		517	Dianne & Peter Dudfield		Council is proposing to have "eight general ward councillors (two representing the Katikati-Waihi Beach Ward, three representing the Kaimai Ward and three representing the Maketu-Te Puke Ward)" one Māori ward councillor (representing the Western Bay of Plenty Māori ward). "WBOPDC currently has two Maori councillors who were elected to general wards. If a Maori ward is introduced and three councillor positions removed there is a good chance that the current Maori councillors would lose their seats (one lives in Waihi Beach which has only a third of the voters of Katikati and the other is the most recently elected councillor). The proposal states "While it is not a legal requirement that general ward councillors must represent the same number of people (+/- 10%) as the Māori ward councillor we think it is a more equitable approach that all councillors represent approximately the same amount of people (sic)." This statement is misleading. The numbers of people able to vote in each ward do not comply with the +/- 10% rule because a significant proportion of those in the "Electoral Population Estimate" for the Maori ward are on the general roll and cannot vote in the Maori ward.

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

Topic	Issue	Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Do you support the Kaimai and Maketu-Te Puke Ward boundary adjustment?
RRW24-03: Ward Boundary Adjustments	06: Other Comments About Kaimai and Maketu-Te Puke Ward Boundary Changes	1	Mark Hume	Don't know
		2	Raewyn Bennett	Don't know
		9	Vincent Beckett	Don't know
		11	Dr Jean-Paul Thull	Don't know
		12	Grand Parker	Don't know
		17	Susan Lean	Don't know
		18	Kathleen Jones	Don't know
		19	David Marshall	Don't know
		22	Shane Max	Don't know
		24	Catherine Rolleston	Don't know
		25	Maraea Kiel	Don't know
		27	Kevin Searle	Don't know
		29	Stuart S Steel	Don't know
		30	Tom Hansen	Don't know
		32	Sandra Partington	Don't know
		34	Todd Mortensen	Don't know
		38	Wayne Koedyk	Don't know
		39	Ken Coulam	Don't know
		46	Lindsay Horne	Don't know
		51	Michael Cooper	Don't know
		52	Peter Ellingham	Don't know
		53	Nathan Candy	Don't know
		57	Amanda Phillips	Don't know
		59	Toni Fraser	Don't know
		63	Gregory Padgett	Don't know
		66	Grant Webber	Don't know
		74	Geoffrey Harper	Don't know
		75	Beverly McCormick	Don't know
		77	Steve Harvey	Don't know
		79	Craig Farndale	Don't know
80	Hone Allen	Don't know		
81	Peter Longdill	Don't know		
82	Jeffrey Dougal	Don't know		
84	Christine Pelosi	Don't know		
87	Ross Simmonds	Don't know		

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

88	Nic Davies	Don't know
91	Marian Jones	Don't know
93	Chris and Sue Bedford	Don't know
98	Maxine Wallis	Don't know
99	Lesley Rainbow	Don't know
102	Angela Scott	Don't know
106	Ian Stevens	Don't know
108	Ian Skipper	Don't know
113	Amanda Besley	Don't know
115	Shane Valois	Don't know
116	Sonia Diacono	Don't know
122	Andrew Nippierd	Don't know
124	Anonymous	Don't know
129	Allan Wolfe	Don't know
131	Jane Yates	Don't know
133	Gregg Newton	Don't know
135	Belinda Carlyle	Don't know
139	William Kehoe	Don't know
140	Leon Scheepers	Don't know
142	Richard	Don't know
143	Tara Kehoe	Don't know
149	Philip Xu	Don't know
150	Denis Henderson	Don't know
152	Aaron Drayson	Don't know
153	Russell Knutson	Don't know
154	Matt Muller	Don't know
157	Marie	Don't know
161	Chris Parkinson	Don't know
162	Maree Voorend	Don't know
163	Peter Bullock	Don't know
164	Mike Woodrow	Don't know
168	Teresa Nepia	Don't know
171	Peata	Don't know
174	Reweti Kuka	Don't know
178	Te Moanaroa Togo	Don't know
181	Dean	Don't know
182	Richard Hoko	Don't know
184	Braden Strahan	Don't know

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

185	Tamoe Ngata	Don't know
187	Carol Fppard	Don't know
188	Don Kerr	Don't know
196	Malia Stowers	Don't know
197	Wayne Warin	Don't know
198	Titihuia Ngata	Don't know
200	Linda Marsh	Don't know
204	Angela Gill	Don't know
207	Sara Thorburn	Don't know
208	Neil Redshaw	Don't know
214	Michelle Jordan-Tong	Don't know
217	Danielle Tuapawa	Don't know
220	Kevin Christie	Don't know
221	Rachel Hollands	Don't know
222	Jodene	Don't know
226	Terri (Therese) McClintock	Don't know
227	Betty Robson	Don't know
230	Matthew Hattie	Don't know
233	Timothy Pearce	Don't know
240	Colin Chase	Don't know
241	Maureen Jacob	Don't know
242	Darren Leef	Don't know
245	Steve Allan	Don't know
246	Kelly Tate	Don't know
247	Rachael Coll	Don't know
252	Julian Rolleston	Don't know
258	Rangitawhai Rahiri	Don't know
259	Tahlia Rikihana	Don't know
260	Anita Johns	Don't know
262	Suaree Borell	Don't know
270	Gloria Stockdale	Don't know
274	Ellause Rolleston	Don't know
275	Teresa Moana	Don't know
276	Robert	Don't know
277	Rimini Williams	Don't know
283	Lyn Smith	Don't know
285	Andrea Wells	Don't know
286	Hope Bidois	Don't know



## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

287	Kiri Ahomiro	Don't know
288	Corey	Don't know
289	Kalei Delamere-Ririnui	Don't know
290	Wei Xu	Don't know
295	Atawhai Heke	Don't know
299	Mere-Tu-Ahiahi Horopapera	Don't know
304	Malcolm Robertson	Don't know
311	Gavin Harris	Don't know
315	Jasmine Kuka	Don't know
317	Angela Moore	Don't know
321	Tammy Webb	Don't know
322	Anne	Don't know
323	Kelly Kuka	Don't know
328	Rachael	Don't know
330	Kura Martin	Don't know
331	Angeline Samuels	Don't know
340	Bruce and Susan Hamblyn	Don't know
342	Rosina Tuhakaraina	Don't know
345	Kyle Beetham	Don't know
347	Taylor Raj	Don't know
348	Kalim Karaka	Don't know
356	Lee Weatherley	Don't know
360	Joel Ngātūere	Don't know
364	Justine Murray	Don't know
365	Regan Murray	Don't know
366	Apanui Tawa	Don't know
367	Mererina Murray	Don't know
370	Hamuera Kohu	Don't know
371	Marata Paama	Don't know
372	Julia Wharton	Don't know
375	Hilton Paul	Don't know
378	Noel Stevens	Don't know
382	Antonio Apaapa	Don't know
385	Lynne Winter	Don't know
386	Raymond Sowerby	Don't know
387	Annabelle Sowerby	Don't know
388	Charnaye walker	Don't know
389	Donald Carter	Don't know

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

		391	Allen Meredith	Don't know
		392	Kevin Blanchard	Don't know
		401	Wayne Stevenson	Don't know
		405	Peter Richard Dudfield	Don't know
		419	Mike Rose	Don't know
		426	Julie Te Amo	Don't know
		439	Nathan Olsen	Don't know
		440	Briar Davie	Don't know
		441	Megan Johnstone	Don't know
		442	Blair Johnstone	Don't know
		443	Katch Katikati	Don't know
		444	Gendi Burwell	Don't know
		446	Jesse Archer	Don't know
		450	Stephen Colson	Don't know
		452	Jacqui Knight	Don't know
		459	Robert Hulme	Don't know
		460	Susan Hope	Don't know
		461	Nicola Austin	Don't know
		462	Lisa Cooper	Don't know
		463	Ashleigh Spencrr	Don't know
		467	Megan Olsen	Don't know
		471	Jennifer Lowes	Don't know
		473	K Martin	Don't know
		477	Anahera Dinsdale	Don't know
		479	Miriama Le Comte	Don't know
		480	Kalleisha Wade	Don't know
		484	Heather Cleaver	Don't know
		494	Henry Kruger	Don't know
		495	Steve Burton	Don't know
		500	Shantelle Mahia	Don't know
		502	Chiavanni Le'Mon	Don't know
		506	Joanne Johnston	Don't know
		508	Larissa Pool	Don't know
		521	Mike Hickey	Don't know
		527	Doreen Dickey	Don't know

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

Topic	Issue	Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Do you agree with the establishment of one Māori Ward in our district?	Comments
RRW24-04: Māori Wards	01: Support Māori Wards	4	Ross Goudie	Yes	Reasonable
		5	Sammy Paige	Yes	I applaud the Mayor and Councillors that voted to stand by their original decision to establish a Māori ward seat.
		6	Alison Henderson (Alie)	Yes	The idea of equal numbers in both the general wards and Māori ward does make sense, but this will need to change should more people begin to identify as Māori, in which case we may need two Māori wards.
		14	Martin Baker	Yes	I am very glad that Council decided to stick by the original decision.
		17	Susan Lean	Yes	Don't get pushed by racists to not have Maori representation on the council.
		19	David Marshall	Yes	Very supportive if supported by sufficient Maori voters
		24	Catherine Rolleston	Yes	Absolutely essential. We need representation by our local tangata. This would show we take equity seriously and we understand democracy does not give an equal voice to tangata whenua. It upholds a notion of treaty based relationships and make our community richer for it. It would also show integrity from the council and that is a stepping stone to authentic relationships.
		25	Maraea Kiel	Yes*	Just to say I think Western Bay of Plenty has overall been a good Council. And example in some decisions to other Councils in the past. As for the Maori wards .I feel our Maori Representation would only enhance the Mana of our western Bay of Plenty Council, yet again leading the way as an example to other Councils. Please don't let us down ,yet again, Government is doing that at the moment. Show them you care about your Maori Constituents. Nga mihi.
		36	Buddy Mikaere	Yes	Definitely! Enables a more rounded perspective around the Council table.
		39	Ken Coulam	Yes	This will ensure that the Māori voice is heard at the council table
		58	Phillip Hapi Smith	Yes	There is a lot of deep-rooted History within the wider Western Bay of Plenty including Maketu and Tepuke. Local Maori need to have a voice on anything of cultural significance within the board.
		107	Andrea Wylie	Yes	Absolutely agree that tangara whēnua should have a proper voice. Keep the Maori ward definitely

\*This response has been added or amended from 'no' or 'don't know' to 'yes' as the respondent supports Māori ward.

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

125	Cherie Palm	Yes	With the establishment, one councillor and 2-3 community members should be elected.
138	Diane Crisp	Yes	It's about time
140	Leon Scheepers	Yes*	a lot of nationalities in NZ now & in Katikati. No issues against anyone - just seek a good representation māori eople misunderstood. Māori ward supported. It's only one representative across the whole district. Not favouritism.
155	Carey Pearce	Yes	Maori ward is essential. Want to keep the maori ward
165	Lynda Frew	Yes	Strongly support Māori representation. Ensures clear voice to represent and advocate for Māori concerns/interests. Consider it an enhancement of our democracy. Should not impact on Council's efforts to ensure diversity in elected members generally. More effort needed to encourage and welcome diversity in the elected members.
167	Phillip Martelli	Yes	It is the right thing to do.
168	Teresa Nepia	Yes	Yes I agree - we need our voice at the table
169	Hope	Yes	Yes, I support this. Let's come together!
174	Reweti Kuka	Yes	Absolutely it gives a marginalized population a voice, it acknowledges the Tirit o Waitangi, the general populations is/can be represented by other chairs at a greater rate. Thank you councillors for voting yes to Maori wards, nga mihi koutou.
177	Hautapu Baker	Yes	Yes I support the Maori ward
178	Te Moanaroa Togo	Yes	Tangata Whenua representation is critical - it's our right under Te Tiriti o Waitangi
180	Jo Cameron	Yes	We must retain our Māori ward
182	Richard Hoko	Yes	Keep the maori ward
185	Tamoe Ngata	Yes	This us a MUST for fair representation and decision making for our community. You made a bold choice to have a Maori Ward, please keep it. I support that we retain Maori Ward Councillors
186	Reremoana Nepia	Yes	I agree with, and support a Māori ward - 1 or more Māori wards for Western Bay are necessary to ensure Māori are represented when it comes to decision making and were committed to partnership and what that looks like in practise.

\*This response has been added or amended from 'no' or 'don't know' to 'yes' as the respondent supports Māori ward.

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

194	Colin Hewens		Yes	The current coalition government has one member, ACT, who seem intent on silencing one party of the Waitangi Treaty. I hope that a dedicated Maori ward will keep a voice for tangata whenua, against a future potentially racist majority of right wing European voters	
196	Malia Stowers		Yes	Āe - I tautoko this because we need a voice at the table!	
198	Titihuia Ngata		Yes	We need a well rounded well balanced council maori representatives are a big deal for me it will gather trust of Tangata Whenua to have maori reps and it will help when dealing with land boundaries 😊	
203	Sophia Muir		Yes	More Maori representation the better. Support Maori representation. We need Maori representation.	
211	Ian Larsen		Yes	While Maori only represent a small proportion of the population, maori interests deserve a voice that can be heard above the general noise of the rest of the population.	
220	Kevin Christie		Yes*	Very supportive. Māori representatives bring a richness to decision making. Equitable representation by numbers. Need to avoid circular debates. We need to understand & accept what statistics tell us. Proposal provides proportional representation. Everyone has an equal vote. Fair approach.	
222	Jodene		Yes	I tautoko the maori wards and glad our council have decided to keep it	
8	Peter Turner		Yes	Yes it helps them have representation in a way that suits Māori.	
224	Margaret Ross		Yes	It is Important that Maori as the indigenous people have a guaranteed seat at the table	
227	Betty Robson		Yes	Does it still retain a Māori councilor on the board? If so, I agree.	
229	Sally Bower		Yes	I value the inclusion of the maori member over keeping the number at 11.	

\*This response has been added or amended from 'no' or 'don't know' to 'yes' as the respondent supports Māori ward.

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

		242	Darren Leef	Yes	It is imperative that Maori have representation in council. This is not a democratic "thing", this is the rights of Maori through Tiriti o Waitangi. When this Tiriti was signed it was, and is still a partnership. This partnership should represent 50%. We are asking for way less than this. Even this would be a breach of Tiriti. No matter what the number of general ward councillors are, I believe it is to the overall benefit of the council to continue to have a Maori ward.
		246	Kelly Tate	Yes	Māori representation is vital to the success of our hāpori / community. KEEP THE MĀORI WARD so OUR voices are heard and supported! Keep newly elected and protect Māori Wards! End racism in Tauranga!
		247	Rachael Coll	Yes	Must have Māori wards
		249	Kahurangi Ake-Johnson	Yes	Yes maori voice at the table with decision making and non biased opinions that affect our region need to be there. As well as giving sound advice and history of the WBOPD area. We all move forward together and discuss all options as a collective. It can not be done with no maori representation. I whole heartedly support a maori ward. But it only says 1 maori ward, in the proposal there was another option for 2. If I was to vote, I would ask for 2 as you have different lwi within your boundaries.
		250	Hemorere	Yes	I tautoko this because I believe that Māori deserve a voice at the table.
		253	Jason ake	Yes	Maori wards provide an opportunity for Maori to contribute to the decision making table. Much of the WBOP real estate sit on land that was confiscated as a result of a colonial invasion. We are commercially savvy with the resources we now have and contribute significantly to the local economy.
		254	Pirihira Wihapi-Taupe	Yes	Āe I tautoko the Māori ward ake ake ake
		256	Bernie Ake	Yes	We need a voice at the table

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

259	Tahlia Rikihana	Yes	Yes	Āe yea I agree and tautoko to having the establishment of a Māori ward in our district. We need Māori representation to be at the table and our voices to be heard.
260	Anita Johns	Yes	Yes	I think one is better than none... good start for now
261	Marama dickson	Yes	Yes	Yes I support maori ward
262	Suaree Borell	Yes	Yes	Aligns with LGA - and te tiriti rights of Māori. Timeless trait of humanity to seek connection. In local government context, this means a need for representation. Fully endorse 3 x discussion starter statements. Community voice needed to give local reflection to legislative provisions. Are 3 x BOPRC maori ward councillors proportionate? We tend to discuss maori representation as an option, but there is legislation to require maori involvement in decision-making. Supports 3 x discussion starter statements. Supports diversity of view and representation.
263	Meremaihi Aloua	Yes	Yes	Yes, we should be granted and supported to have a seat and voice at the table.
265	Tama K	Yes	Yes	Don't think matters know the boundaries, they answer to the same chamber
267	Sharon McLeod	Yes	Yes	I support the establishment of a Māori ward to enable fair democratic representation for tangata wheneua.
271	Motuhia Williams	Yes	Yes	Ae – I tautoko this because we need a voice at the table.
272	Donna-Marie Parata	Yes	Yes	Would love to have 80 Māori/20 non-Māori representation across all boards! Never going to happen though is it. So at least have 50/50 and uphold Te Tiriti and equal partnership. Bloody racist to not have Māori wards. Keep Māori wards, have Māori voices at the table. Include tangata wheneua and iwi in decision making korero and make sure to treat our people with dignity and respect. We whakapapa to the wheneua, the maunga, the awa, do you?
276	Robert	Yes	Yes	Māori are the kaitiaki of this land, they should absolutely have a seat at any governance table in this country.
277	Rimini Williams	Yes	Yes	Ae I support this

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

				Ae- we need a Māori ward at the table. Ae- We need to have a Māori Ward at this table and I fully tautoko this kōrero Ae-I tautoko this as we need a Māori ward at the table Ae-I will back this 100%
279	Te Pae Tamihana	Yes		
284	Toni Heke-Ririnui	Yes		Tautokohia katoatia tēnei tūru kia āhei tētahi reo, tētahi tangata te tū hei māngai mō tātou te iwi Māori. Kia toka tū te tūru Māori mō ake tonu atu!
286	Hope Bidois	Yes		Ae, I support this. Keep the Maori Ward!
287	Kiri Ahomiro	Yes		Āe, I support as we need a seat at the table/need a voice. I support having a Māori Ward.
288	Corey	Yes		Āe, I support as we need a seat at the table/need a voice. I support having a Māori ward.
289	Kalei Delamere-Ririnui	Yes		It is in accordance with Te Tiriti o Waitangi and Maori deserve to be included this way as Tirit Partners.
291	Carley Hennings	Yes		I support this 100%
292	Marcia Taikato-Whauwhau	Yes		Yes I agree on working together for the future of Tauranga Moana
293	Marama Walker	Yes		Common sense as to why... representation!
294	Allana McLeod	Yes		Ae - I support this
295	Atawhai Heke	Yes		As tangata whenua of course there should people there to be be our spokesperson
297	Kahurimu Rauwhero	Yes		Ae, Tautoko. Toitū Te Tiriti
298	Oriwa Lovett	Yes		WE need a voice at the table. Do the right thing by allowing Maori to have a voice at the table.
300	TeAroha Rahui	Yes		Because maori need to have a voice at the table. Maori should always have a seat because they are our voice
302	Hirinii Potene	Yes*		Yes we need more Maori to represent other Maori because we've been left out for far too long.
303	Scott Campbell	Yes		I support having a Maori voice at council table
305	Sandra Potaka	Yes		Effective governance requires a representative voice on behalf of tangata whenua. Māori wards provide tangata whenua representation at the decision making table. It also provides others at the table with a person who can connect them directly with those who have specialist knowledge of the aspirations, expectations and responsibilities of tangata tiaki, those who are charged with ensuring our resources are maintained and sustainable.

\*This response has been added or amended from 'no' or 'don't know' to 'yes' as the respondent supports Māori ward.



Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

					<p>When you say district, does this refer to all the areas that are named in the maps.</p> <p>Have you invited them to the table to firstly for their input?</p> <p>I will support on the premise that the lwi of these boundaries have been consulted prior to this going to air. It would be wonderful to have a Māori Ward. Thank you for allowing us, the general public to have a voice on this platform.</p> <p>My tupuna Hei, Tia, Ngatoroirangi and Tamatekapua all arrived on the Te Arawa waka. I am a direct descendent of the tupuna Hei, Waitaha te lwi.</p> <p>I have watched my elders over the years continually frustrated with the past and current councils growing their own needs and not allowing them an audience at the table. Our awa, Te Raparapa -a-hoe is sick, she hemorrhaging from all the toxins that are flowing into her and from all the natural growth along her banks that naturally grow to keep her healthy. The kai in the river is nearly non-existent. We need "tangata whenua" at the table to support and grow our "rohe" together.</p>
306	Matewai Maxine Karaka-Clarke		Yes*		
310	Dianne Morris		Yes		<p>I think I may have answered that in the first question . I believe that all parts of government should be more inclusive of Maori viewpoint. We all need to live in harmony and to feel we have a voice on issues that seem important to us. NZ has a great society and democracy and we need to keep it that way. This is a move in the right direction. a slight adjustment from time to time seems sensible to me, so that we don't get top heavy with administration and meetings .. Looks to me as if we didn't have a Maori ward councillor previously, so I am pleased that it is proposed to have one in the future.</p>
315	Jasmine Kuka		Yes		<p>Ae I tautoko this because we need a voice at the table</p>
319	Nga Pikitia Hennings		Yes		<p>Yes! I believe Māori should have a space at these levels.</p>
321	Tammy Webb		Yes		<p>Yes, i agree with having a maori ward in our district</p>
323	Kelly Kuka		Yes		<p>It is exciting to see representation from mana whenua in a decision making context</p>
324	Whareangiangi Pita		Yes		<p>Again tribal boundaries must be recognized</p>
325	Anton Palmer		Yes		<p>I support Māori wards</p>
327	Apina Rodger		Yes		<p>Āe – let's do this together!</p>

\*This response has been added or amended from 'no' or 'don't know' to 'yes' as the respondent supports Māori ward.

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

328	Rachael	Yes	With a Maori ward our people are able to continue to practice and uphold Maori tikanga in the comfort of a Maori recognized ward. As to not bother others who don't take to our ways also. Karakia, waiata, himene, moteatea just to name a few of our tikanga we practice that bring us another sense of comfort that's been enriched in us since birth. A comfort we couldn't gain without the right space to do it. Yet an aroha I'm sure many would happily share to others in the hohipera if need be. Ae, I support the kaupapa	
329	Maurice Morehu Ngatoko	Yes	Yes I support the establishment of a Māori ward in our district.	
331	Angeline Samuels	Yes	Ae – let's do this together!	
333	Gavin Motu	Yes	Ae – I tautoko this because we need a voice at the table	
334	David Ratima TrusT ceo-Agent	Yes	Don't want to end up like Fiji where you have been out voted by immigrants who have more political rights than the other person	
342	Rosina Tuhakaraina	Yes	Absolutely AGREE! This is already in place so is being "continued" rather than "established". NEARLY ALL other council areas have approved Maori Wards and WBOP has no moral justification not to do the same. Maori are a minority in this area largely due to massive land confiscation by warmongering colonizers .... Maori have not forgotten AND struggle to thrive, let alone survive, from that legacy. Without this ward Maori will have pretty much ZERO VOICE as to the direction of western bop. I CHALLENGE WBOP COUNCILLORS TO PROVE TO ALL THEIR CONSTITUENTS AND THE REST OF NZ THEY ARE NO LONGER A BUNCH OF OLD WHITE RACISTS THAT WILL NOT SHARE POWER!!!! Entrenching a Maori ward in our district is well overdue.	
343	Te Uru Tarewa Irwin	Yes	Maori must have their voices heard and acted on Tangata whenua should have a say in their own rohe	

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

346	Julian Fitter	Yes	IT is a shame that this good move will actually reduce democratic representation in the region
348	Kalim Karaka	Yes	I support this so Māori can have a voice at the table
349	Pirihira Douglas	Yes	Need half the council as tangata whenua /Maori according to Te Tiriti o Waitangi
350	Kelly	Yes	Maori wards are necessary for the district because it gives tangata whenua a voice.at the decision making table.
354	Garry Webber	Yes	To achieve that end I am firmly of the opinion that for the next and all future elections we need:1 Mayor, 4 Wards - with 2 Councillors for the Katikati/Waihi Beach ward, 3 Councillors for the Kaimai ward, 3 Councillors for the Te Puke/Maketu ward and 1 Councillor for a District wide Maori Ward,
359	Frank Kawe	Yes	Tangata whenua representation is important in future decision making
361	Makere Tawa	Yes	Should already be in place
362	Jane Sowerby	Yes	As Tangata Whenua/Mana Whenua we should have a voice
364	Justine Murray	Yes	This is integral to the future development of the Western Bay
365	Regan Murray	Yes	I think it's great for our Maori iwi around Tauranga Moana to have a Maori ward in Council.
366	Apanui Tawa	Yes	Hell yeah
367	Mererina Murray	Yes	It's important to have iwi/hapu/whanau representation in the decision making of Council
369	Gwynnith Cumming	Yes*	One representative on Council for the Western Bay Council
370	Hamuera Kohu	Yes	I want Maori ward/s. Appropriate representation of the Maori populace. let's be honest...more Maori representation means more treaty adherence.
371	Marata Paama	Yes	Māori Wards must remain. Māori Ward in each district.
376	Megan Jowsey	Yes	I agree with including a Maori ward
380	Terangi Bartlett	Yes	Very much support a Maori ward
383	Patricia Marion Haine	Yes*	Having a Maori rep at Council meetings is fine as long as they have the no higher rate of hourly pay than the council staff and no extra privilages as the rest of the staff. In the future a Naori rep should be elected.
384	Karen Whatuira	Yes	Āe, kei te tautoko ahau i tēnei kaupapa

\*This response has been added or amended from 'no' or 'don't know' to 'yes' as the respondent supports Māori ward.

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

393	Tim Dunn	Yes	WBOP has a strong Maori presence and history and I think it is fair to have a Māori ward, even though in general I believe in representation based not based on ethnicity. My involvement with the Māori community in Te Puna for over 20 years has changed my views.	
395	Te Uta Roretana	Yes	No specific comments just the one on setting up Maori Ward community boards.	
401	Wayne Stevenson	Yes	We have always come down on Maōri. Why are we afraid of enabling a Māori ward?	
407	Alison Cowley	Yes	I like the idea that Māori representation is elected by many iwi/ hapu members and officially and democratically designates representation/input. This will help with transparency and more businesslike processes. We hope.	
408	Cheryl Toma	Yes	Definitely need local & maori representatives. Definitely need more local & maori representation from specific communities that best represent their areas	
425	Mokoera Te Amo	Yes	As below, we should establish Māori Wards also	
436	Alan Goodyear		I agree with the proposal to reduce the number of councilors to ten and to have one councilor representing a Maori ward.	
443	Katch Katikati	Yes	It is important to ensure that they have a strong voice at the table.	
444	Gendi Burwell	Yes	I support Maori ward strongly. It wouldn't be my ward but I support it	
452	Jacqui Knight	Yes	Haven't lived in a minority race. Haven't had that lived experience. Having that representation is important, we haven't walked in those shoes.	
460	Susan Hope	Yes	I absolutely support the establishment of a Maori Ward. This in line with the Treaty of Waitangi responsibilities. This provides an opportunity to learn a lot from our Tangata Whenua who have a great deal of historical knowledge, understanding and respect for our natural environment. We must embrace opportunities to share cultures and enrich our understanding of each other. I believe that putting this to a vote has the potential to undo a lot of the momentum that has been building for Maori representation purely because Maori are a minority group and have a much harder task to gain a majority vote.	

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

461	Nicola Austin	Yes*	Share perspective - love Māori culture. These roles are for betterment of society. We should support this if this is what Māori want & think will work.	
462	Lisa Cooper	Yes	This will be good for everyone	
470	Rawiri Bhana	Yes	100% agree with this as a priority	
474	Thomas Tapsell	Yes	It's a start to a meaningful partnership. Be brave with the introduction of a Maori ward seat One is a start with more to come	
476	Arapo Whata	Yes*	I support the establishment of a māori ward.	
480	Kalleisha Wade	Yes	I am in full support for the establishment of Māori wards in our local council. As tangata whenua, Māori hold a unique place in Aotearoa, and ensuring their representation in local government is an important step toward honouring Te Tiriti o Waitangi and creating a fairer, more inclusive society. Māori have historically been underrepresented in decision-making processes at the local government level, despite their significant contributions to the cultural, social, and economic fabric of our communities. The introduction of Māori wards will help address this imbalance by providing a dedicated voice for Māori perspectives in council decisions, particularly those that impact their whenua, whakapapa, and well-being. Creating Māori wards is not just a legal responsibility under the principles of partnership, participation, and protection as outlined in Te Tiriti o Waitangi, but also an opportunity to build stronger relationships between Māori and non-Māori. It will allow the council to benefit from the rich history, knowledge, and values that Māori bring to governance.	
480	Kalleisha Wade		Representation matters. When Māori are included at the decision-making table, it strengthens the democratic process, enabling more balanced and well-informed decisions that benefit the whole community. The inclusion of Māori wards is an important step towards ensuring our local government reflects the diversity of the people it serves. I urge the council to adopt Māori wards and to lead by example in showing commitment to upholding Te Tiriti and fostering a more equitable future for all.	

\*This response has been added or amended from 'no' or 'don't know' to 'yes' as the respondent supports Māori ward.

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

				<p>The inclusion of Māori voices in our local governance should not be something we need to vote on—it should already be a core part of how our council operates. Māori are tangata whenua, the original people of this land, and their representation at every decision-making table is a fundamental right. Te Tiriti o Waitangi made clear the partnership between Māori and the Crown, yet here we are, still debating whether Māori deserve a space to advocate for their own interests and communities in 2024. This process of voting on Māori wards feels like an unnecessary hurdle in what should be a standard practice of honoring Te Tiriti. Māori perspectives aren't an afterthought—they're crucial to the well-being of our communities, the sustainability of our environment, and the future of our shared spaces. We shouldn't be debating whether Māori deserve seats at the table. The time for excuses and delays has passed. What we need now is to correct the imbalance that has marginalized Māori voices for generations. It's long overdue for our council to reflect the principles of Te Tiriti o Waitangi not just in words but in action. I urge the council to adopt Māori wards without hesitation, acknowledging that this decision should have been made a long time ago. This is about doing what's right, not just for Māori, but for the integrity of our governance as a whole. Let's stop putting Māori representation up for a vote—it should be a given.</p>
480	Kalleisha Wade			
482	Karen Aspey	Yes		Yes. This approach has been proven to improve representation at the Council table and is therefore important for democratic decision making.
483	Paula Gaelic	Yes		I firmly agree to a Māori ward.
487	Pirirākau Tribal Authority - Incorporated (Julie Shepherd)	Yes*		<p>There are 23 Marae in the Western Bay of Plenty District with their communities established around Marae residing nearby and blended into all parts of all communities. In addition, Marae and their wider whānau host multiple events where large numbers of wider Marae whānau are present which contributes positively to the local economy. The proposal to maintain three General wards and one Māori ward is supported, as it aligns with the principles of fair representation and acknowledges the importance of Māori perspectives at the Council decision making table. 4. Importance of Māori Wards. Māori wards are crucial for ensuring that the voices and perspectives of Māori communities are effectively represented in local government. Some key reasons why Māori wards are important:</p>

\*This response has been added or amended from 'no' or 'don't know' to 'yes' as the respondent supports Māori ward.

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

		487	Pirirākau Tribal Authority - Incorporated (Julie Shepherd)		<p>4.1 Cultural Representation: Māori wards provide a platform for iwi Māori to have their cultural values, traditions, and perspectives represented in decision-making processes.</p> <p>This is essential for honouring the unique cultural identity and historical significance of Māori communities within the district.</p> <p>4.2 Equity and Fairness: Establishing Māori wards helps to address historical imbalances and ensure that Māori have equitable representation in local governance. This aligns with the principles of Te Tiriti o Waitangi (Treaty of Waitangi), which emphasises partnership and participation. This takes nothing away from local communities, it provides positive and added value.</p> <p>4.3 Enhanced Decision-Making: Māori wards bring diverse viewpoints to the Council table, enriching discussions and leading to more comprehensive and inclusive decision-making. This diversity can lead to better outcomes for all communities.</p> <p>4.4 Strengthening Relationships: The establishment of Māori wards fosters stronger relationships between local Councils and Māori communities. It supports the development of authentic Te Tiriti partnerships based on mutual respect and understanding.</p>
		487	Pirirākau Tribal Authority - Incorporated (Julie Shepherd)		<p>4.5 Legal and Moral Obligations: Under the Local Government Act 2002, Councils have an obligation to provide opportunities for Māori to participate in decision-making processes. Māori wards are a tangible way to fulfil this obligation and recognise the diversity of communities. Overall, Māori wards are a vital component of a fair and inclusive electoral system that respects and integrates the voices of all community members. The past demographic of elected members is changing this is a momentous time despite the misinformed noise of those who continue to thrive in the concept of Māori inequity and negative statistics. In time it is hoped this will be better understood. Prioritising Māori wards is essential to upholding Tino Rangatiratanga, ensuring the rights of Māori as a sovereign peoples are respected and protected by Te Tiriti o Waitangi. This is not racially divisive but rather a commitment to honouring historical agreements that have shaped Aotearoa New Zealand. This takes nothing away from people where an originally founding and binding agreement is honoured between the Crown and Māori, the signatories, in so much as a contract is.</p>

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

				<p>Ensuring Representation: Māori wards provide a dedicated platform for Māori voices in local government, ensuring that the perspectives and needs are directly represented and addressed. Cultural Enrichment: By recognising Māori wards, we embrace and celebrate the rich cultural heritage of Aotearoa, enhancing our national identity with diverse traditions and viewpoints. Equity and Justice: These wards are a step towards rectifying historical injustices by ensuring Māori have equitable opportunities to influence decisions that affect their communities. Partnership and Participation: Māori wards embody the principles of partnership and participation outlined by the existing legal understandings of Te Tiriti o Waitangi, fostering a collaborative approach to governance. Strengthening Democracy: By including Māori perspectives, Māori wards contribute to a more robust and inclusive democratic process, reflecting the true diversity of Aotearoa New Zealand's population.</p>
487	Pirirākau Tribal Authority - Incorporated (Julie Shepherd)			
490	Te Puna Heartlands	Yes*		Te Puna Heartland Incorporated endorses creating an at-large Māori Ward for the Western Bay of Plenty District.
491	Katikati Community Board	Yes*		The Community Board believes that Council should stay with 11 Councillors + 1 Māori ward + Mayor until this is resolved over the next 3-6 years.
492	Waihi Beach Community Board	Yes*		We support this. The council has seriously considered the fair representation aspect, which is one person, one vote. All wards are within the +/-10% threshold. We do not support two Māori Wards or one Maori Ward with two councillors.
498	Nessie Ani Hinetai Kuka	Yes		I agree to having a Maori Ward seat as decided by Council last August
501	Sara Broadhurst	Yes		When we work in partnership with Maori, we make sure that we're engaging with the key stakeholder that is unique to New Zealand. Other stakeholders need to be engaged but by building Maori vies and influence into the system, we are more likely to get it right for future decisions and impacts.
502	Chiavanni Le'Mon	Yes		More Maori representation and education for western thinking wards especially when it come to Environmental and Resource Policy decisions

\*This response has been added or amended from 'no' or 'don't know' to 'yes' as the respondent supports Māori ward.



Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

514	Economic Development Group (Mark Boyle)	Yes	1. We believe communities of interest should have voice and a means to speak with elected members of Council 3. We request Council ensure the elected representative in the new Maori ward is always conscious of the views, needs and aspirations of Te Arawa.	
529	John Buchanan	Yes	I agree with the proposal to reduce the number of councillors to ten and to have one councilor representing a Maori ward	
531	Leisa Moorouse	Yes*	This proposal doesn't preclude Māori being elected on general wards, as well as Māori ward. Example of pie and implications for equity. You don't lose anything by giving something. What benefits Māori benefits everyone.	
532	Chris Dever	Yes*	Maori have networks like no European person. Not concerned that one Councillor would be able to represent all maori ward.	
202	Destiny Leaf	Yes*	Māori ward shouldn't be divisive. Māori should be represented at decision making table, to ensure Māori voice is heard and makes a contribution. Now is the opportunity for kotahitanga and to sit around the table together. Whoever is on the Māori roll will vote for the maori ward member. Next generation - its their turn to have a go. Want to work in unison.	
535	Julie Shepherd	Yes*	Importance of Māori worldview and representation at table, to represent their needs and ensuring equitable outcomes. Need to acknowledge outcomes in environmental degradation, state care, criminal justice system that are experienced more acutely by Māori.	
542	George Burt	Yes*	TOW is binding document. Māori never ceded sovereignty. Maōri compromised through land wars & it's been that way ever since.	

\*This response has been added or amended from 'no' or 'don't know' to 'yes' as the respondent supports Māori ward.

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

		543	Mabel Wharekawa -Burt	Yes*	Communities of interest has resonance with both Maori community and discussion around community board conversations. Māori do not get 2 votes. Delighted to have support. It is possible to support each other even if you don't agree 100%. Believe Māori ward can work. Reassuring that we can all work together. It's only one person at table. Here to bring things to the table, not take things away. A lot of Māori don't vote - apathy, mistrust can drive this.
		544	Linda Groenewegen	Yes*	Such a pleasure at last election to vote on a marae. Young Māori felt safe in that environment. Election method is colonial & separatist. Supports bi-cultural approach. Welcome change.
		546	Isabelle	Yes*	Māori overrepresented in a range of negative statistics. It affects how they are treated.
		551	Murray Henderson & Elizabeth Veldhuijzen	Yes*	Far North elected a Māori Mayor. Someone younger who can work across the race divide. Working well. Hve been living in Far North last 20 years & experienced social issues. Radicals on both sides of the divide spoil the water. Evolution not revolution. A voice of reason goes a long way.
		557	Bevin Pratt	Yes*	We need good councillors who represent the community. Don't understand some of the Maori issues in detail. Not sure why it's being discussed as it seems to make sense. For years, have opposed Māori wards but changed view over last 10-15 years. A lot of things Māori that he doesn't understand. Would value that representative to have that knowledge.
		562	Willie and Judy Tapsell	Yes*	Māori ward is crucial to bring Māori worldview to the Council table.
		564	Antonia Stringfield	Yes*	Wasn't aware of the split between two iwi within the proposed Māori ward. Not in favour of part time Councillors - because the wages are so low. Can see it will be a lovely role for that single Māori ward Councillor. Māori wards is the best way to get diversity around the Council table.

\*This response has been added or amended from 'no' or 'don't know' to 'yes' as the respondent supports Māori ward.

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

		567	Waiāri Kaitiaki Advisory Group	Yes*	<p>•Alternative mechanisms for whānau, hapū, iwi participation in Local Government were not the same as having dedicated seats at the decision making table. She believed, historically, that these alternative mechanisms had seen Māori voices ignored when inconvenient. •By prioritising political agenda over desires (wawata), Local Government and Territorial Authorities were, at times, in breach of the duty to actively protect the rights and interests of Māori. •Council should exercise and guarantee Tino Rangatiratanga in Article 2 to determine their own dedicated representation in Local Government. •Māori Wards offered fair and effective representation, with the key principle that Councillors represented, approximately, an equal number of people.</p>
--	--	-----	--------------------------------	------	--

\*This response has been added or amended from 'no' or 'don't know' to 'yes' as the respondent supports Māori ward.

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

Topic	Issue	Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Do you agree with the establishment of one Māori Ward in our
RRW24-04: Māori Wards	01: Support Māori Wards	20	Vanessa Ewart	Yes
		26	Jodie Houltram	Yes
		31	Colin Pollitt	Yes
		33	Joanne Wiggett	Yes
		37	Jodi Hurford	Yes
		52	Peter Ellingham	Yes
		55	Karen Smillie	Yes
		61	Tony Nicholls	Yes
		64	John Topping	Yes
		71	Greg Ward	Yes
		75	Beverly McCormick	Yes
		82	Jeffrey Dougal	Yes
		83	Stephen Steddy	Yes
		86	Rebecca James	Yes
		93	Chris and Sue Bedford	Yes
		95	Peter Musk	Yes
		98	Maxine Wallis	Yes
		113	Amanda Besley	Yes
		118	Maru Ririnui	Yes
		119	Wayne McIndoe	Yes
		120	Cecilia Burgess	Yes
		134	Sheryl Thocolich	Yes
		147	George Clemens	Yes
		158	Werner Schefer	Yes
		160	Leanne Robinson	Yes
		166	Rob Hawker	Yes
		171	Peata	Yes
173	Rebecca	Yes		
175	Gia Nelson	Yes		
179	Tash Tukaokao	Yes		
181	Dean	Yes		
187	Carol Fippard	Yes		
193	Mike Bayliss	Yes		

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

200	Linda Marsh	Yes
204	Angela Gill	Yes
205	Blanche McMath	Yes
206	Chris Sutton	Yes
213	Clare Neven	Yes
214	Michelle Jordan-Tong	Yes
216	Sharlene Rumney	Yes
217	Danielle Tuapawa	Yes
236	Aaron Hokopaura	Yes
237	Jim Thomas	Yes
239	Annette Assen	Yes
251	Geoff & Ali Rice	Yes
257	Nats	Yes
258	Rangitawhai Rahiri	Yes
264	Ruiha Whare	Yes
266	Roberta Marsh	Yes
268	Owen taki Williams	Yes
270	Gloria Stockdale	Yes
273	Phillip kahotea	Yes
274	Ellause Rolleston	Yes
280	Pikitia Hennings	Yes
283	Lyn Smith	Yes
285	Andrea Wells	Yes
296	Hinenui Cooper	Yes
299	Mere-Tu-Ahiahi Horopapera	Yes
301	Tim Donaldson	Yes
304	Malcolm Robertson	Yes
316	Ngatuputupu Potene	Yes
318	Teena Dinsdale	Yes
320	Malcolm Smith	Yes
330	Kura Martin	Yes
335	Judith and Peter Malcolm	Yes
341	Liz Farrell	Yes
347	Taylor Raj	Yes
355	Shannon	Yes
363	Kirsty Willison	Yes
374	Paul Yeo	Yes

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

	382	Antonio Apaapa	Yes
	386	Raymond Sowerby	Yes
	387	Annabelle Sowerby	Yes
	388	Charnaye walker	Yes
	398	Monikoura McMillan	Yes
	403	Hinemotu Douglas	Yes
	406	Pia Osborne	Yes
	417	Tracy Harris	Yes
	418	Penny Stockwell	Yes
	421	Roxanne Bunker	Yes
	422	Nathan Bunker	Yes
	426	Julie Te Amo	Yes
	438	Noah Johnstone	Yes
	439	Nathan Olsen	Yes
	440	Briar Davie	Yes
	441	Megan Johnstone	Yes
	442	Blair Johnstone	Yes
	450	Stephen Colson	Yes
	455	Felia Faber	Yes
	466	Natalie Mankelow	Yes
	467	Megan Olsen	Yes
	469	Catherine Stringer	Yes
	471	Jennifer Lowes	Yes
	494	Henry Kruger	Yes
	499	Te Puke Community Board	Yes
	500	Shantelle Mahia	Yes
	506	Joanne Johnston	Yes
	527	Doreen Dickey	Yes

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

Topic	Issue	Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Do you agree with the establishment of one Māori Ward in our district?	Comments
RRW24-04: Māori Wards	02: Oppose Māori Wards	9	Vincent Beckett	No	<p>Why do Maori need a separate board member? This is PC racism</p> <p>I do not agree with the proposal to have separate Maori Boards for the following reasons</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Maori wards tend to govern for Maori only.</li> <li>2. We should all be teated equally. Giving one minority a separate/parralel governace structure is not democratic</li> <li>3. There will be additional costs on ratepayers. Are these additional costs to be born only by people of Maori descent, or do all ratepayers have to pay for the additional beauracracy?</li> <li>4. While only being 16.5% of the population, Maori MPs already make up 26.8% of Parliament</li> <li>5. In order to be fair, should there also be separate Asian Boards, or Indian Boards?</li> <li>6. The establishment of separate boards that are based soley upon race, or ethnicity, is racist</li> </ol>
		10	Wendy Baker	No	No ace based appointments - all councillors should be elected democratically. No Maori Ward - all elected Councillors - no race based councillors. As before - no Maori Ward - all councillors have to be elected in a democratic way
		11	Dr Jean-Paul Thull	No*	I prefer to see an lwi representation through the normal election system realising this is perfectly possible as we experienced through the Maketu Te Puke bi- election. Leadership needs to be earned and not just set up.
		12	Grand Parker	No	Key principle of democracy is fairness & everyone. Everyone who is qualified has access to standing in their own Ward regardless of ethnicity or beliefs. We do not need a separate ward based on race & this approach not only contradicts fairness it also adds more layers to an already overblown & financially unsustainable governance structure

\*This response has been added or amended from 'yes' or 'don't know' to 'no' as the respondent does not support Māori ward.

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

		13	Barbara Hickman	No	I don't believe it's democratic or necessary . Our democracy allows for all to put themselves forward to be an elected council representative. Having a separate Maori ward (or any other race a separate ward) is divisive. We are one motu one, all new zealanders. There is lots of consuwith local iei across nz these days unlike past days. that is good. It looks good to me other than the separate maori ward that i have commented on.
		15	Beverley Edwards	No	NO i'll repeat that NO. So long as it does NOT include a maori ward. NO maori ward - you never asked us as the government TOLD YOU TO DO
		16	Andy Earl	No	We should be working as one! This is devisive. As a KKCB member I have done quite a bit of work for the local Iwi. I am friends with them & grew up with them. I got to the Pa and try to help however I can. Leave it. The Council should be formulating a progressive, sustainable, affordable system, that is beneficial for everybody. Not based on race. Maori are already represented. The Indian & Asian population is growing much faster than Maori. Residents should all be represented as ONE!
		21	Brooke Jones	No	Creating this role would create more division than unity. We need unity in New Zealand more now than ever.
		23	Blaise Williams	No	No ward should be based on ethnicity. All New Zealand ratepayers can vote for each councillor be they Maori, Asian or European. We had a referendum on Maori wards some time ago that resoundingly declined a Māori ward. I'm not impressed that ratepayers will now have to pay for another referendum. Do better Councillors!



## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

25	Maraea Kiel	No	Definitely not!!!! It was agreed by Council to establish at least 4 wards. Why change now? Unlike the Councilor who was opposed to Laura's perspective of Maori Representation. We Maori don't see these wards as a hand out ,but as fair Representation and Council being inclusive as per The Treaty of Waitangi.	
27	Kevin Searle	No	Everyone has a chance for equal representation in the current system. We shouldn't promote racism based policies in council. Let's keep it as one council for all people. Don't start having racist policies which just bring division.	
28	Michelle Zoanne Hawthorne	No	Definite NO, whoever wants to stand for Council can with the democratic system we in New Zealand. Definite NO to Maori Councilor, we all need to stand on our own to be elected democratically	
38	Wayne Koedyk	No	This divides the community based on racial profiling, and has destroyed community spirit and general feelings of inclusion and "we're all in it together".	
40	Richard Stephens	No	Western Bay District Council consulted & proceeded on this issue despite lack of community support. That support will prevail in 2025. As previously mentioned, surely Council would consider this current issue simultaneously with the 2025 Referendum ??	
42	Kassandra Steel	No	There should be no Maori ward. They already have representation for their ward. This representative should already be taking into consideration the whenua when making decisions on behalf of the people they represent. One nation.	
45	Simon Neylon	No	There is no place for race based wards, race based policy or separation by race in a democracy. Every person should have the same opportunity for representation regardless of race or who their ancestors are.	

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

46	Lindsay Horne	No	I am against Maori Wards
49	Eric Kolver	No	I see no reason for a Maori ward. Maori make up a big enough proportion of the population that councilors that represent Maori views should be able to be voted in just like any other councilor in the district election. Vote no to a race-based ward.
50	Beat Wisler	No	I am strictly against this proposal as Maori influence is well looked after in the Council's current format.
53	Nathan Candy	No	Having race based non-elected representation is racist, if someone of Māori decent runs for council and is elected then this is ideal,
54	Garry Newson	No	Why if we are all kiwis together It could be racist to pick one minority to be represented and not others. Why a Māori ward councillor We are meant to be new zealanders together
59	Toni Fraser	No	I believe in one person, one vote and that no one race should have privileges above another in NZ. Maori wards needs yo be abolished as was reflected by the community last time this question was voted on
60	Susan Padgett	No	There are enough chances for any person to be ELECTED to a community board. No one group of New Zealanders should be just GIVEN representation or be able to have more of an influence.. Others have to be eected.. There has already been a referendum which the MAJORITY voted AGAINST Maori wards. WBOPDC should be listening to their constituents.
62	Julie Cole	No	Why the separatism? Where are the Asian/Hindu/Kiwi etc. represented? We aren't different. Don't understand racism. Would like more representation - should be 50/50 partnership.

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

63	Gregory Padgett	No	I'm Maori and any Maori could get voted on based on merit. No one race in NZ is more important than any other. We are all KIWIS. We vote councillors on to look after ALL. I'm of Maori descent. I don't like the separatism where 1 group gets treated "special". Ethnicity shouldn't even come into it.
65	Peter Smith	No	Maori wards are undemocratic. I do not support establishing race based and undemocratic Maori wards. It is imperative that this council does not establish any Maori wards or bodies of any sort based upon race
66	Grant Webber	No	we are one country one people. we need to be represented not by race but by the ability of people to do the job and be democratically voted in
67	Clayton Hall	No	Elected representation for all. If we are setting up minority seats then we need to consider representation for all minorities. Elected representatives are there to represent all people in their community. Race should not be a factor. It is the local issue that is important.
76	Liz Meyers	No	One people
77	Steve Harvey	No	I think we have a diverse representation on the council already. I don't believe we should separate
79	Craig Farndale	No	Race-based appointments should have ended 50 years ago. They serve no purpose. NZ has changed in demography, having appointments based upon bloodlines/DNA only belongs in third-world countries. The biggest bone of contention is the Maori seats. NZ has moved on, race-based seats are a relic of the past - even 50 years ago they were about to be abolished. One person, one vote, equality.
80	Hone Allen	No	The use of ancestry to divide us is out dated. Tribalism is outdated and divisive, as evidenced throughout history. Everyone should have equal rights to vote or be elected. Tribes or iwi are essentially an exclusive gang. My family have 24 with maori ancestry, none wish to have special rights.

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

81	Peter Longdill	No		<p>This should be put to a democratic vote across the entire council area.</p> <p>No race based representation. Make it democratic. Delete the non democratic Māori wards.</p>
85	Gaynor Coldicutt	No		<p>Ditch the division based on race - we are one people. All ethnicities have the opportunity to be represented at the council table. I strongly disagree with giving one race precedence over another - it's unnecessary in our multi-ethnic society and demeans Maori that they need "special assistance".</p>
88	Nic Davies	No		<p>Why should there be a separate allocation for 1 Māori councillor?</p>
92	Raymond Joseph Mckinney	No		<p>If Maori are sufficiently interested in having a voice at the table they should be interested enough to put candidates up.</p> <p>They would have the same chance of election as any other person and would be judged on ability not race. I am against Maori wards. It is a lazy way to get Maori involved. Like all councillors they should be democratically elected.</p>
94	Michael O'Rourke	No		<p>There should be no representation separated by race.</p>
96	Roger Goodman	No		<p>I don't subscribe to ethnic representation.</p> <p>Should we have Dutch Irish Scots etc. wards?</p>
99	Lesley Rainbow	No		<p>No Maori ward. We do not need a separate Maori ward</p>
102	Angela Scott	No		<p>Race based politics should have no place in NZ. You have your geographical wards, &amp; councilors can get voted in on merit by the ratepayers. Your current vote to keep a Maori ward - is this based on a cowardly stance you don't want to be seen as not being pro Maori i.e. prejudiced towards Maori?? If a Maori person, a Chinese or an Indian, etc. wants to be in Council - they stand for election like everybody else. Grow a spine &amp; ditch the race based politicking!!</p>
103	Susanne Rolfe	No		<p>Everyone should be equally represented through the general wards - no special interests</p>
106	Ian Stevens	No		<p>We should all be the same way. Why are Maori getting special treatment ?</p>

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

108	Ian Skipper	No	We are all New Zealanders
110	Mark Copeland	No	We do not need Maori Wards. Maori are capable of being elected on their merit the same as every other person.
111	Alan Richard Kurtovich	No	No this should not happen as per the poll in 2018 75% of the people who took part did not want Māori Wards . We should wait until the National election and the referendum .
114	Keith Davidson	No	Māori are well represented amongst our Council already so there is no apparent or specific need for a Māori Ward
116	Sonia Diacono	No	Maori don't need extra representation over & above what they already have. We are one people - New Zealanders, NOT Māori & Pakeha. We've got to get rid of this nonsense. As I understand it, you undertook a Representation Review Pre-engagement Survey to see how Omokoroa residents would like to be represented and the results showed that there were twice as many ratepayers who DO NOT support Maori Wards as those that do, and yet you have decided, independently and contrary to the wishes of the ratepayers that you supposedly represent, to go ahead and create a Maori Ward.
116	Sonia Diacono		I do understand that there were not a lot of respondents to this survey, but surely these data are enough to make you want to hold off creating something that will then be very difficult to reverse out of? As mentioned before, please get rid of any idea of Maori wards - we need to be working together as New Zealanders, not against each other as Maori & Pakeha. Not if it means that there has to be a Maori ward when there wasn't one before....
117	Camilla Grimbeek	No	I don't agree with any race based privileges. We are all New Zealanders and should be treated equally. It's very divisive and creates resentment.
121	Barbara Atkins	No*	Does this discriminate against all other groups? Are we not all Kiwis? Why does one group have privilege?
122	Andrew Nippierd	No	There is no need for a Maori ward, especially as it will go to a vote next year.

\*This response has been added or amended from 'yes' or 'don't know' to 'no' as the respondent does not support Māori ward.

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

124	Anonymous	No	I do not agree with race based politics. We are a district of many races and it is just plain wrong that one race should have the privilege of their own representative. If so for Maori...why not seats only for chinese, indians, europeans, other asians, etc etc. It is wrong that democracy should be corrupted by race politics. I hope you realise that the vast majority of New Zealanders do not want a race based democracy. I am opposed to Maori Wards. In my view Maori are already politically well represented and I do not support democracy being undermined by race based representation. We are a nation of many races, no race should be favoured over the rest.
127	Mike	No	Everyone should have equal rights to representation.
128	Robert Heath Smart	No	race based politics has no place in NZ. No Maori wards. no race based voting...ie no maori wards
129	Allan Wolfe	No	Maori should not get an unelected representative. They can have a voice by a maori representative standing for council like every other candidate in a healthy democracy. We don't have a healthy democracy when a certain group have unelected representatives, which is presently the case. I do not support a separate maori ward.
131	Jane Yates	No	Every one should have an equal say in all decisions! Promoting maori as deserving of separate attention creates racial division. Nobody benefits from racial seperatism! This has been proven again and again throughout history. Maori wards are a threat to an open and inclusive society as is any race based government and local body's recognition of a race having more or less say in anything that affects anyone within their authority. Don't want any Māori wards!
136	Leigh Neilson	No	If a maori candidate stood at a normal election and that person got voted on by the ratepayer then thats democracy in play. Firmly against compiulsory Maori wards

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

141	Raewyn Turner	No	We shouldn't need a specific Maori Ward. Maori can represent any ward, and all wards should be aware of Maori issues. It would just increase racism by separating maori.
143	Tara Kehoe	No	I don't believe in race based policies
145	Tim Reehal	No	Because you are assuming that all Maori have the same needs over a very large area
148	Philip Laurence	No	The referendum already held on this subject showed an overwhelming rejection of a separate Maori ward, and how out of touch with the electorate the WBOPDC was. You received a very clear message that a Maori ward was not wanted, so this is an opportunity for you to reverse your terrible, unilateral undemocratic decision.
149	Philip Xu	No	We should not differentiate by race and ethnic identity. We are all unique individuals. Do not pigeonhole people. Having Māori wards institutionalises division along ethnic lines. If you wish to reduce the number of wards, just remove the Māori ward.
151	Kiel Mans	No	I dont like the idea for race based wards. Should be all elected not maori ward
152	Aaron Drayson	No	We don't need separation by race it is plain and simply wrong to divide people by their heritage, everyone has a boundary and will know which boundary they fall under. We do not need a separate Māori ward councilor. They can apply for the job like everyone else and get it on their own merit just like everyone else.
153	Russell Knutson	No	I can't wait for the opportunity to remind councillors that their job is to represent the wishes of the people. We don't need separatist and race based policies in our egalitarian society. But I do not support the continuation of the maori ward and will be voting against its continuation in the referendum.
154	Matt Muller	No	No coinceler maori ward would be better

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

157	Marie	No	I don't believe targeted race based representation is the way forward. I am of Maori, Chinese and European decent, equal parts Maori and Chinese. If my Maori voice needs to have better representation on Council I will vote for Maori candidates in the General ward. It's unlikely my Chinese voice will get a say at all, but I believe any elected candidate will be speaking for all peoples in their ward. If I find this isn't the case there are processes within Council for me to have my say.
159	M Stevens	No	I strenuously object to wards based on race and not on merit. This is reverse racism of the highest degree!!! DO NOT ADD MAORI WARDS
163	Peter Bullock	No	We all are one race, so have equal rights
164	Mike Woodrow	No	Councillors should be elected on merit not on who their ancestors were. List to the people Mr Mayor, we are who elected you.
176	Carol Parsons	No	I think ALL councilors should be elected, that is the democratic way. I am happy with and would welcome Maori participation and representation on our council, but only if they stand for the position, like all the other councilors.
183	Terry Griffiths	No	One people
184	Braden Strahan	No	Please don't divide us by race.
189	Peter Faulkner	No	I ( and about 85pc of Western Bay voters) am totally against any unelected seats. So remove all Maori seats.
190	Stephen McCarthy	No	As an area rate payer I do not agree on seperate Māori wards. It is not democratic and believe that every one should be treated as equal
191	Alexander & Judith St John Ives	No	We definitely do Not want a seperate Maori ward. We would prefer the community board to be done away with altogether. They are a waste of money.



Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

		192	Paul Huxtable	No	No to Maori wards, as a rate payer I want council members that represent every one in how my money is spent, not race based for one section of society, if they are a good candidate who happens to be Maori I will vote for them.
		199	Toni Strahan	No	No need to divide us by race, all for one.
		201	Glenn Burt	No	Totally opposed to have representation based on race. Against the policies of this government and should not be implemented prior to the proposed referendum . It is a divisive move .
		208	Neil Redshaw	No	No specific race representation that is not democratically elected. Only elected representation please
		209	Richard Logan	No	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Māori are already well represented with 2 out of 11 Councillors.</li> <li>2. Any race-based guarantee of a Council seat is apartheid.</li> <li>3. The community does not want a Māori Ward. Councillors who, one, voted to establish a Māori Ward and, two, voted to affirm the previous decision, both in blatant disregard and disrespect for the majority of WBOP voters, received no mandate to rejig the manner in which Councillors are elected and, in fact, only rushed through the establishment of a Māori Ward when it became clear that the government of the day, which had removed the democratic right to a referendum, was dead and buried once the Prime Minister failed to complete her term and abandoned ship. It is appalling that Mayor Denyer claims to be "doing the right thing" by openly disrespecting the majority of BOP voters. And even worse that he stayed he already knew the community didn't want a Māori Ward but was going to plough on and affirm one regardless.</li> </ol>

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

				<p>4. By affirming the prior decision to establish a Māori Ward WOBP Councillors are sending one unfortunate Māori candidate to political purgatory. Before they even start their term their seat will be terminated, effective 3 years thence. They will know that 80% of the community see their role as racist, a waste of effort and money, and for no purpose whatsoever, given the already strong %age of Māori representation at the Council table.</p> <p>5. There is a very high likelihood the whole manouever will backfire and the current 2 Māori stand to lose their seats in a pushback against apartheid, knowing that the necessary referendum has almost zero chance of approving a Māori Ward. That will be very unfortunate and an insult to those Councillors who were elected under conditions that build mana.</p>
209	Richard Logan		No	
212	Andrew Hodgson		No	I disagree with seperate wards for Maori electors, and I believe they should be removed.
215	Debbie Oakley		No	All councillors should fairly be appointed by the number of votes/support they receive from the community. Not by race or the right as they are Maori.
219	Doug Moore		No	I do not agree with separatism on the basis of race! We are all one people with equal rights and should be able to select or reject representatives without racial bias.
220	Kevin Christie		No	There needs to be a democratic process to ascertain the support first
221	Rachel Hollands		No	maori are entitled to stand for council. We are one people and we don't special wards bases on race, religion or any other factor
225	Julie Nicholson		No	Race should not even come into the equation. Moreso when Maori land doesn't pay rates! Object to Maori seat as of right. All residents should have the same rights, irrespective of race.
228	Robyn McLennan		No	Don't see a valid reason to have a separate Maori ward
231	Peter Arnold		No	Should not be based on race

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

		232	Gabrielle Syminton	No	As New Zealanders we all have equal rights. To give one part of our community a separate right is a form of separatism. Forming a Maori Ward takes away all are equal. My question;- "who is Maori and who is not?" We do not go around with a placard telling our Authenticity.
		233	Timothy Pearce	No	Apartheid crept in slowly in South Africa through accidental race based initiatives initially. It's a slippery slope introducing segregation. PLEASE remove this ill-advised policy. I fully disagree with a race based system of wards.
		234	June Sobyte	No	The most popular choice of person as councilor (who ic voted in)needs be the councilor for the given area, not an unelected person.
		235	John Bourke	No	This should be determined by a vote from ratepayers and should not be determined by a councillors vote. We are all one people namely South Pacific Islanders. Not Maori or European or Asian. Equal rites for all.
		238	John Allen	No	I do not like the current trend of creating rolls or policies based on race. Some may say that it gives Maori a chance to be heard, but the consequence is you are creating a society that is divided by ethnicity.
		240	Colin Chase	No	do not generally agree with creating separate rolls based on race. A ward is a ward, you shouldn't have to have a ward just because they're Maori
		241	Maureen Jacob	No	we are all equal therefore should have equal representation not special separation. don't agree to having a separate maori member. We are all part of the district therefore we should have equal rights, not special representation.
		244	Greg Lewis	No	I do not agree with the requirement for a Maori ward and would vote against this if given the opportunity.

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

245	Steve Allan	No	Democracy doesn't give special privilege to anyone in particular - NO MAORI WARDS I am opposed to wards based on race. I am a believer we all have the same standing,ie, no Maori wards	
278	Mary Brockett	No	Separate Maori Ward means divisive community. We have to be very careful that politics is not responsible for dividing NZ ,into a nasty racist based nightmare that I experienced while abroad in my teenage years.	
290	Wei Xu	No	Māori wards are divisive, perpetuates identity politics, and singularly define people by ethnicity	
308	Dennis Johns	No	Special interests having a reserved seat at the council table are anti-democratic and have nothing to do with better governance. The councilors should be elected on their competence, not the colour of their skin. Are you going to have an Asian councilor, and elderly person councilor, it could go on forever. The biggest problem with local body councils is the quality of people elected to them and reserving a seat for a special interest group does nothing to help in that respect.	
309	Noel Silver	No	This process is not good in my view. We have the correct number of Councillors that represent each ward now, which includes Maori. The Council trying to add a Maori Ward is just plain wrong and a Racist Policy. The reason this is wrong is because all the current Councillors represent the Ratepayers and Colour of skin does not enter the issue. We are all EQUALLY represented. A Maori only represents Maori interests so is not representing the whole community. If you wish to be on Council you stand for election and if enough people would wish you to represent them they vote for you and Skin Colour is NOT a criteria. Anyone can stand for Council. We have a large Indian Community in the BOP (maybe equal to the Maori community ) but Council are not promoting an Indian Councillor.	

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

		309	Noel Silver	No	This is a correct decision because they can stand just the same as any other person and Skin Colour is not a criteria. Please read my comments in section 1 above. We have the correct representation of Councillors now and they represent all the Ratepayers and Skin Colour is NOT an Issue. Council need to remove all ideas of any of their Racist Polycys and return to a position where the colour of your skin is NOT an issue. To even consider this idea is just plain wrong. Are Council (whoever you are with your agenda ) suggesting that we need a Maori Councillor because the current crop of Councillors are Racist and will not represent any Maori ?? You are on dangerous ground and all Councillors are "Colour Blind" in their representation of the Ratepayers. Government has issued you with instructions about a referendum if a Maori Councillor is needed and the previous Ratepayer vote was NO and so we vote again OR we carry out the Government's instructions of fair representation for all EQUAL ratepayers with colour being a NON issue.
		311	Gavin Harris	No	Do not support racial distiction in representation, but support Maori consultation on matters of specific
		312	Judy Treloar	No	Maori has the availability as in the recent bi-election to be represented as Councillors and voted on their merits by the ratepayers. We do not require the diversity of a special Maori ward. Or do we also get a Pakeha Ward, Indian Ward etc, we need to be New Zealanders, one people and no diversity.
		313	Richard Shore	No	If they want to be on the council then they get voted onto the council at the general elections, the same way as everyone else does. They campaign like everyone does.
		314	Dietmar Vogel	No	" no" for adding a none democratically ellected Maori. This should be a no go for a democracy.
		317	Angela Moore	No	Every individual should have the same rights to stand and be a representative for the council. No special wards should be necessary.

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

336	Leslie brumby	No		I don't believe there should be a separate seat at any democratically elected boards based on race. It is racist to say because of anyone's race or heritage that they should have more of a voice than the rest of the population
337	Grant William Jeffcote	No		No! - We do not need any representatives selected on race in this country!
338	Peter Stockwell	No		This proposal preempts the requirement to hold a referendum on Maori Wards at the next local body elections. Any decision on this should be left to the electorate at large and come from the referendum. This current proposal should only be about the total number of Wards, not the makeup of one that will be decided at the next election.
339	John Pottinger	No		scrap the maori ward councillor
340	Bruce and Susan Hamblyn	No		We already have a democratic process in place. No need to include unelected people
351	Don Wallis	No		As with the general rates there is no end date for maori seats. As we become more integrated it becomes unbalanced. Its just racism
352	Steven Hughes	No		I tried to get into this survey to have my say but found it difficult... There was more interest in Cookies than the actual survey. All I really wish to say here and now is, 'NO to any Maori Wards!'. Unless a Council member is elected thru the 'due and correct process' then they have no Right on Any Council anywhere.
356	Lee Weatherley	No		Council should be ashamed of themselves for promoting such racist views. It is extremely patronising & belittling of anyone who identifies as Maori to imply that they can't/won't be elected democratically based on their merits just like all the other Councillors.

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

		357	Phil Shields	No	No representation that singles out one type of New Zealander from another because of their ancestry can ever be democratic. Every New Zealander has the right to stand for & be elected to council by those they wish to represent. No one person has any more right than another in this country.
		368	Heather Guptill	No	All ratepayers should be represented by the same Councilors and feel this is bringing in separatism. No one should be treated differently.
		372	Julia Wharton	No	There is zero need to have any racial separatism. The Council is here to represent all people, regardless of race.
		373	Allan Dawson	No	The establishment of a Maori Ward is not democratic. Maori are more than adequately represented in the current system and a Maori Ward would create a distortion that would see us develop as separate people rather than one people. The motives for creating a Maori Ward will drive division and unease. Current councillors adequately represent Māori constituents. Not democratic system in what's proposed. We are one nation/one people & this takes us past what's right. Māori have now risen to a place where they can be represented in a general ward representation structure.
		378	Noel Stevens	No	There is a need to be strong as one people. Separatism by race can only lead to greater racism which will be very negative. Ultimately race will become diluted with each new generation - how does this end?
		385	Lynne Winter	No	All Kiwis have equal rights in N Z , Māori can currently successfully stand for council at present. NO to Māori wards. No Māori specific seat.

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

				one representative to cover the whole district will always favor the area the representative resides in no matter the ethnic origin. We are and always must be One People.
391	Allen Meredith	No		
392	Kevin Blanchard	No		Race based representation is completely racist and a disgrace to New Zealand. We are all individuals and services provided should be based on need NOT with regard to race, gender, sexual orientation or otherwise. What is clear is that we should be moving forward as one community and not dividing it up based on race.....that is no good for community relations. Please stop it!
397	Paul Meharry	No		If you keep the Māori ward and drop council numbers you will be artificially giving the Māori ward an unfair decision strength
400	Karl Christoffersen	No		Democracy only
402	Anne Roberts	No		Need to progress forward together with respect for the diversity in our population, not divided racewise
405	Peter Richard Dudfield	No		Maori Wards are made up of nominated persons with voting powers rather than being elected in the district wide local body elections) this is clearly undemocratic and should not be introduced. We do not support Maori Wards or Community Wards as both of these concepts are flawed with regard to democracy, i.e. one person one vote regardless of race. There are existing democratically Councillors who identify as Maori and that number is wholly reflective of the number folks in New Zealand's general population who identify as Maori.
410	Tony Cook	No		I would like to submit No Maori Ward
411	Timothy & Carol Shellis	No		Regarding the proposed setting up of a maori ward., again there are no details given of where it will be, why it is needed, what the benefits would be or any other information. We do not agree to a maori ward being set up. Anything set up,instigated or proposed purely on a group's race is inherently racist and should not even be considered.
412	Ron Harding	No		No Māori wards



## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

		416	Janene Cowles	No	<p>i) Leave as is</p> <p>ii) Should have been decided by vote prior to councillor election.</p> <p>iii) This process does not represent the views of the residents in the areas, given it is a feedback not vote process</p> <p>iv) Representation of any special group should be via vote and not internal selection/decision.</p>
		419	Mike Rose	No	<p>I believe in the basic principle of one person one vote. As only those Maori who choose to go on the Maori roll get to vote in a Maori ward, that councillor is clearly not representative of all Maori in the electorate.</p>
		423	Cherry Clements	No	<p>I'm not in favour of Maori Wards. I do not believe in the division of people by birth, race or history. Maori have the same opportunities to select and vote for Maori representatives as everyone else.</p>
		424	John Dallimore	No	<p>This goes to the core of democratic rights. The Council ignored the result of the last referendum heavily opposed establishment of a Maori Ward. Council is going against the wish of the electorate. One person, One Vote</p>
		430	Russell Dons	No	<p>In a modern multi cultural New Zealand people of all races and backgrounds should stand on an equal footing. If we are going to guarantee representation for one minority we should do it for other nationalities as well i.e the significant Indian population.</p>

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

431	Lynne Jamieson	No	I DO NOT support the formation of a Maori Ward unless a democratic vote supports the formation. The formation of any Maori ward should therefore not occur until the result of the mandatory 2025 referendum. Councillors are appointed to represent the people and their views, not have personal agendas.	
432	Michael & Sandy Smith	No	No separate Maori ward.	
433	David Cummins	No	do not support the proposed Māori Ward/s	
437	W. B. P. Martin	No	No to a Maori Ward. I am completely opposed to setting up a Māori Ward and giving one group of people preference. In New Zealand, there are many Māori people holding positions in council seats. They won them, based on their own ability. If you give preferential treatment to one group of people, you must be fair to all. It is illegal to discriminate in New Zealand and we have a law called The Human Rights Act. Next thing, all other groups of people will want special privileges. The people in New Zealand before the Māori's, who were wiped out. They are the ones who should have special consideration if there are any descendent still alive. If you think I am wrong, please have a look at Pre Māori Historical Sites; <a href="https://davidfrasernz.wordpress.com/maori/essays/ross-bodle/pre-maori-historical-sites/">https://davidfrasernz.wordpress.com/maori/essays/ross-bodle/pre-maori-historical-sites/</a>	
445	Paul Nikora	No	Why ? We are already represented	
446	Jesse Archer	No	I think it's inappropriate to have representation based off race.	
447	Roger Course	No	Dividing people on the basis of race is not good for the nation, that is apart from the racism involved. If Maori want to have a say they should join the rest, other Pacifica, Asians and European. Regarding Maori Wards, the Community has already indicated that it is opposed. However the Council seems to be ignoring this and in fact is promoting them, I refer to the advertising seen in the Katikati Advertiser that appears to promote the Wards.	

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

448	Patrick Donohue	No		We have already have referendems on this crap and we said no but you woke [REDACTED] do not listen, the sooner the election comes along the better. No race based wards or concilers , why can't they just stand like everyone else, if they are good enough and have good ideas i would have no problem voting for them but to get voted in because of your Race is crap
451	Ian Goodger	No		New Zealand is a democracy and having wards based on ancestry is racist and not based on proportional representation.
454	Rob Pakes	No		Stop pandering to woke ideology Separate wards based on race are racist. Employ or vot in based on votes and or credentials
456	Maxine Woodhall	No		I believe Māori have just as much opportunity to stand for election on a general ward ticket as anybody else. Doesn't see the need for Māori wards. Why do we need a separate ward and division? Māori can stand on the general ward. Only in recent years has she felt a sense of division.
457	Jill Dell	No		Maori do not require extra representation. They can stand for council the same as everyone else. Maori do not require special priviledge. This is causing division and racism. The community must vote on this. No race based wards.
463	Ashleigh Spencrr	No		As a Māori, I find it insulting that the elected members of Māori descent are not considered to be trusted enough to represent us.

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

464	Tracy Brown	No	As long as we don't end up like Gore with a Maori takeover that would be very concerning
473	K Martin	No	we already have Maori representatives on the council
481	Janet Commins	No	I do not agree with having a Maori ward.
493	Katikati - Waihi Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	No *	That is not fair representation
495	Steve Burton	No	The best management outcome occurs when leaders are chosen in a fair way by the electorate to represent their respective geographic boundaries. This democratic process allows the best ideas rise to the surface, and it also allows poor performance to be corrected in the next election process. It would be a disaster to have race-based representation because there would be no error correction process, and no accountability (ie. no ability to remove systemic non-performance). There are an almost infinite number of ways of dividing people by race, nationality, religion, gender, or even blood-type ect. If we give specific representation to Maori then why not Chinese, or Muslims? Particularly if those groups constitute a large percentage of any jurisdiction. By dividing the population into sub-groups, we reduce the pool of talented people in each sub-group just based on numbers. For example, it's unlikely that best person will be selected for a job if we artificially restricted the candidate's blood-type to 0-negative. Race-based representation is no different. It's a bad idea. Don't do it.
497	Jackie Wenham	No	No because we will lose representation in Waihi Beach. We already have 2 Councillors of Maori descent
503	Patricia Hay	No	I believe that we are one people and that introducing a maori ward is separatism
504	Michael Jones	No*	How many iwi or hapū are there in Western Bay? Very difficult to get consensus across all the representatives on previous Western Bay Māori Komiti. It is a challenge to represent all of the views. When elected you must sign a declaration to represent the whole district. Must govern for everyone, not just some. Should there be an Indian representative Celebrate diversity around the Council table. Support a Māori representative as long as they are focused on the big issues.

\*This response has been added or amended from 'yes' or 'don't know' to 'no' as the respondent does not support Māori ward.

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

		356	Lee Weatherley	No	I am strongly opposed to the establishment of a Maori Ward. Despite what many Maori are told and are led to believe (albeit in the main, with the best of intentions) i.e. they need this in order to achieve Maori goals, I find that view patronising and belittling to Maori. As an example of such a narrative, the Frequently Asked Questions handout for this review says that a separate Maori ward seat would be, and I quote, "creating a platform for Maori to grow and build confidence".
		356	Lee Weatherley	No	There are so many talented Maori people whose attributes are recognized by voters and as such, they become elected in their own right ... including those already on Council in General Ward seats. One only needs to look at the record number of Maori Members of our current Parliament as confirmation that the vast majority of kiwis are not racially biased when it comes to voting. I believe that any separation based on race is dangerous and undemocratic. Is Council proposing by default, that Ward Councillors only focus on serving all other races? True democracy keeps racism, radical views e.g. "they don't share my view because they are not Maori enough" and corruption in check.
		511	Dianne Renner	No	NO Maori wards. No separation by ethnicity. This is a Democracy, All people can be nominated.
		515	Keith Hay	No*	That is not fair representation. We are one people. We should not be separated into wards on the basis of ethnicity.

\*This response has been added or amended from 'yes' or 'don't know' to 'no' as the respondent does not support Māori ward.

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

516

Robert S Jones

No

It is my opinion that the introduction of the Maori Ward Councillor seat in the WBOPDC is divisive and unnecessary. It is unfortunate that Council decided to proceed with this just prior to the 2023 General Election. It is unfortunate that Council then decided to ratify its decision early Sept 2024. It is unfortunate that Council Sept 24 decision means that the Maori Ward Council position is lanned to commence in the 2025 election for a period of 3 years. It is awkward that a bindin poll will be carried out at the 2025 Election to then determine the future past the 2028 of the Maori Councillor Ward Seat. My belief a pole should have been conducted at the earliest possible time to let the community provide their preference and not wait until 2025.

516

Robert S Jones

Division does not necessarily bring about success. If there are deficiencies in the existing representation (including ward) then look at addressing these issues rather than make a change to the high level structure. Having two electoral roles does not seem to me particularly practical. I would ask if studies and options were considered before the introduction of a second electoralrole. If significant reports and studies were written can they be made available please, If the Maori Ward Councillor is unavailable whom will fill this Councillor position. Another Councillor? Maoris living in the main ward towns will deal with whom at a ward level? Better it is the same ward representatives that theii non Maori neighbour is conferring with. I see confusion and possible gaps here. The people should decide this matter. With allthe facts. The need to change the Zones appears connected with the Maori Ward Councillor position. I never challenged the requirement to pay the Te Puke community rate charge. One thing for certain it will be problematic to change back to the existing boundaries if the voting at the binding poll is in favour of returning to the setup 2023. Even more problematic to tell the Maori Community.

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

		516	Robert S Jones		<p>Could there be other options to both representation and boundaries? It was mentioned at the recent meeting in Te Puke that this is Co-governance. However I am uncertain about this. I did express my view in public that I considered the path being taken by the Council was separatist. It would be abuse of democracy should this view be harmfully held against me or my surroundings.</p>
		517	Dianne & Peter Dudfield	No	<p>The referendum undertaken in May 2018 showed 78% of respondents did not support the formation of a Maori ward,          Council's own pre-engagement survey asked the following:          "Do you have any other ideas you would like to share about the general wards and Māori wards in our District?" 68 submitted. 26 said they did not support Maori Wards and 14 said they did support Maori Wards.          In response to the Council's Pre-engagement Survey almost twice as many submitters said they were opposed to Maori wards as wanted them, but current Council voted (for the second time) to introduce Maori wards knowing full well that a costly (estimated to considerably exceed the cost of the first referendum) will be required by law.</p>

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

		517	Dianne & Peter Dudfield	No	<p>Council's own documentation covering the deliberations on Maori wards has references to one or more Maori Wards being considered. It seems likely that two or more wards are not ruled out and that appeals to the Local Government Commission for more than one will be made by Maori groupings in the Western Bay District who don't agree on certain issues.</p> <p>The Current council's own documentation indicates that they are aware of the likely results of a second referendum so why are the wishes of the WBOPDC's ratepayers being so blatantly ignored on this issue? Surely this approach is in breach of the first and second principals that the council's own "Principles of Engagement" espouses, i.e 1. Meaningful- based on an open mind and willingness to listen. 2. Respectful - with the aim of building council-community relationships.</p>
		518	Bruce Brown	No	<p>Establishing a Maori ward takes away the right of a voter on the Maori roll to choose. The best person they would wish to represent them unless they came off the Maori electoral roll. It has always seemed to me Maori people have a wide variation of views and too often the view is taken that all Maori people share the same view.</p> <p>Councillors regardless of ethnicity will bring forward to meetings matters that affect their communities. Many Councillors with Maori heritage see themselves as citizens not specifically beholden to a specific racial and voters may often not know of their heritage. There will often within an ethnic group be vocal members who will promote themselves as representing their ethnicity and this may often be at the expense of those groups who just get on quietly servicing their community. I also believe when a Maori group is seeking to further N's cause at a meeting members may break into a waiata which can have an emotional effect on the decision makers.</p>



Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

518	Bruce Brown	No	<p>In the recent Te Puke byelection a strong young candidate of Maori ethnicity wa able to win a seat. Calculations done on the figure of population in the Māori ward - based on Māori electoral role. Don't have to have a Māori ward to have Māori representation. Voters on Māori roll not allowed to vote for general ward Councillor. Opposed to having Māori wards. People should be able to vote for the best person, not a relative etc. Te Puke has quite a few distinct iwi area, which would add complexity. Ngai Tahu example of giving jobs to the best/most qualified people. Have often voted for Māori candidates and been disappointed they haven't been elected. Last election in Te Puke, Laura Rae was elected based on her ability. Māori who have ability can be elected. Could be considered condescendingly to Māori to have a Māori ward. Different motivators as to why people vote.</p>
519	Ivan Curwood	No	<p>I must advise that I am very upset at the proposed change to include a Maori ward added to WBOP Council. I understood that our Council was in place to carry out the wishes of the local people. However this Council appears to do the opposite and have gone against the 80% who voted in the referendum a few years ago and I am one of those.</p> <p>We cannot go down the track of having separate Wards for ethnic groups.We have a diverse community and others may in future begin demands for their own group to have representation. We already have a very good Maori representative in Alan Sole. If others want to be involved we should encourage them to stand for election as everyone else has to.</p>
521	Mike Hickey	No	<p>Ratepayers have previously shown they don't want representation by ethnicity.</p>
523	M. J. Matheson	No	<p>Definitely no race pased wards. If it is deemed necessary to have race based wards then have them for each and every ethnicity in the Western Bay - number of representatives based on or as equivalent to their numbers in the region. Why not have a separate ward/representatiove fro the elderly + every other splinter group.</p>

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

524	Graeme D Mankelow	No	no racial favourites same rule for everyone this is not South Africa
525	Norman F Mayo	No	do not comply with human rights act well represented by 2 councillors already!! Public (78%) told council NO in 2018, that is racist - must believe in universal Franchise - ie. Equal vote for all - For the lower IQ people, please explain, it means "democracy", on law for all. Recently Mayor & majority of councillors ignored 78% of respondents and voted the other way - That was disgraceful and offensive, & totally undemocratic - many people angry about this contept shown to majority.
526	John Bell	No	Candidates should be able to compete equally for all seats. Opionions and policies should be put forward through ward & Board elective reps.
533	Bruce McCabe	No*	<p>Would the two advisory Māori forums would be disbanded if Māori ward established?</p> <p>Is one maori representative going to work for Western Bay, given schisms between two iwi? Will one representative represent all tribal interests?</p> <p>Pressure of maori radicalisation. Role of Councillor is to represent whole of community.</p> <p>Diverse population evidenced through census. How well will other cultural groups be represented through proposed structure?</p> <p>Decision to be foisted upon us without sufficient consultation.</p>

\*This response has been added or amended from 'yes' or 'don't know' to 'no' as the respondent does not support Māori ward.

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

		537	Maureen & Jean Gauvin	No*	All humans are not that different, even with different origins and homelands. We are all tribal in our roots, those moving here lose their roots and connections. Every community in this planet has been through maoridom. We need to look forward. There are many ways to look at things. This is a big can of worms. We all need to move forward. We are looking at a world thats rapidly changing. What important is how we move forward as a society? Why do we have to have opinions on things that dont affect us.
		538	Joan Kehely	No*	Concern about dividing representation structure. Māori can still be elected through general ward structure. Previously three Māori elected to council in one triennium.
		549	Tony & Lara Batchelor	No*	Why not representatives of the Indian & Asian communities? This is divisive. Appreciates & understands Māori culture but doesn't support this approach. Certainly a need to understand Māori culture & heritage. Last election demonstrated that this isn't wanted. New legislation introduces further requirements. Division between Māori & pakeha has increased. What has caused this over the last 15 years? How many Māori representatives? Only one. Does a person on Māori roll only vote once for Māori ward representative. Only one person representing the whole Māori ward - will this be effective? What proportion of Māori vote? If Maori increased voter turn out then more likely Māori candidates would be elected in general ward.

\*This response has been added or amended from 'yes' or 'don't know' to 'no' as the respondent does not support Māori ward.

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

552	Frances Roberts	No*	NZ is more divided now than arriving in 2007. Feels like divide is getting bigger. This is one of the reasons why. Needs to be democratic. This can't be considered democratic. Every councillor should be representing fairly across whole community. We're all people & trying to do best for our families & communities.	
555	Kerry Swasbrook	No*	We are all one people, we all got educated the same. It's all about attitude. We should all be one NZ not segregated	
560	Keith Hayman	No	We are one people. NOT maori and non maori. a Maori Councilr is the beginning of the prepland destruction of all democracy. Get voted onto Council, don't want race based system. Equality & equal oppportunity.	
561	Esther & Arjen Rutmeester	No*	With no Māori ward, would you still get the same number of votes on general ward? A lot of people disenchantd with council adross the community and don't feel heard by elected members. Concerned Māori ward is separate. We are all one, struggle with division/separatism. We need to speak together & listen to one another to move forward	
565	Joan Dugmore	No	We already have a big Maori presence on council now. Why change it. Don't support Māori wards. Separation. There are already Māori people at Council. Don't want this to turn into co-governance. One Māori ward might not work because not all Māori will agree.	

\*This response has been added or amended from 'yes' or 'don't know' to 'no' as the respondent does not support Māori ward.

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

565

Joan Dugmore

At a meeting we had Regional Council Reps they do not have a maori ward. They have maori constituenice on their council. Maori wewere made British subjects on the Treaty. We are all one no separatism. We all speak english. Council is run by ratepayers.

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

Topic	Issue	Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Do you agree with the establishment of one Māori Ward in our district?
RRW24-04: Māori Wards	02: Oppose Māori Wards	1	Mark Hume	No
		7	Craig Darrall	No
		18	Kathleen Jones	No
		22	Shane Max	No
		30	Tom Hansen	No
		32	Sandra Partington	No
		34	Todd Mortensen	No
		35	Jared Lee	No
		43	Simon	No
		47	Pauline Westhead	No
		48	Chris Larking	No
		51	Michael Cooper	No
		56	Amy Edwards	No
		57	Amanda Phillips	No
		68	Martin Jessop	No
		69	Caleb Evans	No
		70	Nicole Royackers	No
		72	Indra Pokhrel	No
		73	G Mitchell	No
		74	Geoffrey Harper	No
		78	William James Lochhead	No
		84	Christine Pelosi	No
		89	Peter McCormick	No
		90	Peter Butler	No
		91	Marian Jones	No
		97	Ron Mcdiarmid	No
		100	Steven Stark	No
		101	Melanie Longdill	No
104	Owen Allsop	No		
105	Wayne Merriman	No		
109	Peter Pooran	No		
112	Graeme Dick	No		
115	Shane Valois	No		

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

	Nelson Drummond and Christine Brooker	No
126		
130	Peter Griffiths	No
133	Gregg Newton	No
137	Wayne O'Driscoll	No
139	William Kehoe	No
140	Leon Scheepers	No
142	Richard	No
144	P. McKenzie	No
146	Iain Haggarty	No
150	Denis Henderson	No
161	Chris Parkinson	No
162	Maree Voorend	No
170	Summer Heke	No
195	Nicola Reid	No
197	Wayne Warin	No
207	Sara Thorburn	No
210	Dallas Tauwi	No
226	Terri (Therese) McClintock	No
230	Matthew Hattie	No
243	Esther Williams	No
248	Nathan Sanderson	No
255	Sophie Biel	No
322	Anne	No
358	Lynette Shields	No
375	Hilton Paul	No
377	Dennis Madden	No
379	Daniel Fuller	No
389	Donald Carter	No
396	Marlene Samuels	No
404	Eoin Ritchie	No
409	Toni Connelly	No
414	Grant Cowles	No
420	Mark Smith	No
449	Kristy	No
453	Noel Fisher	No
458	Martyn Woodhall	No
465	Colin Graham	No

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal				
		472	Billie Jean Potaka Ayton	No
		484	Heather Cleaver	No
		508	Larissa Pool	No
		520	Grant Deed	No
		528	Maurice Fletcher	No



Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

Topic	Issue	Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Do you agree with the establishment of one Māori Ward in our district?	Comments
RRW24-04: Māori Wards	03: Support Two or More Māori Wards	2	Raewyn Bennett	Yes*	There should be a minimum of two. You need to acknowledge the extent of a Maori ward and the burden of representing Maori across the whole WBDC region. I mean the general seats dont even do that. I support 2 Maori wards as a minimum. I think there should be one for evey General ward.
		41	Brett Waterhouse	Yes*	I think that there should be 2 if not 4 as different iwis do different things. To lump Maori in this district under 1 representative is not a fair representation. Concerned about different views of Māori won't be represented by only Māori ward. Should go up to at least 2 Māori Wards or 3 Wards.
		123	Gavin Stansfield	Yes	We think it is very important to establish a Māori ward. If anything, we feel that there should be two
		172	Paretiaki Burt	Yes	Is one the only option? Our traditional tribal boundaries should at least be two and divided along iwi lines. If 1 is our only option i definitely want that
		202	Destiny Leaf	Yes	I would prefer 2 māori wards. Preferably 2 māori wards
		252	Jullian Rolleston	Yes	We should have more than 1 Māori ward!
		275	Teresa Moana	Yes	At least one, if not more. More Maori wards to make up the 3 less General
		281	Andrea Laison	Yes	I would have preferred a maori seat in each ward rather than a single separate Maori ward across the district
		282	Donna Gardiner	Yes	Unsure as to how much influence one maori ward seat will allow appropriate representation given it is only one seat
		302	Hirinii Potene	Yes*	The more Maori wards we have the better I reckon
		326	Darlene Dinsdale	Yes	I agree to the establishment of 2 Māori wards. As the regional boundaries of WBOPDC cross the boundaries of mana whanau and in this case Council need to consider Te Arawa waka and Takitimu waka descendants. I would support the establishment if 2 Māori wards to ensure that the principles of Te Tiriti o Waitangi and the enactment of true oartnership.
		332	Mereana Te Pere	Yes	There should be 2 Māori seats in WBOP
		58	Phillip Hapi Smith	Yes	But I believe that there should be at least 2 Maori wards given the local area has a large population that registers as Maori and there are many hapu that need representation from someone who should have some form of a connection to them directly by whakapapa through Iwi, Hapu or Te Arawa waka. Number of Maori represented in the Maori Ward - the number doesn't represent all Maori in the district. Diversity of Maori and Iwi don't necessarily want to listen to just one person. Difficulty knowing which roll is a better option when issues that might come up. Would prefer two iwi Māori Ward representatives, one for Tauranga Moana and one for Te Arawa. Need to make voting easier - consider a mobile voting van.
		350	Kelly	Yes	Three will be better but one is better than none.

\*This response has been added or amended from 'no' or 'don't know' to 'yes' as the respondent supports Māori ward, but seeks more than one.

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

360	Joel Ngātuere	Yes	I think two would better meet the needs and diversity of the district.1. North of Wairoa river 2. East of SH36. Regardless of views of some, the council struggles to get the voice of diversity around council chambers (not just WBOP, everywhere). Status quo is not democracy. Voting numbers are declining across the country, partly because of these outdated views and desire to maintain power. Māori lens and voice from a dedicated Māori Ward Councillor only benefits council.
381	Pania Wilson	Yes	I support reducing 11 General Councillors to 8 so that it would open up the potential to elect two Māori Ward Councillors given the Western Bay of Plenty District Council covers such an expansive geographic area. I do not believe one Māori Ward Councillor is sufficient given the large area to cover. Would really like to see two Māori Ward councillors across the whole of the Western Bay of Plenty District Council area.
390	Elva Conroy	Yes *	Two wards would be better to capture the voice of Te Arawa and voice of Tauranga Moana
394	Eunique Paki	Yes	We need more than one Māori ward
395	Te Uta Roretana	Yes	I agree with the one Ward for WBOPDC however, I would like to see the option of another Maori Ward for the next election (post 2025)
399	Hannah Stewart	Yes *	We need more maori representation. We are living on tangata whenua land after all.
413	Maketu Community Board (Donna Walters)	Yes*	No, this is a very large and diverse area to cover. Spreading one representative across the WBOPD will lead to insufficient representation / cultural value from the east to the west within our district. Two representatives would give more balance to the district and the chance to discuss & challenge the detail, otherwise it becomes just one representatives view.
415	Tippany Hopping	Yes*	this is a large area to cover and the needs of the eastern & western iwi's may differ. Would want a balanced scorecard to ensure there is the ability to check & challenge with two rep's.
423	Cherry Clements	Yes*	However, as the council seem determined to have a Maori Ward, then one is better than two. But I do not agree with the principle.
425	Mokoera Te Amo	Yes	We should actually have two Māori wards though, an eastern WBOPD (eg Te Arawa) ward and a western WBOPD (eg Tauranga) ward
427	Marie Bourke	Yes	I agree with the establishment of Māori wards but believe there should be more than one.
428	Charles Tuapawa Jnr	Yes	I think we should have at least 3 Māori wards to allow for effective representation
429	Te Rangi Rae	Yes*	There needs to be two at the least. One for Te Arawa and one for Tauranga Moana regardless of the smaller number they will have to represent.
461	Nicola Austin	Yes*	I believe the district should have two Maori wards.

\*This response has been added or amended from 'no' or 'don't know' to 'yes' as the respondent supports Māori ward, but seeks more than one.

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

468	Roana Bennett	Yes	We need to have TWO Maori wards - one for Tauranga Moana and one for Te Arawa waka. It goes against tikanga to merge these two waka. TWO Maori wards are needed in this region.
475	Judy Tapsell	Yes	Should be at least 2 with the large area and all the different tribal identities in hapu, iwi and marae.
476	Arapo Whata	Yes*	I support the māori ward initiative but I don't believe that 1 seat would suffice. I recommend there be a māori seat for each of the councillor wards! If there are 3 seats per ward, why couldn't one of each of those be a māori ward?! Though 3 seats would better represent the various iwi/hapū and māori that reside in this district!!
477	Anahera Dinsdale	Yes	Though I propose there be 3 seats. 1 Maori seat per ward!!
478	Ange Dinsdale	Yes	But there should be 2 Maori wards to cover both waka within this region
479	Miriama Le Comte	Yes	Yes but I think there should be 3 māori wards if it gets split into 3
485	Paul Hickson	Yes	This I think is related to having one Maori Ward. I think you should investigate having two Maori wards owing to the historical difference between Te Arawa in the East and Tauranga Moana in the West. Refer earlier answer as I believe there should be two Maori wards. As this means each Maori councillor would represent about half the number of people as other councillors I propose they have half a vote each. This gives the different Te Arawa and Tauranga Moana interests a voice in council but in voting terms they have a combined vote of one. Seems a similar concept of giving the Mayor and extra casting vote.
485	Paul Hickson		Whatever you decide I think it is important you have at least have one Maori ward as my observation is that Maori have a far more intergenerational view and a great respect of the land and our natural environment. Having a Māori world view is important. Completely different view of the world to Pakeha. Concerned about conflict between iwi that only one Councillor would have to navigate. Important Māori select the right representative who can manage this. Important connection for Te Arawa through to Rotorua. If WB Māori Ward Councillor isn't Te Arawa then this could make this connection more difficult. Want a Māori world view around the table. Democracy means majority rules. It might swing between Te Arawa and Tauranga Moana representatives being elected.
487	Pirirōkau Tribal Authority - Incorporated (Julie Shepherd)		However, the consideration of two Māori wards could further enhance balanced decision-making and provide a stronger voice for Māori communities. This would ensure that Māori representation is not only symbolic but also substantive, allowing for genuine participation in governance.
502	Chiavanni Le'Mon	Yes	More Maori representation

\*This response has been added or amended from 'no' or 'don't know' to 'yes' as the respondent supports Māori ward, but seeks more than one.

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

		505	Dale Snell	Yes	However I believe that that elected Maori ward concillor will in fact a larger work load than other elected members. It will prove very very difficult for one Maori ward elected member to navigate and provided a balanced voice and decision making, given the diversity of iwi and hapu form one end of the rohe to the other. In many cases this will imposes a conflict of interest and divisive opinions. This was acknowledged at last nights hui at the Maori ward table in Te Puke, by the regional council reps. Strong support will be necessary for this new member. It would be more beneficial to our people for there to be 2 Maori ward elected members, with each one representing each end of our rohe.
		509	Helen Biel	Yes*	I think that māori should have two wards. For too long māori feel they have been left outside of the decision-making and whilst this perception is slowly changing, it will take time to feel that māori have achieved equity in representation. I understand that WBOPDC could potentially have 2 māori wards in the district. Given the māori population and number of iwi and hapū across waka in the WBOPDC, this would be very positive from a māori perspective. I would expect as the populations grows so too would council wards
		514	Economic Development Group (Mark Boyle)	Yes	One ward representing all Māori isn't fair - should be two Māori ward representatives. Māori representation is reliant on government legislation of the day, noting that the preference would be to have a Māori Ward out East and another out West for Tauranga Moana
		562	Willie and Judy Tapsell	Yes*	Concern that people who are Māori on the general roll aren't reflected in the Māori electoral population. Māori on general roll make that choice. Can move onto Māori roll or general roll. Māori Councillors elected on general ward aren't necessarily spokespeople for Māori. Māori Ward member is elected to represent Māori. Concern there is only one Māori Ward representative and how practically/effectively they can represent all Māori across the district. When you sit on Council as an individual, how much power do you have? Honour the treaty - Māori represented and rest of Pakeha world. One Māori ward member is going to be challenged with the two iwi and as the rest of Council. One is better than none, 3 would be best.
		563	Clester Eru	Yes*	Should be two Māori wards and Council should consider this, misrepreaentation with just one Māori ward. Preference for two Māori wards to reflect Tauranga Moana and Te Arawa rohe. Collaboration can be compromised by different personalities/issues that come forward. Is diversity around the table already happening though? A lot of mistrust of Council historically, but happy with current representative. A lot of Māori in Maketu agree with Māori Ward Councillor, but would prefer two for fair representation.

\*This response has been added or amended from 'no' or 'don't know' to 'yes' as the respondent supports Māori ward, but seeks more than one.

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

Topic	Issue	Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Do you agree with the establishment of one Māori Ward in our district?	Comments
RRW24-04: Māori Wards	04: No Decision Should be Made, Wait for Referendum	29	Stuart S Steel	No	After the referendum next year the greater percentage will be against separate seats for New Zealanders so best to leave for now
		40	Richard Stephens	No	Very concerned that this discussion is being undertaken separately to the Māori Ward referendum that is possible / likely for 2025 elections. Surely the two items are linked ??
		94	Michael O'Rourke	No	The changes should wait till we have a referendum on wards as race based representation may need to change then.
		102	Angela Scott	No	Referendums required before Council make decisions about unnecessary race based Maori wards - as the ratepayers are - YES - paying for this, then get our opinion in writing (so it can be audited & Council can't disregard your ratepayers & go ahead regardless, doing want you personally want to do).
		116	Sonia Diacono	No	Please could you consider deferring the formation of a Maori ward until such time as you have a better idea of what your ratepayers, the people you are meant to be representing, actually want?
		135	Belinda Carlyle	No	It needs to go to a referendum. Maori Ward needs to go to referendum.
		307	Paul Mead	No	I object to the introduction of a Maori ward Councillor as part of this process. This should be a separate issue to be voted on.
		372	Julia Wharton	No	Re the Maori Wards, you should be doing nothing about this until after the referendum in 2025.
		489	Omokoroa Residents and Ratepayers Association Inc. (Bruce McCabe)		

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

		489	Omokoroa Residents and Ratepayers Association Inc. (Bruce McCabe)		<p>All bar four Councillors supported the formation of a Maori ward and the consequent changes to the number of councillors and ward boundaries necessary to establish a Maori ward. The Councillors that opposed the formation of a Maori ward are Councillors Murray-Benge, Coxhead, Grainger and Sole. They should be commended for listening to their constituents and their desire to see democracy prevail. It should be noted that Councillor Sole is a Maori Councillor representing a Maori ward. He is a respected and well-liked member of Council. There is no legal requirement to establish a Maori ward; this is a deliberate dishonesty. It is entirely inappropriate and shameful for councillors to pursue social engineering through the formation of a Maori ward, at the expense of the democratic representation of all residents and ratepayers. In the interests of democracy and given there is no legal requirement to establish a Maori ward, it would be appropriate to defer the formation of a Maori ward until the mandatory 2025 referendum has been held; foisting a Maori ward on the District is only going to create disharmony and harden peoples' resolve to remove the Maori ward at the mandatory 2025 poll.</p>
		510	Alisha		<p>As far as I understand each council was given the decision to choose if the establishment of the Maori ward be decided in house or via public consultation - please correct me if I have this wrong. I strongly support a public referendum on this to establish if this is something that WBOP Ratepayers actually want.</p>

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

Topic	Issue	Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Do you agree with the establishment of one Māori Ward in our district?	Comments
RRW24-04: Māori Wards	05: Other Māori Ward Comments	4	Ross Goudie	Yes	Encouaged Māori representatives to get more involved in Waihi Beach. Need to incorporate Māori perspectives & issues. How many people will actually vote.
		44	John Clements	No	Where is there a society in the world that is divided? Monique Gray, Laura Rae, Allan Sole all Māori elected on general role.
		334	David Ratima Trust ceo-Agent	Yes	the importance of partnership and the need to have this at a level that allowed influence – the decision making table.
		356	Lee Weatherley	No	Does this council not believe maori can be elected through general wards/representatives? How can one maori councillor represent all maori? This applies to general councillors representing the wider community and their views.
		423	Cherry Clements	No	A lot of Māori representatives in Parliament & local council. So not sure why this is needed. Māori can be elected on the general role/ward
		424	John Dallimore	No	History, background & knowledge of how a Māori ward can work is not well understood. Knowing it has been so successful at BOPRC is fascinating & worthwhile to know.
		459	Robert Hulme	Don't know	I haven't given a yes or no answer to the question as I believe it is already decided.
		491	Katikati Community Board		4. The Community Board feels that the Council should agree with the referendum on Māori wards as this is the view of the electorate and the council says these views have not changed.
		505	Dale Snell	Yes	If Maori ward candidate comes from East, how will they represent West? District is so wide and iwi views so varied, so can't see how this can work. The effectiveness of the arrangements will be very reliant on the calibre of Councillors. We need to encourage great people to stand in both Māori and general wards... and vote!
		514	Economic Development Group (Mark Boyle)	Yes	3. We request Council ensure the elected representative in the new Maori ward is always conscious of the views, needs and aspirations of Te Arawa.
		516	Robert S Jones	No	Concern about the timing of the Maori Ward and having to do the referendum. Who can stand as Māori candidate? So it is non-discriminatory.
		525	Norman F Mayo	No	Canada - you have to be at least 50% Indian to be identified as Indian.
		530	Roel Kouzman		Does current council structure enable effective decision-making for Māori? Are there other ways to reflect Māori aspirations? Problems are deeply rooted - does a Māori ward provide the best way to address these?
		531	Leisa Moorouse		Question as to why we only use roll numbers versus those who whakapapa to Māori

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

		202	Destiny Leaf		<p>Number of people represented by a Councillor versus geographical area (Māori ward area).</p> <p>Casting vote discussion (Mayor, following TCC conversation regarding votes on unwell Councillors). Implications if Māori Ward Councillor is unwell and there is only one representative versus other wards which have more than one.</p> <p>Comments that the table looks fair in terms of representation.</p> <p>Implications for hapu when the rohe doesnt match the ward boundaries.</p>
		548	Steven a& Janet Abery		Keen to understand rationale for why Māori wards proposed. Why not other ethnicities having special representatives?



## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

Topic	Issue	Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Do you agree with the establishment of one Māori Ward in our district?
RRW24-04: Māori Wards	05: Other Māori Ward Comment	87	Ross Simmonds	Don't know
		188	Don Kerr	Don't know
		345	Kyle Beetham	Don't know
		369	Gwynnith Cumming	Don't know

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

Topic	Issue	Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Do you agree that we should keep our five community boards?	Comments
RRW24-05: Number of Community Boards	01: Support Current Arrangement	6	Alison Henderson (Alie)	No	The arguments against changing away from the current Community Board system appeared to me to be very weak and to depend entirely on a poorly defined idea of "democratic representation". Democracy varies according to the infrastructure that supports it and how well this operates; it does not rely on a simple election process! I live in Ōmokoroa and am at a loss to know why the boundary for our community board is so narrowly defined, when the people who now access facilities in the Ōmokoroa peninsula include those living in Whakamārama, Pahoia, Plummers Point and to some extent Te Puna! I also feel that our Community Board has some excellent elected members but the actual activities and initiatives that are discussed at Community Board meetings are narrowly defined. The Community Board could be a far more active discussion and decision forum for a much wider range of community initiatives and activities.
		8	Peter Turner	Yes	Yes it's about local people having representation for local issues
		13	Barbara Hickman	Yes	Very necessary given the size in land area of the wbop.
		23	Blaise Williams	Yes	supported
		24	Catherine Rolleston	Yes	It is important to have people in our community who can advocate for our area. Western Bay is a diverse area and areas are very different, both in population and ecologically. The work our Te Puke community board does can't be replicated by the council
		25	Maraea Kiel	Yes	Of course they should be kept. How else would Council get a more realistic update of what is happening in each of these Communities. These Community Representatives and Maori are what gives Council its guts. Its reason it exists.
		41	Brett Waterhouse	Yes	The boards have contact with the community pushing the council to tasks that the community need, not want.
		58	Phillip Hapi Smith	Yes	It's a fair representation of each ward. The future growth rate of each area will ensure that each has a fair representation in the decision making of each area
		77	Steve Harvey	Yes	Again they offer a voice for the different areas/demographics within the larger WBOP

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

79	Craig Farndale	Yes	Lots of elderly people in those districts. They need a voice.
80	Hone Allen	Yes	Local involvement is essential.
82	Jeffrey Dougal	Yes	Community Boards are vital to local democracy
85	Gaynor Coldicutt	Yes	Local representation at the council table
106	Ian Stevens	Yes	This makes it better and this means you will listen to any concerns
108	Ian Skipper	Yes	Each area does have its own particular issues
113	Amanda Besley	Yes	Each community needs its own voice. Worst thing that ever happened to Waiheke Island was losing its Community board.
114	Keith Davidson	Yes	There should be more autonomy also granted to the community boards.
138	Diane Crisp	Yes	Every area is very different and needs local voices
208	Neil Redshaw	Yes	I understand wbopdc want to find ways of increasing the rate charges. But as a rate payer I want rate charges to remain static or reduced. Community boards should use funds to support community groups that take the financial burdon off rate payers.
232	Gabrielle Syminton	Yes	This works so why change it?
235	John Bourke	Yes	This should give assistance to the 8 councillors by being better informed of "Local" requirements.
258	Rangitawhai Rahiri	Yes	Because we need a Voice for Te Puke & Maketu. Without this, we will continue to be forgotten and overlooked.
291	Carley Hennings	Yes	I agree yes
306	Matewai Maxine Karaka-Clarke	Yes	It is important that we keep our five community boards as only the residents in those areas will be aware of the direct needs that are required for them.
309	Noel Silver	Yes	No change is required. The Ratepayer is entitled to fair representation which is part of our Rates Payment, and Council Staff who we employ are required to carry out requests on a majority rule basis, and NOT your own Agenda.
330	Kura Martin	Don't know	•The five Community Boards should remain. •Community Boards were responsible for the provision of services and the wellbeing of everyone.
334	David Ratima TrusT ceo-Agent	Yes	Community is whanau regards to thier environmental issues attached
341	Liz Farrell	Don't know	I think alternatives should be presented in a way that people can see the benefit of changing. Too many are very parochial and cannot see positive aspects to a change, only that change is bad so theyll probably lose out.
343	Te Uru Tarewa Irwin	Yes	Keep them seperate
346	Julian Fitter	Yes	I thought your Three Community wards idea was quite a good one - ensures everyone has local as well as regional representation.

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

349	Pirihira Douglas	No	Need more wards
368	Heather Guptill	Yes	It seems to be working well currently.
373	Allan Dawson	Yes	There are significant communities in these areas and they need the ability to have their voice heard and to contribute to local issues
376	Megan Jowsey	Yes	Very important to have local representation by people who live here
385	Lynne Winter	Yes	All works well here. Omokoroa
391	Allen Meredith	Yes	All are separate communities with their own wants and needs.
393	Tim Dunn	Yes	Each of these communities has their own issues and community boards help for residents to have a conduit through to council.
402	Anne Roberts	Yes	Fine as is
405	Peter Richard Dudfield	Yes	As long as they are democratically elected Community Boards without any appointees on them.
413	Maketu Community Board (Donna Walters)	Yes	gives our locals a voice and means some projects can be pushed for locally. Maketu would get forgotten compared to the bigger / busier town centres.
419	Mike Rose	Yes	Community boards are a good link between communities and the Council.
423	Cherry Clements	Yes	I believe the elected community boards serve the community and are able to listen to and give information to the community. They serve people at a more local level. Councillors are not always able to do this with constraints of time etc.
424	John Dallimore	Yes	Yes - it ensures that rate payers has an accessible connection into the Council from a ground level and it ensures that local interests are represented.
437	W. B. P. Martin	Yes	Keep our five Boards
457	Jill Dell	Yes	These communities need representation. These communities have different needs.
473	K Martin	Yes	its not broken so why TRY to change it
499	Te Puke Community Board	Yes	Community Boards, if well supported by Council and delegated with decision-making and resources, are an effective way of providing local representation and local outcomes. •The Community Board had been advocating for an increased level of service for cleanliness and rubbish, if there was no Board, who would be advocating for Te Puke.

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

		505	Dale Snell	Yes	Community Boards, if well supported by Council and delegated with decision-making and resources, are an effective way of providing local representation and local outcomes.
		509	Helen Biel	Yes	The population of the region is expected to increase over time. The Smartgrowth strategy and projections illustrate this. I would expect as the communities of the WBOPDC grows, so too will the need to increase wards to reflect this
		514	Economic Development Group (Mark Boyle)		2. We support continuity of the two Community Boards in the Te Puke/Maketu Ward.
		524	Graeme D Mankelow	Yes	Why change something that works well?
		525	Norman F Mayo	Yes	Why change something that has worked since WBDC was formed in 1989 - who proposed a change, was it elected members or staff can not see any reason to change, but many reasons to stay as they are. Urban/rural needs are the same. Boundaries. Rural and urban areas are interconnected in many ways. Disconnecting them does not make any sense. In KK case it has worked well for years, and makes a lot of good sound sense! Please retain this boundary situation in KK & W/Beach
		543	Mabel Wharekawa-Burt		Ongare/Tanners - What has the KKCB done for these communities? Heavily behind retaining community boards.
		562	Willie and Judy Tapsell		Dead against taking CB's away. For emergency management and civil defence community planning we needed to cast our net wider than existing CB boundary at Maketu. If we want to have a say we go to our CB (Maketu)

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

Topic	Issue	Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Do you agree that we should keep our five community boards?
RRW24-05: Number of Community Boards	01: Support Current Arrangement	1	Mark Hume	Yes
		7	Craig Darrall	Yes
		9	Vincent Beckett	Yes
		10	Wendy Baker	Yes
		15	Beverley Edwards	Yes
		16	Andy Earl	Yes
		18	Kathleen Jones	Yes
		20	Vanessa Ewart	Yes
		22	Shane Max	Yes
		26	Jodie Houltram	Yes
		27	Kevin Searle	Yes
		30	Tom Hansen	Yes
		31	Colin Pollitt	Yes
		32	Sandra Partington	Yes
		33	Joanne Wiggett	Yes
		35	Jared Lee	Yes
		36	Buddy Mikaere	Yes
		38	Wayne Koedyk	Yes
		39	Ken Coulam	Yes
		40	Richard Stephens	Yes
		45	Simon Neylon	Yes
		46	Lindsay Horne	Yes
		49	Eric Kolver	Yes
		50	Beat Wisler	Yes
		51	Michael Cooper	Yes
		52	Peter Ellingham	Yes
		54	Garry Newson	Yes
		55	Karen Smillie	Yes
		56	Amy Edwards	Yes
		57	Amanda Phillips	Yes
		60	Susan Padgett	Yes
		61	Tony Nicholls	Yes
63	Gregory Padgett	Yes		
64	John Topping	Yes		
65	Peter Smith	Yes		

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

66	Grant Webber	Yes
67	Clayton Hall	Yes
68	Martin Jessop	Yes
69	Caleb Evans	Yes
71	Greg Ward	Yes
72	Indra Pokhrel	Yes
73	G Mitchell	Yes
75	Beverly McCormick	Yes
76	Liz Meyers	Yes
78	William James Lochhead	Yes
81	Peter Longdill	Yes
83	Stephen Steddy	Yes
87	Ross Simmonds	Yes
89	Peter McCormick	Yes
90	Peter Butler	Yes
91	Marian Jones	Yes
93	Chris and Sue Bedford	Yes
94	Michael O'Rourke	Yes
95	Peter Musk	Yes
97	Ron Mcdiarmid	Yes
98	Maxine Wallis	Yes
99	Lesley Rainbow	Yes
100	Steven Stark	Yes
101	Melanie Longdill	Yes
103	Susanne Rolfe	Yes
104	Owen Allsop	Yes
105	Wayne Merriman	Yes
109	Peter Pooran	Yes
111	Alan Richard Kurtovich	Yes
112	Graeme Dick	Yes
116	Sonia Diacono	Yes
120	Cecilia Burgess	Yes
122	Andrew Nippierd	Yes
123	Gavin Stansfield	Yes
126	Nelson Drummond and Christine Brooker	Yes
127	Mike	Yes
129	Allan Wolfe	Yes
130	Peter Griffiths	Yes

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

133	Gregg Newton	Yes
134	Sheryl Thocolich	Yes
135	Belinda Carlyle	Yes
136	Leigh Neilson	Yes
137	Wayne O'Driscoll	Yes
139	William Kehoe	Yes
140	Leon Scheepers	Yes
141	Raewyn Turner	Yes
142	Richard	Yes
143	Tara Kehoe	Yes
144	P. McKenzie	Yes
147	George Clemens	Yes
148	Philip Laurence	Yes
149	Philip Xu	Yes
151	Kiel Mans	Yes
152	Aaron Drayson	Yes
155	Carey Pearce	Yes
157	Marie	Yes
158	Werner Schefer	Yes
159	M Stevens	Yes
160	Leanne Robinson	Yes
161	Chris Parkinson	Yes
162	Maree Voorend	Yes
163	Peter Bullock	Yes
164	Mike Woodrow	Yes
165	Lynda Frew	Yes
166	Rob Hawker	Yes
168	Teresa Nepia	Yes
170	Summer Heke	Yes
172	Paretiaki Burt	Yes
173	Rebecca	Yes
174	Reweti Kuka	Yes
179	Tash Tukaokao	Yes
180	Jo Cameron	Yes
181	Dean	Yes
182	Richard Hoko	Yes
187	Carol Fippard	Yes
188	Don Kerr	Yes



## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

193	Mike Bayliss	Yes
195	Nicola Reid	Yes
196	Malia Stowers	Yes
198	Titihuia Ngata	Yes
199	Toni Strahan	Yes
201	Glenn Burt	Yes
203	Sophia Muir	Yes
204	Angela Gill	Yes
205	Blanche McMath	Yes
206	Chris Sutton	Yes
207	Sara Thorburn	Yes
209	Richard Logan	Yes
210	Dallas Tauwiwi	Yes
212	Andrew Hodgson	Yes
213	Clare Neven	Yes
214	Michelle Jordan-Tong	Yes
216	Sharlene Rumney	Yes
217	Danielle Tuapawa	Yes
219	Doug Moore	Yes
220	Kevin Christie	Yes
221	Rachel Hollands	Yes
222	Jodene	Yes
224	Margaret Ross	Yes
225	Julie Nicholson	Yes
227	Betty Robson	Yes
228	Robyn McLennan	Yes
229	Sally Bower	Yes
230	Matthew Hattie	Yes
231	Peter Arnold	Yes
233	Timothy Pearce	Yes
234	June Soby	Yes
236	Aaron Hokopaura	Yes
237	Jim Thomas	Yes
238	John Allen	Yes
239	Annette Assen	Yes
240	Colin Chase	Yes
241	Maureen Jacob	Yes
243	Esther Williams	Yes

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

244	Greg Lewis	Yes
246	Kelly Tate	Yes
247	Rachael Coll	Yes
248	Nathan Sanderson	Yes
251	Geoff & Ali Rice	Yes
252	Julian Rolleston	Yes
253	Jason ake	Yes
254	Pirihira Wihapi-Taupe	Yes
255	Sophie Biel	Yes
257	Nats	Yes
263	Meremaihi Aloua	Yes
264	Ruiha Whare	Yes
265	Tama K	Yes
266	Roberta Marsh	Yes
268	Owen taki Williams	Yes
272	Donna-Marie Parata	Yes
273	Phillip kahotea	Yes
274	Ellause Rolleston	Yes
276	Robert	Yes
277	Rimini Williams	Yes
278	Mary Brockett	Yes
279	Te Pae Tamihana	Yes
280	Pikitia Hennings	Yes
285	Andrea Wells	Yes
290	Wei Xu	Yes
293	Marama Walker	Yes
297	Kahurimu Rauwhero	Yes
298	Oriwa Lovett	Yes
300	TeAroha Rahui	Yes
301	Tim Donaldson	Yes
302	Hirinii Potene	Yes
304	Malcolm Robertson	Yes
305	Sandra Potaka	Yes
307	Paul Mead	Yes
308	Dennis Johns	Yes
310	Dianne Morris	Yes
311	Gavin Harris	Yes
313	Richard Shore	Yes

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

314	Dietmar Vogel	Yes
315	Jasmine Kuka	Yes
316	Ngatuputupu Potene	Yes
317	Angela Moore	Yes
318	Teena Dinsdale	Yes
319	Nga Pikitia Hennings	Yes
320	Malcolm Smith	Yes
322	Anne	Yes
324	Whareangiangi Pita	Yes
325	Anton Palmer	Yes
327	Apina Rodger	Yes
328	Rachael	Yes
332	Mereana Te Pere	Yes
333	Gavin Motu	Yes
335	Judith and Peter Malcolm	Yes
336	Leslie brumby	Yes
337	Grant William Jeffcote	Yes
338	Peter Stockwell	Yes
339	John Pottinger	Yes
340	Bruce and Susan Hamblyn	Yes
347	Taylor Raj	Yes
350	Kelly	Yes
351	Don Wallis	Yes
355	Shannon	Yes
357	Phil Shields	Yes
358	Lynette Shields	Yes
359	Frank Kawe	Yes
371	Marata Paama	Yes
372	Julia Wharton	Yes
374	Paul Yeo	Yes
375	Hilton Paul	Yes
377	Dennis Madden	Yes
378	Noel Stevens	Yes
379	Daniel Fuller	Yes
380	Terangi Bartlett	Yes
381	Pania Wilson	Yes
382	Antonio Apaapa	Yes
384	Karen Whatuira	Yes

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

388	Charnaye walker	Yes
389	Donald Carter	Yes
390	Elva Conroy	Yes
396	Marlene Samuels	Yes
397	Paul Meharry	Yes
398	Monikoura McMillan	Yes
399	Hannah Stewart	Yes
401	Wayne Stevenson	Yes
403	Hinemotu Douglas	Yes
404	Eoin Ritchie	Yes
409	Toni Connelly	Yes
414	Grant Cowles	Yes
416	Janene Cowles	Yes
418	Penny Stockwell	Yes
420	Mark Smith	Yes
425	Mokoera Te Amo	Yes
426	Julie Te Amo	Yes
427	Marie Bourke	Yes
429	Te Rangī Rae	Yes
430	Russell Dons	Yes
439	Nathan Olsen	Yes
443	Katch Katikati	Yes
444	Gendi Burwell	Yes
445	Paul Nikora	Yes
447	Roger Course	Yes
448	Patrick Donohue	Yes
449	Kristy	Yes
450	Stephen Colson	Yes
453	Noel Fisher	Yes
454	Rob Pakes	Yes
455	Felia Faber	Yes
460	Susan Hope	Yes
461	Nicola Austin	Yes
462	Lisa Cooper	Yes
463	Ashleigh Spencrr	Yes
464	Tracy Brown	Yes
465	Colin Graham	Yes
466	Natalie Mankelow	Yes

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

	468	Roana Bennett	Yes
	469	Catherine Stringer	Yes
	470	Rawiri Bhana	Yes
	472	Billie Jean Potaka Ayton	Yes
	475	Judy Tapsell	Yes
	478	Ange Dinsdale	Yes
	481	Janet Commins	Yes
	482	Karen Aspey	Yes
	484	Heather Cleaver	Yes
	494	Henry Kruger	Yes
	497	Jackie Wenham	Yes
	503	Patricia Hay	Yes
	506	Joanne Johnston	Yes
	508	Larissa Pool	Yes
	518	Bruce Brown	Yes
	520	Grant Deed	Yes
	521	Mike Hickey	Yes
	523	M. J. Matheson	Yes
	526	John Bell	Yes
	528	Maurice Fletcher	Yes
	565	Joan Dugmore	Yes

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

Topic	Issue	Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Do you agree that we should keep our five community boards?	Comments
RRW24-05: Number of Community Boards	02: Oppose Current Arrangement	2	Raewyn Bennett	Yes	No. Not sure that you should be even considering a double up of representation. Why have community boards.
		5	Sammy Paige	No	I do not support community boards. I do not see the value in them and strongly dislike the way they align themselves to ratepayer groups and work against Council.
		14	Martin Baker	No	Abolish community boards. They serve no purpose. I live between Waihi Beach and Katikati. I have attended the odd meeting in each town and am disgusted that the chairs of both are always working against the Council. Perhaps my view would change if we had the right people on the boards but as I see it we currently don't, therefore I will not support them.
		17	Susan Lean	No	Get rid of the community boards, they are ineffective and often attract less than suitable board members
		19	David Marshall	No	Community Boards are an additional drain on costs to ratepayers- we do not need more elected representatives- ensure Councillors serve their wards and are fully accountable for local decisions. At a time when local body rates are escalating out of control we cannot afford the luxury of a 2 tier governance structure especially when the role and value of Community Boards is highly questionable.
		167	Phillip Martelli	No	Community boards do not add value to the overall governance of the District. They cover only a fraction of the area and less than half of the population. Most, if not all, community board areas also have ratepayers associations. These are more than capable of putting residents views across. Council would be better funding them with small administration fee, rather than support the bureaucracy needed to support community boards.

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

		186	Reremoana Nepia	No	Have they built any relationship with the Māori community if they are a community group?
		211	Ian Larsen	Don't know	Not really sure that community boards serve a purpose in the modern governance of New Zealand.
		215	Debbie Oakley	No	I do not believe that we receive value from having a community board. Look at the traffic issues we have in Te Puke from remaining with one lane through town and the addition of two more pedestrian crossings. How can our community board agree with that! It affects all commuters living between the toll road. I can't believe that sometimes it is faster to use the toll road to travel from Waitangi to Te Puke. This is a district problem not only a Te Puke problem.
		456	Maxine Woodhall	No	1. Not all residents of western bay have a community board now. 2. it is unclear to me why we would need this extra level of bureaucracy. Question the point of community boards, when TCC doesn't have. Why do we need another layer of representation? How visible? What is the value? Makes more sense for advocacy to come from residents and ratepayers associations than to have community boards that don't cover the whole district.
		458	Martyn Woodhall	No	We do not need this layer of bureaucracy

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

Topic	Issue	Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Do you agree that we should keep our five community boards?
RRW24-05: Number of Community Boards	02: Oppose Current Arrangement	28	Michelle Zoanne Hawthorne	No
		29	Stuart S Steel	No
		34	Todd Mortensen	No
		37	Jodi Hurford	No
		74	Geoffrey Harper	No
		88	Nic Davies	No
		115	Shane Valois	No
		119	Wayne McIndoe	No
		150	Denis Henderson	No
		175	Gia Nelson	No
		177	Hautapu Baker	No
		183	Terry Griffiths	No
		200	Linda Marsh	No
		226	Terri (Therese) McClintock	No
		267	Sharon McLeod	No
		417	Tracy Harris	No
		421	Roxanne Bunker	No
422	Nathan Bunker	No		
498	Nessie Ani Hinetai Kuka	No		
500	Shantelle Mahia	No		



Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

Topic	Issue	Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Do you agree that we should keep our five community boards?	Comments
RRW24-05: Number of Community Boards	03: Other Comments on Community Boards	2	Raewyn Bennett	Yes	The key word id "community". With respect to extending the Te Puke-Maketu community board, the community is lost. I dont want to be dominated by farmers and other rural landowners. They have polluted our waters enough.
		4	Ross Goudie	Yes	•He was of the opinion that the Ōmokoroa Community Board should stand up and say they wanted to extend their boundary, particularly as a future growth area.
		11	Dr Jean-Paul Thull	Don't know	While I like to leave this to the experts, I saw the community board chair as a stepping path towards becoming a councillor and learning about governance. However, the recent removal of community board chairs able to attend council meetings leaves me puzzled as to the community the community board chairs were in first instance the connection to the rate paysrs. This seems now lost as this link in the chain was chopped. Perhaps something Mayor Denyer may wish to reestabkish.
		21	Brooke Jones	Yes	These are very different demographics and required different representation.
		42	Kassandra Steel	Yes	Though almost feel we are a council spilt in 2 ways with tauranga city in the middle. Possible Te Puke and Maketu need to to form their own council or possibly set new boundaries with whakatane.
		43	Simon	No	Waihi beach does not deserve its own community board and should be in Katikatis area
		44	John Clements	Yes	Te Puna should have a Community Board. LGA doesn't dictate that every CB must represent the same area
		62	Julie Cole	No	Why can't we have the eastern, southern and the western community board? Or just one? Why can't they be as one being this side of Tauranga. Can't this imaginary line be drawn in house if the main objective is to divvy up the population?
		70	Nicole Royackers	Yes	Removing the community board rate from the general rates is not sufficient. If residents of the points (kauri, ongare, tuapiro and tanners) are no longer represented by a community board then why should they still have to pay for katikati charges such as katikati promo, town centre development when we no longer have a say on how those funds are used as my understanding is the community board's make recommendation based on the community views which those residents will no longer have a say in.
		121	Barbara Atkins	Don't know	Te Puna is included in Omok?...yet a very different board-agricultural and rural, not residential town. As long as it not just about numbers but areas of population and communities grouped

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

				<p>Kaimai should be designated as a community board and keep the other boards as well with structures as proposed in question 1. The current ward councillors do not appear to be very active and decreasing the numbers will reduce that even more.</p> <p>Instead of decreasing, the following update to the governance structure could work for the wards now and over the next five years with increased population prospects:</p> <p>1. Acknowledge and amend Kaimai to be a community board - increasing to six boards in the region with one Maori ward.</p>
125	Cherie Palm	No		
125	Cherie Palm	No		<p>2. Keep the current councillors per community board with additional one councillor for the Maori Ward and include 3 to 5 board members elected from the community for each community board and Maori ward. That will free councillors to deal with other community engagements while giving the community more opportunities to engage with the boards/ward. This would hopefully lead to more engagement, enthusiasm, participation and cohesion in the community. Recently there has been much division and less than cohesive behaviour in various communities. With this structure the community boards can also be designated delegation for decision making on certain issues, which will speed up processes and again free up time for other matters. For issues that are challenging for the community the boards could set up internal committees to deal with concerns and obtain more information and report these to either the board or council for further discussion and final decision. This way all the boards, councillors are visible, allows the community to make submissions and create more participation.</p>
128	Robert Heath Smart	No		community wards to encompass all of WBOP or have non at all
145	Tim Reehal	Yes		This is where the Maori representative should be having their input. We believe that there should Maori representative but at community board level
146	Iain Haggarty	Yes		so Kaimais just bankroll the district with highest ward population and no community board? Time for a tea party methinks!
153	Russell Knutson	No		What do community boards even do other than listening to minority lobby groups complain
176	Carol Parsons	Don't know		This is where the Maori representative should be having their input
194	Colin Hewens	No		Whakamarama has a strong identity and could also have a community board within Kaimai ward.
208	Neil Redshaw	Yes		If we become outside of a boundary area and we then dont have to fund a community board out of our rates, will our rates be cheaper? Also if the community board area including katikati town then why am I paying for charges in katikati township, eg, library, memorial hall, community center, town improvements, etc.
245	Steve Allan	Don't know		As long as we are all represented equally
262	Suaree Borell	Don't know		Would feel more guided in my decision if there was an independent review of community boards.
275	Teresa Moana	No		Matakana Island should officially have their own.

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

281	Andrea Laison	Yes	I think a Te Puna community board should be established. Te Puna and Omokoroa are very different community and both are experiencing huge growth
296	Hinenui Cooper	Yes	I think we should add a Welcome Bay Ward.
312	Judy Treloar	Yes	Should Pukehina have a Community Board or be included in Maketu? Should Pukehina have a Community Board or be included in Maketu, where are the boundaries?
321	Tammy Webb	Yes	Matakana island should be included in Omokoroa
326	Darlene Dinsdale	No	There needs to be further discussion and debate about the purposes of Community Boards and their roles.
330	Kura Martin	Don't know	•A hapū or iwi representative of the Community Boards should be included. •Iwi representation should be nominated to the Community Board by the Board
346	Julian Fitter	Yes	It may be time that the Katikati Community Board started to spend more money in the rural area.
354	Garry Webber		2 Community Boards – A Residential Community Board with 1 elected member from each of the urban areas of Waihi Beach, Katikati, Omokoroa, Te Puke and Maketu and a Rural Community Board with 1 elected member from each of the rural/lifestyle areas of Waihi Beach, Katikati, Kaimai, Te Puke and Maketu. Such a structure fairly represents our diverse communities of Urban and Rural/Lifestyle properties, it also aligns with the WBOPDC rating structure. Some are saying the distances a Councillor needs to travel to cover their area is of concern. I am not convinced and by way of example, using our largest Ward - Kaimai, the distance from the Bethlehem Town Centre to Esdailes road in the West is 25 kms, Soldiers road to the South 16kms, and Oropi Gorge Road 25kms.
354	Garry Webber		There were many councils throughout Aotearoa who already had rural community boards that worked well, providing Rotorua as an example
356	Lee Weatherley	Yes, No	Take issue with the fact that not all of the district is covered by a community board.
373	Allan Dawson	Yes	As above with other areas. A priority must be to preserve the communities and keep them working together. How do you have a CB that doesn't recognise the community. I'm disenfranchised.
383	Patricia Marion Haine	No	Combine down to three.
392	Kevin Blanchard	Don't know	I am unaware of the impact that Community Boards have?
395	Te Uta Roretana	Yes	and, that we establish Maori Community Boards for all those community areas so that whoever gets into the seat of Maori Ward will have a view from across the sector. Where does Matakana fit - its in the colour for Katikati but is not in the actual boundary.
400	Karl Christoffersen	No	5 into 3 doesn't work
407	Alison Cowley	Yes	A community Board in Te Puna would be a massive step forward. AS prior help to establish a community Board in Te Puna would be a step forward. There is a great deal of pressure on Te Puna for development and more structure representation would help all parties and attract experienced board members.
408	Cheryl Toma	No	Need Te puna & Matakana Island community boards? Te puna & Matakana island need to be represented ?

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

413	Maketu Community Board (Donna Walters)	Yes	Per ratepaying household Maketu pays the highest contribution to its Community Board, based on the lower # of ratepayers to cover the operating cost. However, don't see that a Maketu ratepayer actually benefits 4-5 times more than the other town's ratepayers eg Te Puke: Katikati. This needs reviewing and should be consistently levied across the WBOPD, just like many other council services are.	
415	Tippany Hopping	No	think the Ward Councillor approach and BOP merge would work more effectively.	
423	Cherry Clements	Yes	More logical to make all CB's cover the full ward area.	
436	Alan Goodyear		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•He felt that the narrative around Community Boards not being fit for purpose came from staff rather than communities, noting that the Boards represented the essence of the district.</li> <li>•Determining the best form of localism, whether it be Ward Boards or Local Boards would depend on the functions and delegations given to those particular boards.</li> <li>•He understood that many other Councils delegated more to their Community Boards than Western Bay District Council did.</li> </ul>	
446	Jesse Archer	No	Align them with the 3x wards	
476	Arapo Whata	No	I would think Maketū and Te Puke could merge?	
485	Paul Hickson	Yes	Te Puke is service town for the whole area. Shouldn't reduce boundary, in fact should enlarge to include Pongakawa, Paengaroa etc. Lots of Commercial property in TPK owned by people outside of urban area.	
487	Pirirākau Tribal Authority - Incorporated (Julie Shepherd)		<p>6. Community Boards</p> <p>Community Boards serve as a pathway to becoming Council elected membership, yet they often lack cultural sensitivity and engagement with iwi or hapū in relation to the community board areas, Pirirākau has experienced this of the Ōmokoroa Community Board. The proposal to adjust community board boundaries is noted, but there is a suggestion to disestablish them due to their costs and the limited cultural engagement they offer. Instead, creating structures that genuinely reflect and respect the cultural identities and needs of local hapū and iwi could be more beneficial.</p> <p>7. Cultural Identity and Engagement. For Pirirākau, cultural identity is paramount. The current lack of engagement with mana whenua by community boards in areas like Ōmokoroa highlights a significant gap in representation. It is crucial that any representation structure actively involves and respects the cultural narratives and histories of the local iwi and hapū. This could involve more direct engagement and partnership models that empower Māori voices and perspectives.</p>	

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

				<p>5. Heartlands offers some alternative views to the proposal to elect community boards covering the areas of Waihi Beach, Katikati, Omokoroa, Te Puke and Maketu. While we cannot speak to their value as perceived by the ratepayers eligible to vote for them, we argue for recognition of the democratic importance of community interest groups (such as Te Puna Heartlands and Priority Te Puna, for instance).</p> <p>6. We also endorse Council's practice of offering outreach to different localities within the Western Bay of Plenty by way of community forums and observe that in neighbouring Hauraki DC such forums are used instead of community boards to ensure that community voices are heard.</p> <p>7. We note that many localities in the Western Bay of Plenty have volunteer-based ratepayers associations and, in the five areas listed above, that these run alongside the community board. We invite the WBoPDC to consider the disparities that occur between the treatment Council accords to volunteer, as opposed to elected, groups and express regret that the continued existence of the community boards was not included in the wider review.</p>
490	Te Puna Heartlands			
490	Te Puna Heartlands			<p>8. We submit that a more effectual, community-focused relationship between Council and the electorate could be accomplished by more direct appreciation of the preferences of the relevant communit(ies) and a less hide-bound adherence to the options provided in the Local Government Act. Of course the Council must not act illegally. But it could act with more imagination in providing support and avenues of access to Council services and problem-solving, to volunteer organisations created by locals, for local purposes. This could be as simple as offering grants to pay for venue hire, advertising and photocopying, or a more regular and well-understood system of regular meetings between Council staff and the organisation's representatives.</p> <p>9. Te Puna Heartland Incorporated submits that the vital issue for this Representation Review is to improve the ways and means by which Council connects with its communities. In our submission the best way of doing that is to re-examine the role and functions of community boards relative to the ways local volunteer groups work to represent viewpoints with their community.</p>
499	Te Puke Community Board	Yes		<p>We are disappointed with the short period of time between the Te Puke Community conversation and the closing of the submission period and the lack of relevant information for good decision making. We question the tone of the whole campaign to make CBs look expensive and the lack of promoting local representation as a viable option and a good thing. This has previously been expressed via email to staff and in our initial submission. If the Council agrees to continue with Community Boards there needs to be a concerted effort to change the present culture towards this level of governance. This will require building a better understanding of the role and potential of CBs, with Council, staff and the public. Potentially increasing delegations and resourcing.</p>
501	Sara Broadhurst	Don't know		I don't understand the value of these
504	Michael Jones	Don't know		The Community Boards as they currently operate in the Western Bay do not have the resources and delegations to effectively administer the interests of their communities.

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

505	Dale Snell		Yes	I am so disappointed with the short period of time between the Te Puke Community conversation and the closing of the submission period and the lack of relevant information for good decision making. I question the tone of the whole campaign to make CBs look expensive and the lack of promoting local representation as a viable option and a good thing. If the Council agrees to continue with Community Boards there needs to be a concerted effort to change the present culture towards this level of governance. This will require building a better understanding of the role and potential of CBs, with Council, staff and the public. Potentially increasing delegations and resourcing. Will decreased amount of councillors affect councillor representation on community boards?
512	Ongare Point Ratepayers and Residents Association (OPRRA) Warren			It is essential that the Council ensures its representation arrangements are both fair and effective in representing the communities.
514	Economic Development Group (Mark Boyle)			queried the need for a Maketu Community Board, noting that neither Paengaroa nor Pongakawa had a Community Board.
514	Economic Development Group (Mark Boyle)			There had been examples of past Community Board Chairs conducting their own clinics within Te Puke, which worked well, however there needed to be structure to it to allow collective views to be heard and advocated for
515	Keith Hay			•He would like to see the Community Board boundaries extended.
525	Norman F Mayo		Yes	Who provides for bus shelters etc. if not the CB? Interconnection with rural area.
531	Leisa Moorouse			I don't really understand what a community board does. Is there any mechanism that would trigger this review? Needs to include attendance. By shrinking boundaries are their interests less well served? For example: what is the mechanism that ensures that other areas not within community board boundaries are not underserved i.e. ōmokoroa vs whakamarama. Do Councillors versus community board members better capture and advance community issues? How proactive are community boards?
532	Chris Dever			A community board member is different to the role of a councillor. Much more connected to community groups.
533	Bruce McCabe			Feel that OCB has not been an advocate for community. Council actively stymies community boards doing what they should do. Each one costs about \$100k most of which is staff cost. Wouldn't be a ratepayer association if community boards did what it was meant to do. Apathy is rife so difficult to get people to represent community either on community board or residents and ratepayers associations. OCB should be enlarged because other nearby communities use the facilities. i.e. boat launching/matakana island using parking. The area of benefit needs to increase. It should be a catchment that uses the amenities.

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

		202	Destiny Leaf		<p>Concern that marae are no longer included within the KKCB 'reduced' boundary.</p> <p>If boundaries are smaller don't see how hapu will get better representation.</p> <p>Question asked around community board meetings on marae.</p>
		535	Julie Shepherd		<p>expressed concern that OCB doesn't engage with Pirirakau, noting that Ōmokoroa is within the tribal area of Pirirakau. Generally, how are community boards engaging with tangata whenua? Get rid of community boards. Leave it to councillors.</p>
		536	Rosemary Chalmers		<p>Personally would go to a Councillor rather than a community board.</p>
		539	Norman Berry		<p>What is the benefit versus the cost? Who pays for the changes in boundary?</p>
		549	Tony & Lara Batchelor		<p>Council shuts down CB ability to represent. CB could do more to deliver on community needs. Need to look at the CB model to make it more representative.</p>
		564	Antonia Stringfield		<p>I will no longer be represented by my CB (TBCB) and it really makes no difference to me.</p>

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

Topic	Issue	Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Do you agree that we should keep our five community boards?
RRW24-05: Number of Community Boards	03: Other Comments on Community Boards	12	Grand Parker	Don't know
		47	Pauline Westhead	Don't know
		53	Nathan Candy	Don't know
		59	Toni Fraser	Don't know
		86	Rebecca James	Don't know
		92	Raymond Joseph Mckinney	Don't know
		107	Andrea Wylie	Don't know
		110	Mark Copeland	Don't know
		118	Maru Ririnui	Don't know
		124	Anonymous	Don't know
		131	Jane Yates	Don't know
		154	Matt Muller	Don't know
		169	Hope	Don't know
		171	Peata	Don't know
		178	Te Moanaroa Togo	Don't know
		184	Braden Strahan	Don't know
		185	Tamoe Ngata	Don't know
		197	Wayne Warin	Don't know
		242	Darren Leef	Don't know
		259	Tahlia Rikihana	Don't know
		260	Anita Johns	Don't know
		282	Donna Gardiner	Don't know
		283	Lyn Smith	Don't know
		286	Hope Bidois	Don't know
		287	Kiri Ahomiro	Don't know
		288	Corey	Don't know
		289	Kalei Delamere-Ririnui	Don't know
		295	Atawhai Heke	Don't know
		299	Mere-Tu-Ahiahi Horopapera	Don't know
		323	Kelly Kuka	Don't know
		329	Maurice Morehu Ngatoko	Don't know
		331	Angeline Samuels	Don't know
		342	Rosina Tuhakaraina	Don't know
345	Kyle Beetham	Don't know		
348	Kalim Karaka	Don't know		
360	Joel Ngātūere	Don't know		
361	Makere Tawa	Don't know		
362	Jane Sowerby	Don't know		
363	Kirsty Willison	Don't know		



## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

	364	Justine Murray	Don't know
	365	Regan Murray	Don't know
	366	Apanui Tawa	Don't know
	367	Mererina Murray	Don't know
	369	Gwynnith Cumming	Don't know
	370	Hamuera Kohu	Don't know
	386	Raymond Sowerby	Don't know
	387	Annabelle Sowerby	Don't know
	394	Eunique Paki	Don't know
	406	Pia Osborne	Don't know
	428	Charles Tuapawa Jnr	Don't know
	440	Briar Davie	Don't know
	441	Megan Johnstone	Don't know
	442	Blair Johnstone	Don't know
	451	Ian Goodger	Don't know
	467	Megan Olsen	Don't know
	471	Jennifer Lowes	Don't know
	477	Anahera Dinsdale	Don't know
	479	Miriama Le Comte	Don't know
	480	Kalleisha Wade	Don't know
	483	Paula Gaelic	Don't know
	495	Steve Burton	Don't know
	502	Chiavanni LeMon	Don't know
	527	Doreen Dickey	Don't know
	560	Keith Hayman	Don't know

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

Topic	Issue	Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Do you agree that we should keep our five community boards?	Comments
RRW24-05: Number of Community Boards	04: Other Options of Community Representation	6	Alison Henderson (Alie)	No	I read the arguments carefully for keeping the Community Boards and for creating a new system of Committees and I think the arguments for the new system were well articulated and made excellent sense, actually providing the possibility of far greater community engagement and the utilisation of the many significant existing community groups and areas of experience within each community.
		62	Julie Cole	No	Why can't we have the eastern, southern and the western community boards?
		128	Robert Heath Smart	No	all districts to have community boards or discontinue all of them
		346	Julian Fitter	Yes	I thought your Three Community wards idea was quite a good one - ensures everyone has local as well as regional representation.
		349	Pirihira Douglas	No	Need more wards
		354	Garry Webber		Council currently had five Community Boards, five Residents and Ratepayer Associations and a number of lobby groups, therefore it raised confusion regarding who council should be listening to.
		452	Jacqui Knight	Yes	Don't understand why there is a need to just focus on urban area. A blend of ward-forums: CB would make them more user friendly
		492	Waihi Beach Community Board		We do not support the concept of Ward committees. First, it dumbs down democracy; members are selected by the council and would not be seen as independent. While this is not within the current roposal, if the reduction in Community Board areas happens, a large area of Katikati will only have the councillors to contact.
		493	Katikati - Waihi Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association		Ward Committees are not an alternative to democratic representation because these committees will be stacked with appointees rather than elected members. This is how council will introduce co-governance and destroy democracy. Council considered dis-establishing the five Community Boards and replacing them with three Ward Committees covering the entire District. It is extremely difficult to understand why they did not recommend Ward Committees when you consider their explanations which included fourteen reasons, not least of them the final one: "Ward Committees would be a more cost effective option reducing the need for a targeted rate to be collected from ratepayers with the cost being met through existing Governance budgets funded through the general rate. Members would not be remunerated for their role." This idea is extremely undemocratic. It is open to abuse in that people who are uncritical of council would be nominated. It has the potential to create patronage as we have seen recently with the Katikati Sewerage Working Party.

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

493	Katikati - Waihi Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association		<p>The people appointed to these committees would not be representative of the community and should not be considered by Council. The committees are not covered by any legislation. They are a creation of council bureaucracy, just like the ill-fated Community Forums. There is no information on how many people would be on these committees, how often they would meet and for how long. So there is no evidence they would be a more cost effective option. There is no guarantee that Members would not be compensated. There is no guarantee that these committees would not be stacked with people who are only concerned with enriching themselves. There is no benefit to ratepayers if costs are transferred to a general rate from a targeted rate. All that changes is that the purpose the rates are used for becomes invisible.</p>
504	Michael Jones	Don't know	<p>•He agreed with the Ward Forums as it allowed for engagement with communities in an informal way, noting that Community Boards were a lot more formal.</p>
514	Economic Development Group (Mark Boyle)		<p>4. We encourage Council to have an open mind to alternative means of voice and representation to Council whether formal, informal or informally formal. The TP/Maketu ward has communities larger than Maketu, but question is why do other communities not qualify for a CB (ie. Pukehina, Pongakawa, Paengaroa). How come Maketu gets one and we don't? Although Pukehina has a ratepayers association they're not a formalised structure of council. Communities of interest need to have a voice but unsure whether that voice should be a CB/Ward councillor/ratepayer association or something else. Is it possible for informal associations like ratepayer groups to have as much voice as CB's?</p>
514	Economic Development Group (Mark Boyle)		<p>a. An informally formal option of Community Committee's as we presented is fit for purpose and highly effective.  b. Committee members (7-10) are appointed by the Community of Interest. There is no need for an expensive election process.  c. Cost to implement is minimal.  d. Committee members are not remunerated.  e. Terms of reference for each committee are developed by the committee with some guidance from a standard Council Template.  f. Costs to organise and manage committee meetings sit with the Committee.  g. Council Management &amp; Staff time applied to organising existing Community Boards will be eliminated.</p>

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

514	Economic Development Group (Mark Boyle)		<p>h. The number of Community Committees is flexible and giving specific communities of interest the opportunity to be formally recognised with a Councillor liaison at each committee is engaging, positive and future focused. (e.g. The Te Puke region has 9 communities of interest)</p> <p>i. Alignment with Council 3 years terms, Annual and Long term plans and specific Community Plans is effective and progressive.</p> <p>j. There is a high degree of community ownership in this model.</p> <p>Attached is the Manawatu District Council Community Committees Policy. I left a highlighted copy of the points I made with Emily. I am told by a MDC Councillor that I know well, that their Community Committees model is very successful. We would be grateful if your minutes today reflect the ideas we proposed.</p>
514	Economic Development Group (Mark Boyle)		<p>provided the example of Manawatu District Council's 'Community Committees Policy'. It was noted that this allowed for the establishment of a "Community Committee" that would include members of the many different communities. •Using the example of Manawatu District Councils Community Committee, they called a public meeting, where the community appointed the members and created their own Terms of Reference. It was noted that these were not remunerated or staffed, but had a councillor attend and some structure.</p>
515	Keith Hay		<p>Council considered dis-establishing the five Community Boards and replacing them with three Ward Committees covering the entire District. It is extremely difficult to understand why they did not recommend Ward Committees when you consider their explanations which included fourteen reasons, not least of them the final one: "Ward Committees would be a more cost effective option reducing the need for a targeted rate to be collected from ratepayers with the cost being met through existing Governance budgets funded through the general rate. Members would not be remunerated for their role."</p> <p>This idea is extremely undemocratic. It is open to abuse in that people who are uncritical of council would be nominated. It has the potential to create patronage as we have seen with the Katikati Sewerage Working Party. The people appointed to these committees would not be representative of the community and should not be considered by Council. The committees are not covered by any legislation. They are a creation of council bureaucracy, just like the ill-fated Community Forums.</p> <p>There is no information on how many people would be on these committees, how often they would meet and for how long. So there is no evidence they would be a more cost effective option. There is no guarantee that Members would not be compensated. There is no guarantee that these committees would not be stacked with people who are only concerned with enriching themselves. There is no benefit to ratepayers if costs are transferred to a general rate from a targeted rate. All that changes is that the purpose the rates are used for becomes invisible.</p>

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

		517	Dianne & Peter Dudfield		<p>Council considered dis-establishing the five Community Boards and replacing them with three Ward Committees covering the entire District. It is extremely difficult to understand why they did not recommend Ward Committees when you consider the explanations for them had included fourteen reasons, not least of them the final one: "Ward Committees would be a more cost effective option reducing the need for a targeted rate to be collected from ratepayers with the cost being met through existing Governance budgets funded through the general rate. Members would not be remunerated for their role." This whole idea of Ward Committees is extremely undemocratic as it is open to abuse in that people who are uncritical of the Council would be nominated. It also has the potential to create patronage as has been seen recently with the Katikati Sewerage Working Party. The people appointed to these committees would not necessarily be representative of the community and as such should not be considered by Council.</p>
		517	Dianne & Peter Dudfield		<p>The committees are not covered by any legislation and there is no information on how many people would be on these committees, how often they would meet and for how long. So there is no evidence they would be a more cost effective option. There is also no guarantee that Members would not be compensated and no guarantee that these committees would not be stacked with people who are only concerned with enriching themselves. There is no benefit to ratepayers if costs are transferred to a general rate from a targeted rate. All that changes is that what the rates are used for becomes invisible. The suggestion that: "Ward Committees could provide representation for all resident groups across the District including Ratepayers and Residents Associations, rural groups and community organisations who would have the ability to nominate their own representatives onto the Ward Committee. Council could then appoint these nominees, removing the need for elections and byelections, and therefore improving efficiency and reducing costs." There is no guarantee that Council would actually appoint any of these community organisations to a Ward Committee. It appears that Council could appoint anyone they choose to, which is the hallmark of an authoritarian state.</p>
		525	Norman F Mayo	Yes	<p>ward committees seem to be undemocratic - changed by councillor etc - not as close to people as CBs are. Many wonder if it an attempt to go away eventually with CBs! (Conrol)</p>

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

Topic	Issue	Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Do you support reduction of Katikati Community Board boundary?	Comments
RRW24-06: Katikati Community Board	01: Support Reduction of Katikati Community Board Boundary	5	Sammy Paige	Yes	If community boards must stay then yes I do support these changes. As a rural resident (Aongatete) I can't see what benefits community boards bring to anyone living outside the towns. All of their projects are centred in the towns.
		14	Martin Baker	Yes	If they are to be kept then yes I agree with the boundary change. They certainly serve no purpose to the rural outskirts anyway.
		55	Karen Smillie	Don't know	As a resident of the semi-rural Katikati hinterland, I have absolutely no problem with the CB's activities and funding being exclusively focused in the KK urban area (withing the proposed new smaller boundary). What I want to know is how I would engage with town centre issues or developments in the future. What about suggestions, proposals and/or submissions for changes/improvements to the urban areas commercial and recreational spaces? Does living outside the CB's proposed smaller rohe mean I cannot contribute or have a say on matters (as I consider myself part of the Katikati community). Presumably as a ratepayer I can still engage on council initiatives for the urban area that above/ outside the KK community board remit. In that case, would my thoughts and opinions carry less weight as a non-resident of the urban KK area?

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

		70	Nicole Royackers	Yes	Removing the community board rate from the general rates is not sufficient. If residents of the points (kauri, ongare, tuapiro and tanners) are no longer represented by a community board then why should they still have to pay for katikati charges such as katikati promo, town centre development when we no longer have a say on how those funds are used as my understanding is the community board's make recommendation based on the community views which those residents will no longer have a say in.
		165	Lynda Frew	Yes	Support any boundary adjustment that ensures approximately equal population numbers and similar concerns captured by boundaries.
		310	Dianne Morris	Yes	that seems fine

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

Topic	Issue	Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Do you support reduction of Katikati Community Board boundary?
RRW24-06: Katikati Community Board	01: Support Reduction of Katikati Community Board Boundary	1	Mark Hume	Yes
		6	Alison Henderson (Alie)	Yes
		10	Wendy Baker	Yes
		13	Barbara Hickman	Yes
		15	Beverley Edwards	Yes
		17	Susan Lean	Yes
		20	Vanessa Ewart	Yes
		23	Blaise Williams	Yes
		31	Colin Pollitt	Yes
		33	Joanne Wiggett	Yes
		35	Jared Lee	Yes
		38	Wayne Koedyk	Yes
		40	Richard Stephens	Yes
		42	Kassandra Steel	Yes
		46	Lindsay Horne	Yes
		47	Pauline Westhead	Yes
		49	Eric Kolver	Yes
		51	Michael Cooper	Yes
		52	Peter Ellingham	Yes
		54	Garry Newson	Yes
		56	Amy Edwards	Yes
		61	Tony Nicholls	Yes
		63	Gregory Padgett	Yes
		64	John Topping	Yes
		65	Peter Smith	Yes
		67	Clayton Hall	Yes
		68	Martin Jessop	Yes
		72	Indra Pokhrel	Yes
		73	G Mitchell	Yes
		78	William James Lochhead	Yes
79	Craig Farndale	Yes		
82	Jeffrey Dougal	Yes		
83	Stephen Steddy	Yes		
85	Gaynor Coldicutt	Yes		
89	Peter McCormick	Yes		



## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

90	Peter Butler	Yes
93	Chris and Sue Bedford	Yes
95	Peter Musk	Yes
97	Ron Mcdiarmid	Yes
98	Maxine Wallis	Yes
99	Lesley Rainbow	Yes
100	Steven Stark	Yes
101	Melanie Longdill	Yes
103	Susanne Rolfe	Yes
104	Owen Allsop	Yes
105	Wayne Merriman	Yes
109	Peter Pooran	Yes
114	Keith Davidson	Yes
120	Cecilia Burgess	Yes
123	Gavin Stansfield	Yes
125	Cherie Palm	Yes
127	Mike	Yes
128	Robert Heath Smart	Yes
130	Peter Griffiths	Yes
136	Leigh Neilson	Yes
137	Wayne O'Driscoll	Yes
138	Diane Crisp	Yes
141	Raewyn Turner	Yes
148	Philip Laurence	Yes
151	Kiel Mans	Yes
155	Carey Pearce	Yes
160	Leanne Robinson	Yes
166	Rob Hawker	Yes
167	Phillip Martelli	Yes
172	Paretiaki Burt	Yes
173	Rebecca	Yes
177	Hautapu Baker	Yes
179	Tash Tukaokao	Yes
180	Jo Cameron	Yes
181	Dean	Yes
194	Colin Hewens	Yes
195	Nicola Reid	Yes
199	Toni Strahan	Yes

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

200	Linda Marsh	Yes
205	Blanche McMath	Yes
207	Sara Thorburn	Yes
212	Andrew Hodgson	Yes
213	Clare Neven	Yes
216	Sharlene Rumney	Yes
219	Doug Moore	Yes
224	Margaret Ross	Yes
225	Julie Nicholson	Yes
226	Terri (Therese) McClintock	Yes
228	Robyn McLennan	Yes
229	Sally Bower	Yes
231	Peter Arnold	Yes
237	Jim Thomas	Yes
238	John Allen	Yes
239	Annette Assen	Yes
240	Colin Chase	Yes
244	Greg Lewis	Yes
248	Nathan Sanderson	Yes
253	Jason ake	Yes
264	Ruiha Whare	Yes
268	Owen taki Williams	Yes
273	Phillip kahotea	Yes
279	Te Pae Tamihana	Yes
281	Andrea Laison	Yes
282	Donna Gardiner	Yes
293	Marama Walker	Yes
298	Oriwa Lovett	Yes
305	Sandra Potaka	Yes
308	Dennis Johns	Yes
314	Dietmar Vogel	Yes
318	Teena Dinsdale	Yes
319	Nga Pikitia Hennings	Yes
320	Malcolm Smith	Yes
327	Apina Rodger	Yes
331	Angeline Samuels	Yes
335	Judith and Peter Malcolm	Yes
336	Leslie brumby	Yes

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

338	Peter Stockwell	Yes
339	John Pottinger	Yes
341	Liz Farrell	Yes
350	Kelly	Yes
357	Phil Shields	Yes
358	Lynette Shields	Yes
359	Frank Kawe	Yes
374	Paul Yeo	Yes
377	Dennis Madden	Yes
379	Daniel Fuller	Yes
380	Terangi Bartlett	Yes
381	Pania Wilson	Yes
382	Antonio Apaapa	Yes
383	Patricia Marion Haine	Yes
393	Tim Dunn	Yes
397	Paul Meharry	Yes
403	Hinemotu Douglas	Yes
404	Eoin Ritchie	Yes
406	Pia Osborne	Yes
417	Tracy Harris	Yes
418	Penny Stockwell	Yes
420	Mark Smith	Yes
421	Roxanne Bunker	Yes
422	Nathan Bunker	Yes
427	Marie Bourke	Yes
428	Charles Tuapawa Jnr	Yes
429	Te Rangi Rae	Yes
430	Russell Dons	Yes
445	Paul Nikora	Yes
449	Kristy	Yes
451	Ian Goodger	Yes
453	Noel Fisher	Yes
454	Rob Pakes	Yes
455	Felia Faber	Yes
460	Susan Hope	Yes
465	Colin Graham	Yes
466	Natalie Mankelow	Yes
469	Catherine Stringer	Yes

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

		470	Rawiri Bhana	Yes
		482	Karen Aspey	Yes
		498	Nessie Ani Hinetai Kuka	Yes
		526	John Bell	Yes

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

Topic	Issue	Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Do you support reduction of Katikati Community Board boundary?	Comments
RRW24-06: Katikati Community Board	02: Oppose Reduction of KK CB Boundary	4	Ross Goudie	No	Major adjustments KK rural area has always works together Lack of say in the town we all live in or go to.
		8	Peter Turner	No	I live in an urban development in a rural area. These proposed boundary changes will take away the democratic rights of the rural population to be represented at their community board. I live in an urban development in a rural area just outside side of Katikati town centre. It is very important for Katikati's local rural area's to be included in the Katikati community board .WE DO NOT WANT TO LOSE THIS REPRESENTATION. This is not allowing for democracy to be fair and equal for all ratepayers.
		8	Peter Turner		As a resident of Fairview, I feel that our right to democracy will be violated by council with the proposed community Board boundary adjustments. I therefore disagree strongly with this proposal.
		11	Dr Jean-Paul Thull	Don't know	If it relates to the new Kaimai boundary, I say yes
		16	Andy Earl	No	LEAVE KATI KATI AS IT IS. It is working fine for Kati Kati. Leave it.
		19	David Marshall	No	Needs to remain the same or be abolished
		27	Kevin Searle	No	Katikati is as much about our rural residents as it is about our urban residents. Don't start separating us!

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

39	Ken Coulam	No		Community Boards are all about grass roots democracy. I live at Fairview and the 500 residents here and many others in the rural area will loss this important step in local government and will be forced to deal at the Council level. I could not see any compelling reason foe this change and strongly oppose it.
44	John Clements	No		It is a community of interest and has been for 150 years with the area of benefit being the whole ward
71	Greg Ward	No		You are removing the voice of a lot of the area outside of Katikati township.
77	Steve Harvey	No		Keep it with cover it has
113	Amanda Besley	No		Divisive . Urban versus rural. We are all part of this town.
126	Nelson Drummond and Christine Brooker	No		We live at Arran Drive and proudly support the Katikati community. Shopping, involment when we can and volunteering at the Waipuna Hospice. To cut our area out of the Boundary is insulting!!!! Strongly against the proposed Katikati boundary changes. Keep them as they are.
140	Leon Scheepers	Don't know		Won't enhance the community of interest. If you reduce then a lot of people have no voice.
201	Glenn Burt	No		Katikati is a service town and it needs to represent its community of interest which is primarily horticulture activities in the surrounding rural areas. It will Not improve the local voice in fact quite the opposite.
209	Richard Logan	No		The proposal shows an abject failure to understand what Katikati Community actually is. There hundreds and hundreds of effectively urban individuals who would be cut out of the community in the event this silly idea goes forward. Why was it even put to paper?

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

290	Wei Xu	No	No strong rationale for change
317	Angela Moore	No	The community board should represent the whole community. Keep boundaries as they are. Proposed reduction in rates for loss of community board representation is pathetic. What are the real reasons for this proposed change?
340	Bruce and Susan Hamblyn	No	What is the rationale behind making these proposals? It appears that with your proposal it will reduce support for a huge part of the rural population in our area.
346	Julian Fitter	No	<p>I am entirely unclear as to why you wish to go ahead with this proposal. Why do you wish to disenfranchise a significant number of people from the democratic process.</p> <p>Why do you want the Katikati Community board to have a 'stronger focus on urban issues' - I thought I was part of the Katikati Community, or are you attempting to redefine the word 'Community'?</p> <p>What have you got against people who live outside of the urban boundary but are undeniably part of the Katikati Community.</p> <p>I live 3.4km from the Talisman Hotel, you are proposing to have the boundary to the east some 3.1km from this fairly central spot, and to the south approx 3.2kms from the centre- your map is rather imprecise.</p> <p>What was the basis of your decision to draw the boundary line where you have, there are many people much closer to the centre of Katikati than I am who will also be excluded.</p> <p>At the same time you appear to be using the same argument to EXPAND the area covered by the Maketu Community Board - I find that entirely irrational, or at least your document is devoid of rationality on the matter.</p> <p>If you are concerned that some areas are not covered by Community Boards, then ensure that they are ALL covered!!</p>

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

		353	Lynne Shearman	No	I have always appreciated having a representative via the Community Board. The new boundaries for the Board member would exclude Fairview Estate, 4km out of Katikati. PLEASE maintain the boundary to include this 300+ community.
		368	Heather Guptill	No	As stated before, there is a gap between Katikati and Waihi Beach with this proposal which could make them feel abandoned as they are already looked after by a Community Board. There seems to be no mans land now between Katikati and Waihi Beach, where currently they are covered by a Community Board and Councilors, if you change the boundaries they may feel abandoned. I realise this happens in other areas but I feel that these people will feel let down by the Council.
		373	Allan Dawson	No	The rural area is as much the community as the urban area is and the two can't be separated. The rural and urban area's are co-dependent. The rural area pay rates for the town amenities and contribute to the town in many ways. It's unbelievable that anyone would think such an adjustment would best suit either urban or rural. I have lived in Aongatete district for 45 years. Our children went to school in Katikati. My wife and I contribute to katikati in many ways. We provide significant financial support to The rugby and sports club, the Museum and the Rotary club. My wife was a community JP for many years, on the school board and the local health initiative chairperson. We ran a business that employed many Katikati locals and pumped millions of dollars into katikati each year. We are also supporters of Aongatete issues - Aongatete Lodge and , Aongatete Forest Restoration. We continue to deal with many katikati businesses and believe we are part of the community. We don't want to be disenfranchised!
		375	Hilton Paul	No	To restrict the boundaries to just the Township itself as proposed is to ignore the numerous people who reside in the more rural areas and who consider Katikati to be their home. They use Katikati facilities and are a major customer base for Katikati businesses. They are also make a major contribution to the management and running of businesses and organisations within Katikati. Just in numbers they would come close to matching the township population and to exclude them from representation is short sighted and offensive.
		376	Megan Jowsey	No	I think the area will be too small and not fully represent those who identify with Katikati



Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

400	Karl Christoffersen	No	Keep it simple
402	Anne Roberts	No	It is a rural community, includes urban and densely populated rural area
419	Mike Rose	No	I believe it is unjust.
423	Cherry Clements	No	Katikati is a working town and the rural community are very much a part of the town. This is not a MINOR change but reduces the Katikati Board area to the limit of the town, a significant reduction in area. People in the rural areas use Katikati for their shopping, schools, work, entertainment, sport facilities etc. and therefore the Community Board should include the rural areas and rural communities. Katikati is a working town. People in wider area come in to Katikati for their services. Doesn't make sense. Existing boundary picks up a natural community of interest.
424	John Dallimore	No	There is no valid reason to restrict the boundary. Wider COI is what makes Katikati what it is. Sad to break up a community that's had a particular boundary for 150 years.

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

434	Lawrie Donald		<p>The only semi rational reason I can find for the decision to change the boundary for Katikati Community Board provided by Council is the one in this website.</p> <p>The reason for the boundary changes is to ensure effective representation of communities of interest.</p> <p>This weasel word sentence really says nothing of substance and appears to be an opinion that from which I cannot fathom the reason for the change.</p> <p>It would be, if this change is to go ahead I will be to get a reduction in my rate bill by no longer having the community target rate applied over the years.</p> <p>With the fact that I have been a rate payer since 1990 paying the targeted rate for the Katikati Community Board I would like to find out the state of the targeted rate account.</p> <p>If there has been a targeted rate applied by the council there must be a targeted rate account and an annual budget of income and expenditure. The purpose for the rate charge and the accounts should be available to the community that has paid that targeted rate. Especially to the community that has been paying the targeted rate over the years and now due to some spurious decision the rate and the reason for that funding is no longer is required.</p> <p>It appears with the reduction in the community Board area and the number of people paying the rate there will be significantly less funding available now for the the reason the rate was collected.</p> <p>The community has not requested any of these proposed changes and any related expressions of interest and response by the community appear to me that the proposed changes were in the past rejected by the community. So much for open and transparent democracy.</p>
436	Alan Goodyear		<p>I strongly disagree with the proposal to reduce the size of the Katikati Community Board area to the Katikati urban area. To say that this will better align with communities of interest is complete nonsense. I have far more interest in the Katikati urban area than I have for other settlements such as Tanners Point or for rural parts of the District. I never go to any gatherings in the rural areas, and the only gatherings that ever happen at Ongare Point are an annual fishing competition and a monthly meeting of some of the ladies. But I do go to gatherings in Katikati several times each and every week, and make many other visits. When I leave my house, Katikati is my destination and the center of my interest. Ongare Point is also of interest to Katikati people, as many of them come for access to the harbor, to walk along the shore, or for their children to use our modest playground.</p>

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

		436	Alan Goodyear		Tanners Point, Tuapiro Subdivision, Ongare Point, Te Kauri Village and Fairview are effectively small detached suburbs of Katikati, and have little community of interest with the rural areas around them. - Also, any resident of the rural area will have far more interests in the Katikati town than with other rural residents. The only rural gatherings that they are likely to attend would be a minority occasionally going to packhouse or fruitgrower meetings. The urban areas of Waihi Beach, Katikati, Omokoroa, Te Puke and Maketu are the jewels in the Western Bay crown. They are where the population increases are occurring. They should have some degree of local governance, and Community Boards are a proven way of providing it. The Boards will inevitably vary in their effectiveness, and this may frustrate Barkes Corner, but any attempts to reduce their scope, such as now proposed for Katikati and Te Puke, are misguided. Ward Boards are unproven and are not an effective substitute for Community Boards.
		436	Alan Goodyear		There does not appear to be any logic or consistency in the proposals regarding the size of Community Boards. It is proposed that Waihi Beach be unchanged, retaining its rural areas, Katikati and Te Puke are to lose theirs, and Maketu is to gain rural areas. Why the differences? Would the Local Government Commission accept such inconsistency? I strongly recommend that the Katikati Community Board area remains unchanged. Resident of Ongare Pt - our community of interest is with Katikati town
		437	W. B. P. Martin	No	Keep the current boundaries as they are. Do not reduce to encircle Katikati township only. My reason the rural area around Katikati uses the facilities within the town like shops, petrol stations, schools, library, swimming pool, fire & ambulance, engineering shops etc. We all need to contribute to the Councils costs of servicing theses facilities. Shrink Boundaries. No. Leave as is. The surrounding farmers around Te Puke and Katikati se all the facilities of e towns and they need to contribute to the cost of providing services.

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

		443	Katch Katikati	No	The Katikati Community Board has an interest in the entire ward. The township is a service town for all residents and they want to have a say, and be represented. We have not spoken with any residents who think this is a good idea for our ward.
		447	Roger Course	No	It seems unclear how the country folk are going to be represented on a Community Board.
		473	K Martin	No	and who represents the rural community???
		488	Esther Ritmeester		<p>I am strongly opposing the reduction of the Katikati community board boundary from the current to the proposed, as I feel it will negatively affect the Katikati community as a whole and reduce the say and involvement in the Katikati's current community cohesion, direction and development for a large proportion of its current community.</p> <p>Reducing the boundary as proposed will in my opinion result in:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•Effectively halving the amount of people represented by the community board, as rural/ outlying and town communities within the current Katikati board boundary are near equal in size.</li> <li>•Destruction of a very long established geographical definition of the existing boundary, that has defined the strong identity, cohesion and focus of the Katikati area and community for I believe more than 100 years.</li> </ul>

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

488	Esther Ritmeester		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•Division between Town and Country areas, where one can't exist without the other and are economically entwined and supporting one another. Katikati is more than its township and business comes largely from surrounding rural area and tourism. To exclude Rural people from having a say in the town's direction and from involvement with the community board, and reduce their representation, will cause estrangement and distance that will affect cohesion and in effect will damage the relationship and communications needed for efficacious functioning of the area.</li> <li>•Loss of identity. Our community identifies as "Katikati" if we as Rural Katikati residents are no longer included in our Katikati boundary "Who are we??" And who will represent us?</li> <li>•Loss of a voice. I believe our community board has strong community involvement, communication and support. As was visible at the round the table discussion on Wednesday 9th of October. It is working as it is, why fix it if it isn't broken? We as community have not requested this change, don't push it on us.</li> </ul>
488	Esther Ritmeester		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•Alienation. Many of us feel a disconnect with BOP-Council, and politicians at large, we feel not-heard and neglected and have lost trust in impersonal centralized politics and distant politicians. We need a local approach and to feel we have an identity and a voice. Our community board provides that. As it is a sound board and a familiar face we can approach, who understand our unique situation, history, community and position. Effectively excluding 50% of its community to be represented by and lobbied for will be detrimental. 'A BOP Councillor' can't replace this intimate position.</li> </ul>
488	Esther Ritmeester		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•Several of the outlying and expanding 'suburbs ' of Katikati (e.g. Ongare Point, Fairview) being cut off from the support of the Katikati community board. There are practical questions relating to direction, access to funding for projects and infrastructure, that are causing concern for these outlying communities should this proposal be adopted and they need answers before that happens. I propose not to adopt the proposed changes to the Katikati community board boundary, so as to preserve the identity and cohesion and involvement of our great community as it is.</li> </ul>

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

		491	Katikati Community Board		6. The Community Board and the community believe the boundaries of the Katikati Community Board should stay the same (excluding pt 3 above). The town and rural communities see themselves sharing a community of interest around the town. This was resoundingly supported at the public consultation held by council on 9th October.
		491	Katikati Community Board		7. In the event of point 4 being agreed by Council the debate around communities of interest and areas of benefit falls away. If not then the Community Board believes that the community of benefit and areas of benefit being split is illogical and has difficulty supporting this split. The rural area benefits from a vibrant town as the town benefits from a vibrant rural community regardless of expenditure. The consultation in Katikati clearly supported that view.
		492	Waihi Beach Community Board		We do not support reducing the size of the Katikati Community Board area. It is illogical and against the principles of communities of interest. Denying the mainly rural community the right to vote for a community board within their area would cut the many established links. The rural people feel strongly that this community of interest established over many years, including business, sport, education, recreation, and leisure amenities, will be lost.

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

493	Katikati - Waihi Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association			With regard to community boards, "we are proposing to make several boundary adjustments to the Katikati, Te Puke and Maketu Community Board areas in order to better reflect these communities of interest". We don't believe these proposed changes have anything to do with communities of interest. We suspect council wants to get rid of community boards and consider that disrupting them as much as possible may be a way to achieve this. The current Katikati Community Board area covers a very strong community of interest and should not be changed except perhaps for some distal properties if that is what the residents of those properties want.
499	Te Puke Community Board	Don't know		As the Te Puke CB, we have no opinion as we believe this is a matter for local people but our rationale for objecting to the boundary change to the Te Puke CB is likely to apply to Katikati
503	Patricia Hay	No		There is no good reason for this proposed change. Perhaps the southeastern part where the residents are more closely aligned with Te Puna or Tauranga could be cut.
505	Dale Snell	No		I acknowledge that this is entirely a local matter. However I fear that if there boundary is adjusted, this will impact the decision for the Te Puke ward adjustment. It is important that each area is adjusted on its own merit and the voice of that particular community only.
506	Joanne Johnston	No		How do rural residents have representation and a say in what happens in our community?
510	Alisha			I am strongly opposed the boundary adjustment for Katikati ward. It is not minor, Katikati is largely made up of rural residents and this boundary change would see all of rural Katikati no longer represented. The recent issues with NZTA regarding the Katikati to Omokoroa corridor have taught us how important it is to have representation that understands the nuances of the community that it represents.
511	Dianne Renner	No		I cannot find the voting form, but disagree with your proposed boundary changes for Katikati & request that you leave them as they are

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

		512	Ongare Point Ratepayers and Residents Association (OPRRA) Warren Yardley		While the Council has indicated that no significant changes have occurred since the 2018 representation review, this current proposal seeks to alter the boundaries of the Katikati Community Board, shifting its focus more towards urban areas. This change significantly reduces the Board's coverage by restricting it to a small area around the town of Katikati, leaving out key rural regions and satellite communities that were previously included. The Council justifies this change as a way to bring consistency across the Western Bay of Plenty (WBOP), noting that other wards also lack representation for rural areas. However, instead of enhancing representation for these rural communities, the Council has opted to exclude them from the Katikati Community Board to achieve consistency.
		512	Ongare Point Ratepayers and Residents Association (OPRRA) Warren Yardley		We argue that this approach, rather than improving representation, actually diminishes it, reducing the effectiveness of representation in the district. This push for consistency has unfairly disadvantaged us. The Council also claims that the proposed change will provide effective representation for the community of interest. However, we believe that this overlooks the vital connections between Katikati and its surrounding rural and residential areas.
		512	Ongare Point Ratepayers and Residents Association (OPRRA) Warren Yardley		The current Katikati Community of interest operates on a "hub and spoke" model, where Katikati functions as the hub, and satellite communities such as Ongare maintain strong, direct ties to it. While rural areas may have less direct connections, they are still significant. Without these connections, Katikati would lose much of its vibrancy and importance as a service centre for the surrounding areas. The proposed review fails to account for these dynamics, leading to a flawed representation model that undermines the effectiveness of the system. In conclusion, we believe the proposal changes do not meet the criteria for fairness and effectiveness as outlined by the Council.
		512	Ongare Point Ratepayers and Residents Association (OPRRA) Warren Yardley		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Katikati Community Board had been helpful to Ongare Point Residents and Ratepayers Association in the past.</li> </ul>
		513	Ross Fippard	No	disagree with your proposed boundary changes for Katikati & request that you leave them as they are



Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

				With regard to community boards, "we are proposing to make several boundary adjustments to the Katikati, Te Puke and Maketu Community Board areas in order to better reflect these communities of interest". We don't believe these proposed changes have anything to do with communities of interest. We suspect council wants to get rid of community boards and consider that disrupting them as much as possible may be a way to achieve this. The current Katikati Community Board area covers a very strong community of interest and should not be changed except perhaps for some distal properties if that is what the residents of those properties want.
515	Keith Hay			
517	Dianne & Peter Dudfield			We understand from the response Council representatives received at the discussion meeting held at the Katikati Hub on Wednesday 9th of October that adjustment to the boundaries was roundly rejected by those residents and ratepayers who were present. With regard to community boards, we don't believe these proposed changes have anything to do with communities of interest as the Council has stated, rather we suspect the current council wants to get rid of community boards and consider that disrupting them as much as possible may be a way to achieve this. The current Katikati Community Board area covers a very strong community of interest (as indicated at the public meeting) and should not be changed.
520	Grant Deed	No		Do not reduce my representation and democratic say in Waihi Beach
521	Mike Hickey	No		It seems that the Waihi Beach Area will have less representation on Council in spite of being one of the areas containing a large number of property owning ratepayers. This, I believe is a diluted form of representation.
522	Chris Gaelic	No		We live in Chard Rd, which will be outside your new Katikati ward boundary. So we will no longer have any representation. How is this fair
524	Graeme D Mankelow	No		Leave well alone. Respect democracy - listen to the people at Katikati on 9. October theme was constant & Clear
525	Norman F Mayo	No		No good reason to do it. Who suggested this? It was a sensible move (see sep. paper) many years ago and nothing has changed. Makes a lot of sense to retain current position.

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

		525	Norman F Mayo		<p>This suggestion of shrinking the kk cbd. area is in my opinion a 'no brainer'. It used to be that way many years ago, and heaps of our rural people that attached to the town felt dis enfranchised, and not represented by the board. Dave Humbe, in his wisdom, suggested we become a ward board, for all the right intelligent reasons, and that was well received. I supported it then, and have ever since!! It gives a more representative voice to the people outside the urban area that attach to the town, in all ways. The rural people became part of the voice for "our town", nd it also increased the funding for development, so made good sense all round! I hope no one is foolish enough to try and turn back the clock that far! [and what is the thinking that could justify that? It would seem a real backward move to me! By "no brainer, I mean does not Make any Sense.</p>
		529	John Buchanan	No	<p>I strongly disagree with the proposal to reduce the size of the Katikati Community Board area to the Katikati urban area. To say that this will better align with communities of interest is complete nonsense. I have far more interest in the Katikati urban area than I have for other settlements such as Tanners Point or for rural parts of the District. I never go to any gatherings in the rural areas, and the only gatherings that ever happen at Ongare Point are an annual fishing competition and a monthly meeting of some of the ladies. But I do go to gatherings in Katikati several times each and every week, and make many other visits. When I leave my house, Katikati is my destination and the centre of my interest. I belong to several groups in Katikati, including, Rotary club of Katikati &amp; St Paul's Presbyterian church.</p>

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

		529	John Buchanan	No	Ongare Point is also of interest to Katikati people, as many of them come for access to the harbor, to walk along the shore or for their children to use our modest playground. Tanners Point, Tuapiro Subdivision, Ongare Point, Te Kauri Village and Fairview are effectively small detached suburbs of Katikati and have little community of interest with the rural areas around them. The urban areas of Waihi Beach, Katikati, Omokoroa, Te Puke and Maketu are the jewels in the Western Bay crown. They are where the population increases are occurring. They should have some degree of local governance and Community Boards are a proven way of providing it. The Boards will inevitably vary in their effectiveness, and this may frustrate Barks Corner, but any attempts to reduce their scope, such as now proposed for Katikati and Te Puke, are misguided. Ward Boards are unproven and are not an effective substitute for Community Boards. There does not appear to be any logic or consistency in the proposals regarding the size of Community Boards. It is proposed that Waihi Beach be unchanged, retaining its rural areas, Katikati and Te Puke to lose theirs, and Maketu is to gain rural areas. Why the differences? Would the Local Government Commission accept such inconsistency? I strongly recommend that the Katikati Community Board area remains unchanged. Also Ongare Pt resident - I'm part of Katikati.
		544	Linda Groenewegen		Community really important. If divided up, who do I belong to? I live at Tuapiro Rd.
		550	Heather Wills		Who represents us rural residents? We need representation in rural. How will we be disadvantaged if not in CB area?
		560	Keith Hayman	Don't know	To be fairly represented need to be part of KKCB area. No taxation without representation
		561	Esther & Arjen Rutmeester		Town can't be separated from rural surrounds. Rural need to have a say about what happens in the town. Current boundary is a geographical community of interest.

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

Topic	Issue	Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Do you support reduction of Katikati Community Board boundary?
RRW24-06: Katikati Community Board	02: Oppose Reduction of Katikati Community Board Boundary	9	Vincent Beckett	No
		21	Brooke Jones	No
		28	Michelle Zoanne Hawthorne	No
		43	Simon	No
		50	Beat Wisler	No
		53	Nathan Candy	No
		62	Julie Cole	No
		111	Alan Richard Kurtovich	No
		112	Graeme Dick	No
		115	Shane Valois	No
		119	Wayne McIndoe	No
		144	P. McKenzie	No
		159	M Stevens	No
		170	Summer Heke	No
		183	Terry Griffiths	No
		187	Carol Fppard	No
		202	Destiny Leaf	No
		210	Dallas Tauwi	No
		220	Kevin Christie	No
		221	Rachel Hollands	No
		227	Betty Robson	No
		232	Gabrielle Syminton	No
		234	June Sobye	No
		236	Aaron Hokopaura	No
		262	Suaree Borell	No
		291	Carley Hennings	No
		300	TeAroha Rahui	No
		302	Hirinii Potene	No
		307	Paul Mead	No
		309	Noel Silver	No
313	Richard Shore	No		
326	Darlene Dinsdale	No		
333	Gavin Motu	No		
337	Grant William Jeffcote	No		
349	Pirihira Douglas	No		

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

	351	Don Wallis	No
	369	Gwynnith Cumming	No
	378	Noel Stevens	No
	384	Karen Whatuira	No
	389	Donald Carter	No
	396	Marlene Samuels	No
	405	Peter Richard Dudfield	No
	408	Cheryl Toma	No
	409	Toni Connelly	No
	414	Grant Cowles	No
	416	Janene Cowles	No
	444	Gendi Burwell	No
	448	Patrick Donohue	No
	452	Jacqui Knight	No
	457	Jill Dell	No
	458	Martyn Woodhall	No
	461	Nicola Austin	No
	478	Ange Dinsdale	No
	494	Henry Kruger	No
	497	Jackie Wenham	No
	508	Larissa Pool	No
	528	Maurice Fletcher	No

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

Topic	Issue	Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Do you support reduction of Katikati Community Board boundary?
RRW24-06: Katikati Community Board	Oxx: Other comments about Katikati Community Board Boundary	7	Craig Darrall	Don't know
		18	Kathleen Jones	Don't know
		22	Shane Max	Don't know
		24	Catherine Rolleston	Don't know
		26	Jodie Houltram	Don't know
		29	Stuart S Steel	Don't know
		30	Tom Hansen	Don't know
		32	Sandra Partington	Don't know
		34	Todd Mortensen	Don't know
		36	Buddy Mikaere	Don't know
		37	Jodi Hurford	Don't know
		41	Brett Waterhouse	Don't know
		45	Simon Neylon	Don't know
		57	Amanda Phillips	Don't know
		59	Toni Fraser	Don't know
		60	Susan Padgett	Don't know
		66	Grant Webber	Don't know
		69	Caleb Evans	Don't know
		74	Geoffrey Harper	Don't know
		76	Liz Meyers	Don't know
		80	Hone Allen	Don't know
		81	Peter Longdill	Don't know
		86	Rebecca James	Don't know
87	Ross Simmonds	Don't know		
88	Nic Davies	Don't know		
91	Marian Jones	Don't know		
92	Raymond Joseph Mckinney	Don't know		
94	Michael O'Rourke	Don't know		
102	Angela Scott	Don't know		
106	Ian Stevens	Don't know		
107	Andrea Wylie	Don't know		
110	Mark Copeland	Don't know		
116	Sonia Diacono	Don't know		
118	Maru Ririnui	Don't know		

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

124	Anonymous	Don't know
129	Allan Wolfe	Don't know
131	Jane Yates	Don't know
133	Gregg Newton	Don't know
134	Sheryl Thocolich	Don't know
135	Belinda Carlyle	Don't know
139	William Kehoe	Don't know
142	Richard	Don't know
143	Tara Kehoe	Don't know
145	Tim Reehal	Don't know
147	George Clemens	Don't know
149	Philip Xu	Don't know
150	Denis Henderson	Don't know
152	Aaron Drayson	Don't know
153	Russell Knutson	Don't know
154	Matt Muller	Don't know
157	Marie	Don't know
158	Werner Schefer	Don't know
161	Chris Parkinson	Don't know
162	Maree Voorend	Don't know
163	Peter Bullock	Don't know
164	Mike Woodrow	Don't know
168	Teresa Nepia	Don't know
169	Hope	Don't know
171	Peata	Don't know
174	Reweti Kuka	Don't know
175	Gia Nelson	Don't know
178	Te Moanaroa Togo	Don't know
182	Richard Hoko	Don't know
184	Braden Strahan	Don't know
185	Tamoe Ngata	Don't know
186	Reremoana Nepia	Don't know
193	Mike Bayliss	Don't know
196	Malia Stowers	Don't know
197	Wayne Warin	Don't know
198	Titihuia Ngata	Don't know
203	Sophia Muir	Don't know
204	Angela Gill	Don't know

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

	206	Chris Sutton	Don't know
	211	Ian Larsen	Don't know
	214	Michelle Jordan-Tong	Don't know
	215	Debbie Oakley	Don't know
	217	Danielle Tuapawa	Don't know
	222	Jodene	Don't know
	230	Matthew Hattie	Don't know
	233	Timothy Pearce	Don't know
	241	Maureen Jacob	Don't know
	242	Darren Leef	Don't know
	243	Esther Williams	Don't know
	246	Kelly Tate	Don't know
	247	Rachael Coll	Don't know
	251	Geoff & Ali Rice	Don't know
	252	Julian Rolleston	Don't know
	255	Sophie Biel	Don't know
	257	Nats	Don't know
	258	Rangitawhai Rahiri	Don't know
	259	Tahlia Rikihana	Don't know
	260	Anita Johns	Don't know
	263	Meremaihi Aloua	Don't know
	265	Tama K	Don't know
	266	Roberta Marsh	Don't know
	267	Sharon McLeod	Don't know
	274	Ellause Rolleston	Don't know
	275	Teresa Moana	Don't know
	277	Rimini Williams	Don't know
	278	Mary Brockett	Don't know
	280	Pikitia Hennings	Don't know
	283	Lyn Smith	Don't know
	285	Andrea Wells	Don't know
	286	Hope Bidois	Don't know
	287	Kiri Ahomiro	Don't know
	288	Corey	Don't know
	289	Kalei Delamere-Ririnui	Don't know
	295	Atawhai Heke	Don't know
	296	Hinenui Cooper	Don't know
	297	Kahurimu Rauwhero	Don't know



## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

299	Mere-Tu-Ahiahi Horopapera	Don't know
301	Tim Donaldson	Don't know
304	Malcolm Robertson	Don't know
306	Matewai Maxine Karaka-Clarke	Don't know
311	Gavin Harris	Don't know
312	Judy Treloar	Don't know
315	Jasmine Kuka	Don't know
316	Ngatuputupu Potene	Don't know
321	Tammy Webb	Don't know
322	Anne	Don't know
323	Kelly Kuka	Don't know
324	Whareangiangi Pita	Don't know
325	Anton Palmer	Don't know
328	Rachael	Don't know
329	Maurice Morehu Ngatoko	Don't know
330	Kura Martin	Don't know
332	Mereana Te Pere	Don't know
334	David Ratima Trust ceo-Agent	Don't know
342	Rosina Tuhakaraina	Don't know
343	Te Uru Tarewa Irwin	Don't know
345	Kyle Beetham	Don't know
347	Taylor Raj	Don't know
348	Kalim Karaka	Don't know
355	Shannon	Don't know
356	Lee Weatherley	Don't know
360	Joel Ngātūere	Don't know
361	Makere Tawa	Don't know
362	Jane Sowerby	Don't know
363	Kirsty Willison	Don't know
364	Justine Murray	Don't know
365	Regan Murray	Don't know
366	Apanui Tawa	Don't know
367	Mererina Murray	Don't know
370	Hamuera Kohu	Don't know
371	Marata Paama	Don't know
372	Julia Wharton	Don't know
386	Raymond Sowerby	Don't know
387	Annabelle Sowerby	Don't know

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

		388	Charnaye walker	Don't know
		390	Elva Conroy	Don't know
		394	Eunique Paki	Don't know
		399	Hannah Stewart	Don't know
		401	Wayne Stevenson	Don't know
		407	Alison Cowley	Don't know
		425	Mokoera Te Amo	Don't know
		426	Julie Te Amo	Don't know
		439	Nathan Olsen	Don't know
		440	Briar Davie	Don't know
		441	Megan Johnstone	Don't know
		442	Blair Johnstone	Don't know
		446	Jesse Archer	Don't know
		450	Stephen Colson	Don't know
		456	Maxine Woodhall	Don't know
		462	Lisa Cooper	Don't know
		463	Ashleigh Spencrr	Don't know
		464	Tracy Brown	Don't know
		467	Megan Olsen	Don't know
		468	Roana Bennett	Don't know
		471	Jennifer Lowes	Don't know
		472	Billie Jean Potaka Ayton	Don't know
		476	Arapo Whata	Don't know
		477	Anahera Dinsdale	Don't know
		479	Miriama Le Comte	Don't know
		480	Kalleisha Wade	Don't know
		481	Janet Commins	Don't know
		483	Paula Gaelic	Don't know
		484	Heather Cleaver	Don't know
		485	Paul Hickson	Don't know
		495	Steve Burton	Don't know
		500	Shantelle Mahia	Don't know
		501	Sara Broadhurst	Don't know
		502	Chiavanni Le'Mon	Don't know
		504	Michael Jones	Don't know
		518	Bruce Brown	Don't know
		527	Doreen Dickey	Don't know

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

Topic	Issue	Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Do you support reduction of Te Puke Community Board	Comments
RRW24-07: Te Puke Community Board Boundaries	03: Support Reduction of Te Puke Community Board Boundary	41	Brett Waterhouse		He agreed with reducing the Te Puke Community Board boundary to allow a town focus
		58	Phillip Hapi Smith	Yes, Don't know	The map seems to show that the adjustments have reduced the boards' boundaries and responsibilities to just the Tepuke Main CBD area. How will those that now sit outside of the new boundaries be represented?
		70	Nicole Royackers	Yes	same as 15 but for the affected areas and rate charges.
		96	Roger Goodman	Yes	Yes is logical
		272	Donna-Marie Parata	Don't know	I have downloaded the poster but can't determine what it was, to what it will be. I think boundaries are stupid! I can't see if the Maketū boundary has changed but I literally live 100m outside if the boundary and I want to have my say and vote for Maketū.  Likewise with Te Puke. I work in town, my son's attend Kōhanga and school in Te Puke. Not oy am I in Te Puke 5 weekdays, we shop in Te Puke on Sundays and are often in or around town on Saturday. I shop locally, service our community int he mahi I do so feel like I should get a vote and a say within our Te Puke ward.
		518	Bruce Brown	Yes	Boundary of TPCB needs to include Dunlop Rd.

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

Topic	Issue	Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Do you support reduction of Te Puke Community Board boundary?
RRW24-07: Te Puke Community Board	01: Support Reduction of Te Puke Community Board Boundary	5	Sammy Paige	Yes
		6	Alison Henderson (Alie)	Yes
		10	Wendy Baker	Yes
		13	Barbara Hickman	Yes
		14	Martin Baker	Yes
		15	Beverley Edwards	Yes
		23	Blaise Williams	Yes
		31	Colin Pollitt	Yes
		33	Joanne Wiggett	Yes
		35	Jared Lee	Yes
		40	Richard Stephens	Yes
		42	Kassandra Steel	Yes
		47	Pauline Westhead	Yes
		49	Eric Kolver	Yes
		52	Peter Ellingham	Yes
		54	Garry Newson	Yes
		56	Amy Edwards	Yes
		65	Peter Smith	Yes
		72	Indra Pokhrel	Yes
		73	G Mitchell	Yes
		78	William James Lochhead	Yes
		79	Craig Farndale	Yes
		82	Jeffrey Dougal	Yes
		83	Stephen Steddy	Yes
		85	Gaynor Coldicutt	Yes
		90	Peter Butler	Yes
		93	Chris and Sue Bedford	Yes
		95	Peter Musk	Yes
97	Ron Mcdiarmid	Yes		
100	Steven Stark	Yes		
101	Melanie Longdill	Yes		
103	Susanne Rolfe	Yes		
104	Owen Allsop	Yes		
105	Wayne Merriman	Yes		
109	Peter Pooran	Yes		
112	Graeme Dick	Yes		

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

114	Keith Davidson	Yes
120	Cecilia Burgess	Yes
123	Gavin Stansfield	Yes
125	Cherie Palm	Yes
127	Mike	Yes
128	Robert Heath Smart	Yes
130	Peter Griffiths	Yes
136	Leigh Neilson	Yes
137	Wayne O'Driscoll	Yes
138	Diane Crisp	Yes
141	Raewyn Turner	Yes
146	Iain Haggarty	Yes
151	Kiel Mans	Yes
155	Carey Pearce	Yes
160	Leanne Robinson	Yes
165	Lynda Frew	Yes
166	Rob Hawker	Yes
167	Phillip Martelli	Yes
169	Hope	Yes
170	Summer Heke	Yes
172	Paretiaki Burt	Yes
173	Rebecca	Yes
174	Reweti Kuka	Yes
177	Hautapu Baker	Yes
179	Tash Tukaokao	Yes
180	Jo Cameron	Yes
181	Dean	Yes
193	Mike Bayliss	Yes
194	Colin Hewens	Yes
195	Nicola Reid	Yes
199	Toni Strahan	Yes
200	Linda Marsh	Yes
205	Blanche McMath	Yes
206	Chris Sutton	Yes
212	Andrew Hodgson	Yes
216	Sharlene Rumney	Yes
219	Doug Moore	Yes
224	Margaret Ross	Yes
225	Julie Nicholson	Yes

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

228	Robyn McLennan	Yes
231	Peter Arnold	Yes
238	John Allen	Yes
239	Annette Assen	Yes
240	Colin Chase	Yes
244	Greg Lewis	Yes
248	Nathan Sanderson	Yes
251	Geoff & Ali Rice	Yes
257	Nats	Yes
264	Ruiha Whare	Yes
265	Tama K	Yes
266	Roberta Marsh	Yes
268	Owen taki Williams	Yes
273	Phillip kahotea	Yes
279	Te Pae Tamihana	Yes
281	Andrea Laison	Yes
282	Donna Gardiner	Yes
291	Carley Hennings	Yes
293	Marama Walker	Yes
298	Oriwa Lovett	Yes
305	Sandra Potaka	Yes
306	Matewai Maxine Karaka-Clarke	Yes
308	Dennis Johns	Yes
314	Dietmar Vogel	Yes
318	Teena Dinsdale	Yes
319	Nga Pikitia Hennings	Yes
320	Malcolm Smith	Yes
327	Apina Rodger	Yes
329	Maurice Morehu Ngatoko	Yes
331	Angeline Samuels	Yes
335	Judith and Peter Malcolm	Yes
336	Leslie brumby	Yes
338	Peter Stockwell	Yes
339	John Pottinger	Yes
341	Liz Farrell	Yes
350	Kelly	Yes
357	Phil Shields	Yes
358	Lynette Shields	Yes
359	Frank Kawe	Yes

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

		374	Paul Yeo	Yes
		376	Megan Jowsey	Yes
		377	Dennis Madden	Yes
		379	Daniel Fuller	Yes
		380	Terangi Bartlett	Yes
		381	Pania Wilson	Yes
		382	Antonio Apaapa	Yes
		383	Patricia Marion Haine	Yes
		393	Tim Dunn	Yes
		397	Paul Meharry	Yes
		403	Hinemotu Douglas	Yes
		404	Eoin Ritchie	Yes
		406	Pia Osborne	Yes
		417	Tracy Harris	Yes
		418	Penny Stockwell	Yes
		420	Mark Smith	Yes
		421	Roxanne Bunker	Yes
		422	Nathan Bunker	Yes
		425	Mokoera Te Amo	Yes
		427	Marie Bourke	Yes
		428	Charles Tuapawa Jnr	Yes
		430	Russell Dons	Yes
		445	Paul Nikora	Yes
		449	Kristy	Yes
		453	Noel Fisher	Yes
		454	Rob Pakes	Yes
		455	Felia Faber	Yes
		465	Colin Graham	Yes
		466	Natalie Mankelow	Yes
		469	Catherine Stringer	Yes
		470	Rawiri Bhana	Yes
		481	Janet Commins	Yes
		482	Karen Aspey	Yes
		498	Nessie Ani Hinetai Kuka	Yes
		526	John Bell	Yes

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

Topic	Issue	Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Do you support reduction of Te Puke Community Board boundary?	Comments
RRW24-07: Te Puke Community Board	02: Oppose Reduction of KK CB Boundary	4	Ross Goudie	Don't know	•The boundary of the Te Puke Community Board should at least go back to the original boundary.
		8	Peter Turner	No	All areas should have a equal right to be represented by a local community board.
		16	Andy Earl	No	They have good representation as it stands.
		44	John Clements	No	Rural and urban are integrated.
		62	Julie Cole	No	Why can't they be as one?
		215	Debbie Oakley	No	Why make it smaller when our area for servicing Te Puke runs from the Kaituna River in the East through to the Papamoa Hills.
		258	Rangitawhai Rahiri	No	My house in Waitangi, that is in Te Puke Boundries at present falls out and becomes Maketu?
		296	Hinenui Cooper	No	Consultation needs to occur with Ngati Pukenga kj Tauranga
		332	Mereana Te Pere	No	All areas of the Community/Ward should be included in the Community Board areas. Excluding areas from having a community voice is ludicrous and wrong.
		400	Karl Christoffersen	No	Keep it simple
		402	Anne Roberts	No	The community boards do not need adjustment
		411	Timothy & Carol Shellis	0	NO BOUNDARY CHANGES without being provided any reasons for the boundary changes , we want them to remain as is.
		423	Cherry Clements	No	Te Puke is the same as Katikati, it is a working town and the rural community are very much a part of the town and use all of the facilities as above.
		424	John Dallimore	Don't know	Not my district of focus bur for the same reason as Q.14.15 I would oppose this.
		437	W. B. P. Martin	No	Shrink boundaries. No. Leave as is. The surrounding farmers around Te Puke and Katikati se all the facilities of the towns and they need to contribute to the cost of providing services.
458	Martyn Woodhall	No	See 13		



Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

485	Paul Hickson	No	Te Puke is a service town for which those living in the East have a great interest in as it provides community organisations, schooling, sporting and business and retail. The proposal does not recognise this and the danger is the Community Board becomes too much main street focused.
493	Katikati - Waihi Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association		With regard to community boards, "we are proposing to make several boundary adjustments to the Katikati, Te Puke and Maketu Community Board areas in order to better reflect these communities of interest". We don't believe these proposed changes have anything to do with communities of interest. We suspect council wants to get rid of community boards and consider that disrupting them as much as possible may be a way to achieve this. The current Katikati Community Board area covers a very strong community of interest and should not be changed except perhaps for some distal properties if that is what the residents of those properties want.
499	Te Puke Community Board	No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•The town and rural areas including the villages of Waitangi and Manoeka are intrinsically linked, the CB is one way of maintaining and strengthening those relationships and links</li> <li>•By removing Waitangi and Manoeka from the CB boundary removes any ties the CB has with these two, largely Māori, communities and their Hapū</li> <li>•The cost of servicing the CB would be spread across fewer ratepayers and therefore increasing the rates for those people.</li> </ul> <p>Note the public was not provided information on the potential rating impact of the proposal.</p>
499	Te Puke Community Board		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•By decreasing the population served by the CB will have an impact on the remuneration of the CB members .. who are already providing a service way beyond what they are paid for. This also has a bearing on being able to attract the best calibre people to stand for election. The removal of the rural areas and villages also will have an impact on who may stand if the area of interest is limited to the urban area, especially when it comes to potential future Māori representation on the Board</li> <li>•The town centre and it's social infrastructure are utilized by the surrounding population (a good example of this is the Te Puke Memorial Hall area of benefit, which was recently expanded) and how the town and surrounding area appears as an impact on how people feel about their 'place' and whether they are proud of it and consequently promote the area.</li> </ul>

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

499	Te Puke Community Board		<p>•If the Council is seeking to embrace Localism then providing more people receiving local representation is required, not less as proposed.</p> <p>It is worth noting that the proposed area is not even the area covered by the proposed spatial plan. Te Puke Community Board area in fact needs to be extended to accommodate the new ward boundary change for completeness of representation on this side of the Ward.</p> <p>We have been not presented with any evidence from staff that the community has requested this change.</p>
504	Michael Jones	No	<p>The proposal is a giant leap backwards. Reducing the community of interest to a rural versus urban question is ridiculously outdated. Rural people use local schools, go to local churches, clubs and retailers. They celebrate events. They use all the infrastructure. They walk the streets and enjoy the parks. They depend on one another. They want to have their say in the way our town operates. Te Puke is my community and always has been whether I lived rurally or within the urban area. Rural folk should be involved and should contribute to making Te Puke an even better town. Community of interest of TPCB is much wider than the urban area. Unfair to expect urban area to pay when rural uses amenities. Community boards are an inefficient provider of governance. Current model doesn't impress me at all. Disagree with proposal to reduce TPCB noting consequential reduction in boundary.</p>
504	Michael Jones		<p>•He felt that the Te Puke community of interest needed to have a strong say on the facilities being built, events and the promotions, noting that they needed the delegations to support and allow this.</p>

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

505	Dale Snell	No	<p>• The town and rural areas including the villages of Waitangi and Manoeka are intrinsically linked, the CB is one way of maintaining and strengthening those relationships and links • By removing Waitangi and Manoeka from the CB boundary removes any ties the CB has with these two, largely Māori, communities and their Hapū as well as the associated benefits. Te Puke is a service town and everything the Community Board achieves and tries to achieve is for the benefit for all people visiting our town and who may use our town from the outlying rural areas, including the villages at either end • The cost of servicing the CB would be spread across fewer ratepayers and therefore increasing the rates for those people. Note the public was not provided information on the potential rating impact of the proposal. I find this astonishing and a little misleading</p>
505	Dale Snell	No	<p>• By decreasing the population served by the CB will have an impact on the remuneration of the CB members .. who are already providing a service way beyond what they are paid for. This also has a bearing on being able to attract the best calibre people to stand for election. The removal of the rural areas and villages also will have an impact on who may stand if the area of interest is limited to the urban area, especially when it comes to potential future Māori representation on the Board • The town centre and its social infrastructure are utilized by the surrounding population (a good example of this is the Te Puke Memorial Hall area of benefit, which was recently expanded) and how the town and surrounding area appears as an impact on how people feel about their 'place' and whether they are proud of it and consequently promote the area.</p>

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

		505	Dale Snell	No	<p>• If the Council is seeking to embrace Localism then providing more people receiving local representation is required, not less as proposed. It is worth noting that the proposed area is not even the area covered by the proposed spatial plan. Te Puke Community Board area in fact needs to be extended to accommodate the new ward boundary change for completeness of representation on this side of the Ward. We have been not presented with any evidence from staff that the community has requested this change. It is a preposterous idea to change these boundaries. We are all seeking to achieve better connected communities in order to create safer thriving communities. Decreasing our boundaries will only serve to hinder and hurt that kaupapa. I also believe that decreasing our boundary flies in the face of extending the Maketu Boundary. If anything we need to increase the Te Puke Boundary to better support our surrounding area. What we do in town impacts the people from outside of town. Makes no sense to reduce boundary.</p>
		514	Economic Development Group (Mark Boyle)		did not feel as though the boundary reductions for Te Puke made sense
		515	Keith Hay		<p>With regard to community boards, "we are proposing to make several boundary adjustments to the Katikati, Te Puke and Maketu Community Board areas in order to better reflect these communities of interest". We don't believe these proposed changes have anything to do with communities of interest. We suspect council wants to get rid of community boards and consider that disrupting them as much as possible may be a way to achieve this. The current Katikati Community Board area covers a very strong community of interest and should not be changed except perhaps for some distal properties if that is what the residents of those properties want.</p>
		563	Clester Eru		disagree to TPCB getting smaller
		566	Peter Brown		With change to TPCB, will no longer get to vote for who goes onto the Board

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

Topic	Issue	Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Do you support reduction of Te Puke Community Board boundary?
RRW24-07: Te Puke Community Board	02: Oppose Reduction of Te Puke Community Board Boundary	7	Craig Darrall	No
		20	Vanessa Ewart	No
		21	Brooke Jones	No
		28	Michelle Zoanne Hawthorne	No
		43	Simon	No
		50	Beat Wisler	No
		66	Grant Webber	No
		69	Caleb Evans	No
		111	Alan Richard Kurtovich	No
		115	Shane Valois	No
		119	Wayne McIndoe	No
		144	P. McKenzie	No
		159	M Stevens	No
		183	Terry Griffiths	No
		202	Destiny Leaf	No
		203	Sophia Muir	No
		210	Dallas Tauwiwi	No
		227	Betty Robson	No
		232	Gabrielle Syminton	No
		234	June Soby	No
		236	Aaron Hokopaura	No
		253	Jason ake	No
		255	Sophie Biel	No
		262	Suaree Borell	No
		300	TeAroha Rahui	No
		302	Hirinii Potene	No
		307	Paul Mead	No
		309	Noel Silver	No
		313	Richard Shore	No
		316	Ngatuputupu Potene	No
326	Darlene Dinsdale	No		
333	Gavin Motu	No		
337	Grant William Jeffcote	No		
346	Julian Fitter	No		
349	Pirihira Douglas	No		

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

		369	Gwynnith Cumming	No
		378	Noel Stevens	No
		384	Karen Whatuira	No
		396	Marlene Samuels	No
		399	Hannah Stewart	No
		409	Toni Connelly	No
		414	Grant Cowles	No
		416	Janene Cowles	No
		429	Te Rangi Rae	No
		451	Ian Goodger	No
		457	Jill Dell	No
		472	Billie Jean Potaka Ayton	No
		476	Arapo Whata	No
		478	Ange Dinsdale	No
		503	Patricia Hay	No
		520	Grant Deed	No
		528	Maurice Fletcher	No

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

Topic	Issue	Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Do you support reduction of Katikati Community Board boundary?
RRW24-07: Te Puke Community Board	03: Other comments about Te Puke Community Board Boundary	1	Mark Hume	Don't know
		2	Raewyn Bennett	Don't know
		9	Vincent Beckett	Don't know
		11	Dr Jean-Paul Thull	Don't know
		12	Grand Parker	Don't know
		17	Susan Lean	Don't know
		18	Kathleen Jones	Don't know
		19	David Marshall	Don't know
		22	Shane Max	Don't know
		24	Catherine Rolleston	Don't know
		25	Maraea Kiel	Don't know
		26	Jodie Houltram	Don't know
		27	Kevin Searle	Don't know
		29	Stuart S Steel	Don't know
		30	Tom Hansen	Don't know
		32	Sandra Partington	Don't know
		34	Todd Mortensen	Don't know
		36	Buddy Mikaere	Don't know
		37	Jodi Hurford	Don't know
		38	Wayne Koedyk	Don't know
		39	Ken Coulam	Don't know
		45	Simon Neylon	Don't know
		46	Lindsay Horne	Don't know
		51	Michael Cooper	Don't know
		53	Nathan Candy	Don't know
		55	Karen Smillie	Don't know
57	Amanda Phillips	Don't know		
59	Toni Fraser	Don't know		
60	Susan Padgett	Don't know		
61	Tony Nicholls	Don't know		
63	Gregory Padgett	Don't know		
64	John Topping	Don't know		
67	Clayton Hall	Don't know		
68	Martin Jessop	Don't know		
71	Greg Ward	Don't know		

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

74	Geoffrey Harper	Don't know
75	Beverly McCormick	Don't know
76	Liz Meyers	Don't know
77	Steve Harvey	Don't know
80	Hone Allen	Don't know
81	Peter Longdill	Don't know
86	Rebecca James	Don't know
87	Ross Simmonds	Don't know
88	Nic Davies	Don't know
89	Peter McCormick	Don't know
91	Marian Jones	Don't know
92	Raymond Joseph Mckinney	Don't know
94	Michael O'Rourke	Don't know
98	Maxine Wallis	Don't know
99	Lesley Rainbow	Don't know
102	Angela Scott	Don't know
106	Ian Stevens	Don't know
107	Andrea Wylie	Don't know
108	Ian Skipper	Don't know
110	Mark Copeland	Don't know
113	Amanda Besley	Don't know
116	Sonia Diacono	Don't know
118	Maru Ririnui	Don't know
121	Barbara Atkins	Don't know
122	Andrew Nippierd	Don't know
126	Nelson Drummond and Christine	Don't know
129	Allan Wolfe	Don't know
131	Jane Yates	Don't know
133	Gregg Newton	Don't know
134	Sheryl Thocolich	Don't know
135	Belinda Carlyle	Don't know
139	William Kehoe	Don't know
140	Leon Scheepers	Don't know
142	Richard	Don't know
143	Tara Kehoe	Don't know
145	Tim Reehal	Don't know
147	George Clemens	Don't know
148	Philip Laurence	Don't know



## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

149	Philip Xu	Don't know
150	Denis Henderson	Don't know
152	Aaron Drayson	Don't know
153	Russell Knutson	Don't know
154	Matt Muller	Don't know
157	Marie	Don't know
158	Werner Schefer	Don't know
161	Chris Parkinson	Don't know
162	Maree Voorend	Don't know
163	Peter Bullock	Don't know
164	Mike Woodrow	Don't know
168	Teresa Nepia	Don't know
171	Peata	Don't know
175	Gia Nelson	Don't know
176	Carol Parsons	Don't know
178	Te Moanaroa Togo	Don't know
182	Richard Hoko	Don't know
184	Braden Strahan	Don't know
185	Tamoe Ngata	Don't know
186	Reremoana Nepia	Don't know
187	Carol Fippard	Don't know
188	Don Kerr	Don't know
196	Malia Stowers	Don't know
197	Wayne Warin	Don't know
198	Titihuia Ngata	Don't know
201	Glenn Burt	Don't know
204	Angela Gill	Don't know
207	Sara Thorburn	Don't know
208	Neil Redshaw	Don't know
209	Richard Logan	Don't know
211	Ian Larsen	Don't know
213	Clare Neven	Don't know
214	Michelle Jordan-Tong	Don't know
217	Danielle Tuapawa	Don't know
220	Kevin Christie	Don't know
221	Rachel Hollands	Don't know
222	Jodene	Don't know
226	Terri (Therese) McClintock	Don't know

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

	229	Sally Bower	Don't know
	230	Matthew Hattie	Don't know
	233	Timothy Pearce	Don't know
	235	John Bourke	Don't know
	237	Jim Thomas	Don't know
	241	Maureen Jacob	Don't know
	242	Darren Leef	Don't know
	243	Esther Williams	Don't know
	245	Steve Allan	Don't know
	246	Kelly Tate	Don't know
	247	Rachael Coll	Don't know
	252	Julian Rolleston	Don't know
	254	Pirihira Wihapi-Taupe	Don't know
	259	Tahlia Rikihana	Don't know
	260	Anita Johns	Don't know
	263	Meremaihi Aloua	Don't know
	267	Sharon McLeod	Don't know
	274	Ellauise Rolleston	Don't know
	275	Teresa Moana	Don't know
	276	Robert	Don't know
	277	Rimini Williams	Don't know
	278	Mary Brockett	Don't know
	280	Pikitia Hennings	Don't know
	283	Lyn Smith	Don't know
	285	Andrea Wells	Don't know
	286	Hope Bidois	Don't know
	287	Kiri Ahomiro	Don't know
	288	Corey	Don't know
	289	Kalei Delamere-Ririnui	Don't know
	290	Wei Xu	Don't know
	295	Atawhai Heke	Don't know
	297	Kahurimu Rauwhero	Don't know
	299	Mere-Tu-Ahiahia Horopapera	Don't know
	301	Tim Donaldson	Don't know
	304	Malcolm Robertson	Don't know
	310	Dianne Morris	Don't know
	311	Gavin Harris	Don't know
	312	Judy Treloar	Don't know

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

315	Jasmine Kuka	Don't know
317	Angela Moore	Don't know
321	Tammy Webb	Don't know
322	Anne	Don't know
323	Kelly Kuka	Don't know
324	Whareangiangi Pita	Don't know
325	Anton Palmer	Don't know
328	Rachael	Don't know
330	Kura Martin	Don't know
334	David Ratima Trust ceo-Agent	Don't know
340	Bruce and Susan Hamblyn	Don't know
342	Rosina Tuhakaraina	Don't know
343	Te Uru Tarewa Irwin	Don't know
345	Kyle Beetham	Don't know
347	Taylor Raj	Don't know
348	Kalim Karaka	Don't know
351	Don Wallis	Don't know
355	Shannon	Don't know
356	Lee Weatherley	Don't know
360	Joel Ngātūere	Don't know
361	Makere Tawa	Don't know
362	Jane Sowerby	Don't know
363	Kirsty Willison	Don't know
364	Justine Murray	Don't know
365	Regan Murray	Don't know
366	Apanui Tawa	Don't know
367	Mererina Murray	Don't know
368	Heather Gupstill	Don't know
370	Hamuera Kohu	Don't know
371	Marata Paama	Don't know
372	Julia Wharton	Don't know
373	Allan Dawson	Don't know
375	Hilton Paul	Don't know
386	Raymond Sowerby	Don't know
387	Annabelle Sowerby	Don't know
388	Charnaye walker	Don't know
389	Donald Carter	Don't know
391	Allen Meredith	Don't know

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

392	Kevin Blanchard	Don't know
394	Eunique Paki	Don't know
395	Te Uta Roretana	Don't know
401	Wayne Stevenson	Don't know
405	Peter Richard Dudfield	Don't know
407	Alison Cowley	Don't know
408	Cheryl Toma	Don't know
419	Mike Rose	Don't know
426	Julie Te Amo	Don't know
439	Nathan Olsen	Don't know
440	Briar Davie	Don't know
441	Megan Johnstone	Don't know
442	Blair Johnstone	Don't know
443	Katch Katikati	Don't know
444	Gendi Burwell	Don't know
446	Jesse Archer	Don't know
447	Roger Course	Don't know
448	Patrick Donohue	Don't know
450	Stephen Colson	Don't know
452	Jacqui Knight	Don't know
456	Maxine Woodhall	Don't know
460	Susan Hope	Don't know
461	Nicola Austin	Don't know
462	Lisa Cooper	Don't know
463	Ashleigh Spencrr	Don't know
467	Megan Olsen	Don't know
468	Roana Bennett	Don't know
471	Jennifer Lowes	Don't know
473	K Martin	Don't know
475	Judy Tapsell	Don't know
477	Anahera Dinsdale	Don't know
479	Miriama Le Comte	Don't know
480	Kalleisha Wade	Don't know
483	Paula Gaelic	Don't know
484	Heather Cleaver	Don't know
494	Henry Kruger	Don't know
495	Steve Burton	Don't know
497	Jackie Wenham	Don't know

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

		500	Shantelle Mahia	Don't know
		501	Sara Broadhurst	Don't know
		502	Chiavanni Le'Mon	Don't know
		506	Joanne Johnston	Don't know
		508	Larissa Pool	Don't know
		509	Helen Biel	Don't know
		521	Mike Hickey	Don't know
		524	Graeme D Mankelow	Don't know
		525	Norman F Mayo	Don't know
		527	Doreen Dickey	Don't know
		560	Keith Hayman	Don't know

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

Topic	Issue	Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Do you support changes to Maketu Community Board	Comments
RRW24-08: Maketu Community Board Boundaries	01: Support Changes to Maketu Community Board Boundary	4	Ross Goudie	Yes	Better input
		41	Brett Waterhouse	Yes	This is what I would call the Maketu boundry. it has always been the railway tracks as a boundry fence. Does this mean that the under maintained Maketu village get even more neglect due to the increased area to maintain? Will this bring more funds to be able to do basic needs on the village, like a safe foot path circuit around the village, kerbing to direct water to prevent house flooding and destruction of road edges during rain events, also drain maintenance 10s of years of zero maintenance.
		43	Simon	No	Maketu shouldn't include Rangiuuru.
		44	John Clements	Yes	Larger community grouping areas of interest.
		58	Phillip Hapi Smith	Yes	With the large proposed future development within this ward, the Maketu community needs to have a strong representation to ensure that they have a say in what they want as part of any future development. Support the extension of the Maketu Community Board area. Support the extension of the Maketu Community Board area.
		58	Phillip Hapi Smith	Yes	The extended boundary will allow the Maketu community to have a representative say on what is happening or what is going to happen with the large-scale development that is going on in the area that will have a huge impact the current way of life that we as a community want to preserve.
		70	Nicole Royackers	Yes	same as 15 but for the affected areas and rate charges.

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

		96	Roger Goodman	Yes	Yes is logical and add another Councilor. Too much territory for 3.
		383	Patricia Marion Haine	Yes	Maketu needs to include the section from Maketu School to the end of the houses on Wilson Rd. SHW2 Maketu Rd to Wilson Rd intersections should solve that??
		429	Te Rangi Rae	Yes	That is Maketu if you are on Ford road you are not in Te puke or Papamoa or paengaroa it's Maketu
		435	Marian Jamieson		Our property is in Wilson Road North currently in the Paengaroa zone. While I would be very happy to have Wilson Road North included in the Maketu Community Board Boundary, there needs to be more information provided around whether this would have an impact on the rates (increase?) and if so why. Unfortunately I am unable to attend the meeting on Thursday evening however am very interested in the outcome of this proposal.
		470	Rawiri Bhana	Yes	Community Boards need to be better resourced as they are the best advocates and representation of our community
		474	Thomas Tapsell	Yes	Makes sense
		475	Judy Tapsell	Yes	Makes it more practical

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

		485	Paul Hickson	Yes	The community of interest is larger than the old boundary and the proposal looks sensible. However the people of Maketu views are very important and it should only be changed if they want it.
		492	Waihi Beach Community Board		We do support the enlargement of the Maketu Community Board Area.
		499	Te Puke Community Board	Yes	We support the Maketu CB to extend their rohe. The Te Puke CB supports the concept of local representation from the hills to the sea and a more connected community between the two CBs. The rationale for the extension of this CB area is at odds with the proposal to shrink the Te Puke CB boundary.
		505	Dale Snell	Yes	I support the Maketu CB to extend their rohe. The Te Puke CB supports the concept of local representation from the hills to the sea and a more connected community between the two CBs. The rationale for the extension of this CB area is at odds with the proposal to shrink the Te Puke CB boundary
		562	Willie and Judy Tapsell		Need community boards because they're our 'go to'. Like the idea of widening the boundary at Maketu
		563	Clester Eru		Agree to MCB getting bigger



## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

Topic	Issue	Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Do you support changes to Maketu Community Board
RRW24-08: Maketu Community Board Boundaries	01: Support Changes to Maketu Community Board Boundary	5	Sammy Paige	Yes
		6	Alison Henderson (Alie)	Yes
		10	Wendy Baker	Yes
		13	Barbara Hickman	Yes
		14	Martin Baker	Yes
		15	Beverley Edwards	Yes
		23	Blaise Williams	Yes
		31	Colin Pollitt	Yes
		33	Joanne Wiggett	Yes
		35	Jared Lee	Yes
		40	Richard Stephens	Yes
		42	Kassandra Steel	Yes
		47	Pauline Westhead	Yes
		49	Eric Kolver	Yes
		52	Peter Ellingham	Yes
		54	Garry Newson	Yes
		56	Amy Edwards	Yes
		65	Peter Smith	Yes
		67	Clayton Hall	Yes
		72	Indra Pokhrel	Yes
		73	G Mitchell	Yes
		78	William James Lochhead	Yes
		79	Craig Farndale	Yes
		82	Jeffrey Dougal	Yes
		83	Stephen Steddy	Yes
		85	Gaynor Coldicutt	Yes
		90	Peter Butler	Yes
93	Chris and Sue Bedford	Yes		
95	Peter Musk	Yes		
97	Ron Mcdiarmid	Yes		
100	Steven Stark	Yes		
101	Melanie Longdill	Yes		
103	Susanne Rolfe	Yes		
104	Owen Allsop	Yes		
105	Wayne Merriman	Yes		
109	Peter Pooran	Yes		
112	Graeme Dick	Yes		

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

114	Keith Davidson	Yes
117	Camilla Grimbeek	Yes
120	Cecilia Burgess	Yes
123	Gavin Stansfield	Yes
125	Cherie Palm	Yes
127	Mike	Yes
128	Robert Heath Smart	Yes
130	Peter Griffiths	Yes
136	Leigh Neilson	Yes
137	Wayne O'Driscoll	Yes
138	Diane Crisp	Yes
151	Kiel Mans	Yes
155	Carey Pearce	Yes
160	Leanne Robinson	Yes
165	Lynda Frew	Yes
166	Rob Hawker	Yes
172	Paretiaki Burt	Yes
173	Rebecca	Yes
177	Hautapu Baker	Yes
179	Tash Tukaokao	Yes
180	Jo Cameron	Yes
181	Dean	Yes
193	Mike Bayliss	Yes
195	Nicola Reid	Yes
199	Toni Strahan	Yes
200	Linda Marsh	Yes
205	Blanche McMath	Yes
206	Chris Sutton	Yes
212	Andrew Hodgson	Yes
216	Sharlene Rumney	Yes
219	Doug Moore	Yes
224	Margaret Ross	Yes
225	Julie Nicholson	Yes
228	Robyn McLennan	Yes
231	Peter Arnold	Yes
236	Aaron Hokopaura	Yes
238	John Allen	Yes
239	Annette Assen	Yes
240	Colin Chase	Yes

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

244	Greg Lewis	Yes
248	Nathan Sanderson	Yes
251	Geoff & Ali Rice	Yes
253	Jason ake	Yes
264	Ruiha Whare	Yes
265	Tama K	Yes
266	Roberta Marsh	Yes
268	Owen taki Williams	Yes
273	Phillip kahotea	Yes
279	Te Pae Tamihana	Yes
281	Andrea Laison	Yes
282	Donna Gardiner	Yes
291	Carley Hennings	Yes
293	Marama Walker	Yes
298	Oriwa Lovett	Yes
301	Tim Donaldson	Yes
305	Sandra Potaka	Yes
306	Matewai Maxine Karaka-Clarke	Yes
308	Dennis Johns	Yes
314	Dietmar Vogel	Yes
318	Teena Dinsdale	Yes
319	Nga Pikitia Hennings	Yes
320	Malcolm Smith	Yes
327	Apina Rodger	Yes
329	Maurice Morehu Ngatoko	Yes
331	Angeline Samuels	Yes
335	Judith and Peter Malcolm	Yes
336	Leslie brumby	Yes
338	Peter Stockwell	Yes
339	John Pottinger	Yes
341	Liz Farrell	Yes
346	Julian Fitter	Yes
350	Kelly	Yes
357	Phil Shields	Yes
358	Lynette Shields	Yes
359	Frank Kawe	Yes
374	Paul Yeo	Yes
377	Dennis Madden	Yes
379	Daniel Fuller	Yes

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

		380	Terangi Bartlett	Yes
		381	Pania Wilson	Yes
		382	Antonio Apaapa	Yes
		390	Elva Conroy	Yes
		393	Tim Dunn	Yes
		397	Paul Meharry	Yes
		401	Wayne Stevenson	Yes
		403	Hinemotu Douglas	Yes
		404	Eoin Ritchie	Yes
		406	Pia Osborne	Yes
		413	Maketu Community Board (Donna Wa	Yes
		417	Tracy Harris	Yes
		418	Penny Stockwell	Yes
		420	Mark Smith	Yes
		421	Roxanne Bunker	Yes
		422	Nathan Bunker	Yes
		425	Mokoera Te Amo	Yes
		427	Marie Bourke	Yes
		428	Charles Tuapawa Jnr	Yes
		430	Russell Dons	Yes
		445	Paul Nikora	Yes
		449	Kristy	Yes
		453	Noel Fisher	Yes
		454	Rob Pakes	Yes
		455	Felia Faber	Yes
		465	Colin Graham	Yes
		466	Natalie Mankelow	Yes
		468	Roana Bennett	Yes
		469	Catherine Stringer	Yes
		472	Billie Jean Potaka Ayton	Yes
		482	Karen Aspey	Yes
		498	Nessie Ani Hinetai Kuka	Yes
		518	Bruce Brown	Yes
		526	John Bell	Yes

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

Topic	Issue	Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Do you support changes to Maketu Community Board boundary?	Comments
RRW24 -08: Maketu Community Board Boundaries	02: Opposed to Changes to Maketu Community Board Boundary	8	Peter Turner	No	Keep the current boundaries as they are for all areas. This is why we live in a democratic society to have a say in our local community and not be dictated to by a handful of misguided councillors.
		146	Iain Haggarty	No	Too big.
		167	Phillip Martelli	No	If Maketu is to have that much rural land in its boundary, then this is a step to a serious look at rural community boards which is another debate. In line with my earlier comments there is no value for any community boards - urban or rural.
		369	Gwynnith Cumming	No	We do not use any business, facility or community activity in Maketu. We are connected to Te Puke and therefore do not see that it is to our advantage for this proposed change. We would want our rates portion to go to Te Puke community and not to Maketu. As a household we are not involved in community or use any businesses or facilities in Maketu and do not see that it is of any advantage to have this boundary change for us. We are connected to Te Puke Community and that is where we would expect our rates portion going to rather than Maketu.
		376	Megan Jowsey	No	Looks too big, what types of properties are included in this larger area?
		400	Karl Christoffersen	No	Keep it simple
		402	Anne Roberts	Don't know	Don't need change
		415	Tippany Hopping	No	Think the change is too bigger area for Maketu and there is plenty of ratepayers that don't have a community board to represent them.
		493	Katikati - Waihi Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association		

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

515

Keith Hay

With regard to community boards, "we are proposing to make several boundary adjustments to the Katikati, Te Puke and Maketu Community Board areas in order to better reflect these communities of interest". We don't believe these proposed changes have anything to do with communities of interest. We suspect council wants to get rid of community boards and consider that disrupting them as much as possible may be a way to achieve this. The current Katikati Community Board area covers a very strong community of interest and should not be changed except perhaps for some distal properties if that is what the residents of those properties want.

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

Topic	Issue	Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Do you support changes to Maketu Community Board boundary?
RRW24-08: Maketu Community Board Boundaries	02: Opposed to Changes to Maketu Community Board Boundary	2	Raewyn Bennett	No
		7	Craig Darrall	No
		20	Vanessa Ewart	No
		21	Brooke Jones	No
		28	Michelle Zoanne Hawthorne	No
		43	Simon	No
		50	Beat Wisler	No
		62	Julie Cole	No
		111	Alan Richard Kurtovich	No
		115	Shane Valois	No
		119	Wayne McIndoe	No
		144	P. McKenzie	No
		159	M Stevens	No
		169	Hope	No
		170	Summer Heke	No
		183	Terry Griffiths	No
		202	Destiny Leaf	No
		210	Dallas Tauwiwi	No
		227	Betty Robson	No
		232	Gabrielle Syminton	No
		234	June Soby	No
		255	Sophie Biel	No
		258	Rangitawhai Rahiri	No
		262	Suaree Borell	No
		300	TeAroha Rahui	No
		302	Hirinii Potene	No
307	Paul Mead	No		
309	Noel Silver	No		
313	Richard Shore	No		
316	Ngatuputupu Potene	No		
326	Darlene Dinsdale	No		
332	Mereana Te Pere	No		
333	Gavin Motu	No		
337	Grant William Jeffcote	No		

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

		349	Pirihira Douglas	No
		378	Noel Stevens	No
		384	Karen Whatuira	No
		396	Marlene Samuels	No
		399	Hannah Stewart	No
		409	Toni Connelly	No
		414	Grant Cowles	No
		416	Janene Cowles	No
		451	Ian Goodger	No
		457	Jill Dell	No
		458	Martyn Woodhall	No
		476	Arapo Whata	No
		478	Ange Dinsdale	No
		528	Maurice Fletcher	No



Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

Topic	Issue	Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Do you support changes to Maketu Community Board boundary?	Comments
RRW24-08: Maketu Community Board Boundaries	03: Other Comments About Maketu Community Board Boundary Changes	41	Brett Waterhouse	Yes	Maketu boundary should be river to the railway. Will the extended boundary provide more funding to address local issues? Prefer proposed boundary to existing but needs tweaking. Many minds, bigger picture. Seems good to keep councillors on community boards.
		272	Donna-Marie Parata	Don't know	As mentioned above, I can't see what was the boundary to the suggested changes. As much as I zoom in, no road numbers or landmarks are visible to know. I am located at the beginning of the straight heading into Maketū, therefore we were just outside of the previous boundary. Looking forward to seeing if this has extended to include us, and how far up Maketū road and Wilson Road North to include other locals into the boundary.

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

Topic	Issue	Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Do you support changes to Maketu Community Board boundary?
RRW24-08: Maketu Community Board Boundaries	03: Other Comments About Maketu Community Board Boundary Changes	1	Mark Hume	Don't know
		9	Vincent Beckett	Don't know
		11	Dr Jean-Paul Thull	Don't know
		12	Grand Parker	Don't know
		16	Andy Earl	Don't know
		17	Susan Lean	Don't know
		18	Kathleen Jones	Don't know
		19	David Marshall	Don't know
		22	Shane Max	Don't know
		24	Catherine Rolleston	Don't know
		25	Maraea Kiel	Don't know
		26	Jodie Houltram	Don't know
		27	Kevin Searle	Don't know
		29	Stuart S Steel	Don't know
		30	Tom Hansen	Don't know
		32	Sandra Partington	Don't know
		34	Todd Mortensen	Don't know
		36	Buddy Mikaere	Don't know
		37	Jodi Hurford	Don't know
		38	Wayne Koedyk	Don't know
		39	Ken Coulam	Don't know
		45	Simon Neylon	Don't know
		46	Lindsay Horne	Don't know
		51	Michael Cooper	Don't know
		53	Nathan Candy	Don't know
		55	Karen Smillie	Don't know
		57	Amanda Phillips	Don't know
59	Toni Fraser	Don't know		
60	Susan Padgett	Don't know		
61	Tony Nicholls	Don't know		
63	Gregory Padgett	Don't know		
64	John Topping	Don't know		
66	Grant Webber	Don't know		
68	Martin Jessop	Don't know		
69	Caleb Evans	Don't know		
71	Greg Ward	Don't know		

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

74	Geoffrey Harper	Don't know
75	Beverly McCormick	Don't know
76	Liz Meyers	Don't know
77	Steve Harvey	Don't know
80	Hone Allen	Don't know
81	Peter Longdill	Don't know
86	Rebecca James	Don't know
87	Ross Simmonds	Don't know
88	Nic Davies	Don't know
89	Peter Mccormick	Don't know
91	Marian Jones	Don't know
92	Raymond Joseph Mckinney	Don't know
94	Michael O'Rourke	Don't know
98	Maxine Wallis	Don't know
99	Lesley Rainbow	Don't know
102	Angela Scott	Don't know
106	Ian Stevens	Don't know
107	Andrea Wylie	Don't know
108	Ian Skipper	Don't know
110	Mark Copeland	Don't know
113	Amanda Besley	Don't know
116	Sonia Diacono	Don't know
118	Maru Ririnui	Don't know
121	Barbara Atkins	Don't know
122	Andrew Nippierd	Don't know
124	Anonymous	Don't know
126	Nelson Drummond and Christine	Don't know
129	Allan Wolfe	Don't know
131	Jane Yates	Don't know
133	Gregg Newton	Don't know
134	Sheryl Thocolich	Don't know
135	Belinda Carlyle	Don't know
140	Leon Scheepers	Don't know
142	Richard	Don't know
143	Tara Kehoe	Don't know
145	Tim Reehal	Don't know
147	George Clemens	Don't know
148	Philip Laurence	Don't know

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

149	Philip Xu	Don't know
150	Denis Henderson	Don't know
152	Aaron Drayson	Don't know
153	Russell Knutson	Don't know
154	Matt Muller	Don't know
157	Marie	Don't know
158	Werner Schefer	Don't know
161	Chris Parkinson	Don't know
162	Maree Voorend	Don't know
163	Peter Bullock	Don't know
164	Mike Woodrow	Don't know
168	Teresa Nepia	Don't know
171	Peata	Don't know
174	Reweti Kuka	Don't know
175	Gia Nelson	Don't know
176	Carol Parsons	Don't know
178	Te Moanaroa Togo	Don't know
182	Richard Hoko	Don't know
184	Braden Strahan	Don't know
185	Tamoe Ngata	Don't know
186	Reremoana Nepia	Don't know
187	Carol Fippard	Don't know
188	Don Kerr	Don't know
194	Colin Hewens	Don't know
196	Malia Stowers	Don't know
197	Wayne Warin	Don't know
198	Titihuia Ngata	Don't know
201	Glenn Burt	Don't know
204	Angela Gill	Don't know
207	Sara Thorburn	Don't know
208	Neil Redshaw	Don't know
209	Richard Logan	Don't know
211	Ian Larsen	Don't know
213	Clare Neven	Don't know
214	Michelle Jordan-Tong	Don't know
215	Debbie Oakley	Don't know
217	Danielle Tuapawa	Don't know
220	Kevin Christie	Don't know

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

221	Rachel Hollands	Don't know
222	Jodene	Don't know
229	Sally Bower	Don't know
230	Matthew Hattie	Don't know
233	Timothy Pearce	Don't know
235	John Bourke	Don't know
237	Jim Thomas	Don't know
241	Maureen Jacob	Don't know
242	Darren Leef	Don't know
243	Esther Williams	Don't know
245	Steve Allan	Don't know
246	Kelly Tate	Don't know
247	Rachael Coll	Don't know
252	Julian Rolleston	Don't know
254	Pirihira Wihapi-Taupe	Don't know
257	Nats	Don't know
259	Tahlia Rikihana	Don't know
260	Anita Johns	Don't know
263	Meremaihi Aloua	Don't know
274	Ellause Rolleston	Don't know
275	Teresa Moana	Don't know
276	Robert	Don't know
277	Rimini Williams	Don't know
278	Mary Brockett	Don't know
280	Pikitia Hennings	Don't know
283	Lyn Smith	Don't know
285	Andrea Wells	Don't know
286	Hope Bidois	Don't know
287	Kiri Ahomiro	Don't know
288	Corey	Don't know
289	Kalei Delamere-Ririnui	Don't know
290	Wei Xu	Don't know
295	Atawhai Heke	Don't know
296	Hinenui Cooper	Don't know
297	Kahurimu Rauwhero	Don't know
299	Mere-Tu-Ahiahi Horopapera	Don't know
304	Malcolm Robertson	Don't know
310	Dianne Morris	Don't know

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

311	Gavin Harris	Don't know
315	Jasmine Kuka	Don't know
317	Angela Moore	Don't know
321	Tammy Webb	Don't know
322	Anne	Don't know
323	Kelly Kuka	Don't know
325	Anton Palmer	Don't know
328	Rachael	Don't know
330	Kura Martin	Don't know
334	David Ratima Trust ceo-Agent	Don't know
340	Bruce and Susan Hamblyn	Don't know
342	Rosina Tuhakaraina	Don't know
343	Te Uru Tarewa Irwin	Don't know
345	Kyle Beetham	Don't know
347	Taylor Raj	Don't know
348	Kalim Karaka	Don't know
351	Don Wallis	Don't know
355	Shannon	Don't know
356	Lee Weatherley	Don't know
360	Joel Ngātūere	Don't know
361	Makere Tawa	Don't know
362	Jane Sowerby	Don't know
363	Kirsty Willison	Don't know
364	Justine Murray	Don't know
365	Regan Murray	Don't know
366	Apanui Tawa	Don't know
367	Mererina Murray	Don't know
368	Heather Guptill	Don't know
370	Hamuera Kohu	Don't know
371	Marata Paama	Don't know
372	Julia Wharton	Don't know
373	Allan Dawson	Don't know
375	Hilton Paul	Don't know
386	Raymond Sowerby	Don't know
387	Annabelle Sowerby	Don't know
388	Charnaye walker	Don't know
389	Donald Carter	Don't know
391	Allen Meredith	Don't know

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

392	Kevin Blanchard	Don't know
394	Eunique Paki	Don't know
395	Te Uta Roretana	Don't know
405	Peter Richard Dudfield	Don't know
407	Alison Cowley	Don't know
408	Cheryl Toma	Don't know
419	Mike Rose	Don't know
423	Cherry Clements	Don't know
424	John Dallimore	Don't know
426	Julie Te Amo	Don't know
439	Nathan Olsen	Don't know
440	Briar Davie	Don't know
441	Megan Johnstone	Don't know
442	Blair Johnstone	Don't know
443	Katch Katikati	Don't know
444	Gendi Burwell	Don't know
446	Jesse Archer	Don't know
447	Roger Course	Don't know
448	Patrick Donohue	Don't know
450	Stephen Colson	Don't know
452	Jacqui Knight	Don't know
456	Maxine Woodhall	Don't know
459	Robert Hulme	Don't know
460	Susan Hope	Don't know
461	Nicola Austin	Don't know
462	Lisa Cooper	Don't know
463	Ashleigh Spencrr	Don't know
464	Tracy Brown	Don't know
467	Megan Olsen	Don't know
471	Jennifer Lowes	Don't know
473	K Martin	Don't know
477	Anahera Dinsdale	Don't know
479	Miriama Le Comte	Don't know
480	Kalleisha Wade	Don't know
481	Janet Commins	Don't know
483	Paula Gaelic	Don't know
484	Heather Cleaver	Don't know
494	Henry Kruger	Don't know

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

		495	Steve Burton	Don't know
		497	Jackie Wenham	Don't know
		500	Shantelle Mahia	Don't know
		501	Sara Broadhurst	Don't know
		502	Chiavanni Le'Mon	Don't know
		503	Patricia Hay	Don't know
		504	Michael Jones	Don't know
		506	Joanne Johnston	Don't know
		508	Larissa Pool	Don't know



## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

Topic	Issue	Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Summary
RRW24-07: Additional Comments	01: Additional Representation Review Comments	4	Ross Goudie	Ward community communities are not elected and only get there at the pleasure of the council. Have no statues in law can be ignored. In the KK-WB ward the 2 proposed councilors would have 14500 ratepayers to look at.
		4	Ross Goudie	•He noted that members of the public could not make an informed submission if they did not have all the relevant information, and he felt as though the majority of people did not know the "ins and outs" of representation.
		5	Sammy Paige	I look forward to the next election and seeing new faces coming on board. I support our Mayor and all he does for our entire district, I hope he stands again. While a few Councillors are just as present and work just as hard for our district (particularly the Katikati and Te Puke Councillors), there are others that should follow suit - or better yet RETIRE! The pale stale attitude of the past isn't the way forward!
		11	Dr Jean-Paul Thull	I like to see our council take more of a Mayor Wayne Brown approach, getting back to basics. We seem to spend a lot for playgrounds, skateparks but ignore the middle aged and aging part of society living rural. Travel on demand, ambulance service etc. well-being of aging population seems less sexy to sell to rate payers. Other than that I applaud the Kaimai ward councillors for their smart and efficient actions and presence at public meetings. Please keep them on.

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

		12	Grand Parker	Council has to change the way it operates to actually serve the ratepayers better & starting with the governance & management effectiveness & efficiency is the best place to start demonstrating to ratepayers that council serves them not the other way around. Some rationalisation is potentially a good initiative. Local input is imperative but the current model has minimum engagement by community member. Improved use of technology could help improve poor communication and stimulate better community engagement. The objectives of change need to be understood & communication with targets set down so that measurement & accountability can be set down & monitored
		14	Martin Baker	Set an age cap and term limit. We need fresh younger faces representing us and taking us forward. It is embarrassing to read the same racist rhetoric from the same old Councillors in the papers.
		24	Catherine Rolleston	Just do everything you can to ensure an equitable outcome for the community wards and Maori wards
		36	Buddy Mikaere	I would like to see appropriate wording for Councillors at their inauguration where they commit to not just recognize the requirements of the LGA but also commit to giving effect to the appropriate principles of the Treaty.

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

		41	Brett Waterhouse	<p>What is the negative of the increase of area for Maketu? Maketu rates are expensive Will this boundry increase lower or raise rates? You need a mix of representatives of different age, sex and race to be able to have a successful open board discussion about topics concerning the district. Don't get less councilors but get a range of councilors. How much more would it cost to have more Councillors? Fairness of voice #2 agreed. Is the boundary the same as the fire brigade boundary. Thinks that the Councillors don't listen to the concerns of Maketu especially in terms of the impacts on the Kaituna.</p>
		43	Simon	<p>Council continues to demonstrate a clear bias towards the Mayors area in rates spend. This needs to be combated by increased representation for the Te Puke/Maketu area to ensure fairness.</p>
		47	Pauline Westhead	<p>Money raised from Rates etc in each community should stay in that community. Not spread to the main centres, Our needs are just as great.</p>
		53	Nathan Candy	<p>It is critical to have representation that is dually qualified professionally in a relative subject, EG if you have no proven experience in setting up infostructure then that person should not be making decisions on infostructure</p>
		58	Phillip Hapi Smith	<p>Yes, the Smart Growth future development proposal known as the Eastern Centre will have a huge impact and effect on the Maketu community ward and will cause many future issues. The proposed Eastern Centre development area is in an area that has already become congested with large traffic congestion due to the current access being across the railway crossing or future access by the Eastern link motorway which incurs a toll that will leave Maketu township as the most desirable access route. Then there is the sea access for boaties which could see the Maketu boat launching ramps overcrowded with new residents and causing environmental issues detrimental to our taiao, our pipi beds and wetlands</p>

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

		58	Phillip Hapi Smith	A lot of development is currently happening within the Maketu area and on the fringes of the proposed boundary ward. This development will have a huge impact on the Maketu community. The Rangiuru Business park will have a huge increase in vehicular traffic of all shapes and sizes. The impact on the Maketu community and the current way of life that people enjoy and want to preserve could be devastating if this traffic is allowed to use Maketu for any access to the Park.. A No entry or Exit to the Rangiuru business park through the Maketu ward needs to be put in place Now
		62	Julie Cole	This seems to be a lot of extra work just to divvy up the population to each councillor. Why can't we have the eastern side and the western side? The last 4 years have taught us hugely about border control resulting in the lack of trust of Government and their agencies. Would be great if we could turn this on it's head and embrace all communities in the area.
		76	Liz Meyers	Local government struggles to get the attention of the people until things go wrong. Make decisions based on what's best to get the greatest ROI for ratepayer \$s to develop the region for the betterment of the residents.
		95	Peter Musk	Keep the improvements going and make sure Omokoroa gets the support & development it needs with it's growth.
		101	Melanie Longdill	I think it would be mandatory for representatives to live in the area they are representing.
		102	Angela Scott	I think we should almagamate with TCC - after a costing to ratepayers is presented i.e. any upside.... Binding referendums - perhaps included when voting for your councilors. This would be an opportune time for councilors to state their position in writing in your booklet about the candidates, as alot of people certainly don't agree with your decisions once you get in there.
		113	Amanda Besley	I dont know what the councillors do or if they are time efficient.
		121	Barbara Atkins	The 8 voices must be property owners and live in the Ward they represent - not visit, nor live in Tauranga.

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

		122	Andrew Nippierd Frankly I do not trust the WBOP council. I think that there has been no clear indication of where they are going to cut expenditure and concentrate on core Council matters. Instead they continue to work with an open ratepayers cheque book.
		129	Allan Wolfe As previously noted, bring back true Democracy, not a virtue signaling position that placates an aggressive minority.
		136	Leigh Neilson With questions where I have ticked yes it is on the basis that there will be NO additional cost to the ratepayer
		153	Russell Knutson I'd love to ssee our council working towards a unitary authority with the other 5-6 councils in our region. A population of 400,000 does not need this many councils or councillors. Its not like local body politics actually delivers genuine democracy anyway. A 30% turnout at local body elections shows how disaffected most people are with local body politics.
		183	Terry Griffiths If it aint broke don;t touch it
		197	Wayne Warin Council rates are exploding out of control. Rates need to be fixed and the council needs to keep within budget. Whatever changes are made, they must result in a reduction of costs to ratepayers.
		208	Neil Redshaw I support elected representation only
		209	Richard Logan Given how poorly ratepayers and voters have enough represented in the first 2 years of the current Council, I'd hoped there might be serious effort to improve "representation". If the proposed reduced representation in Katikati CB area is common across the district, alongside reducing the number of Councillors to 9, it becomes evident there are forces within Council that are very determined to WORSEN representation rather than improve it.

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

		211	Ian Larsen	The electoral process should move away from a first-past-the-post parliamentary system based on laws from 1600s England and towards a more representative model. Every voice deserves to be heard, even those we disagree with. The party political machine operative in New Zealand makes it nigh on impossible for small, important ideas to rise to the surface of the swamp that is local politics which, we all know, are controlled by commercial interests of the elected and not the welfare of the citizenry
		220	Kevin Christie	Is this consultation going to be listened to if the community don't want it? 50% of community proposed to be excluded.
		8	Peter Turner	Full and inclusive representation for all ratepayers is why we have councillors and community board members. That should be a number one priority for councillors. And listen to this people who you represent.
		229	Sally Bower	I agree with it.
		232	Gabrielle Syminton	I do not agree.
		233	Timothy Pearce	Less money wasted on bureaucratic nonsense and more productive assets the community can use.
		240	Colin Chase	My major problem about the council is wasteful spending and I'm told by people in the council there is a lot of it. Sort that out and you don't need such high rates increases.
		245	Steve Allan	As long as we are all represented equally
		281	Andrea Laison	I think the WBOPDC is fantastic. Keep up the great work

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

				<p>This process is being driven by an Agenda by a few rather than an honest representation of the Ratepayers. Council's job is to carry out the wishes/needs of the Ratepayers and NOT your own Agenda's . Our representation by our Councillors is fine as the Ratepayers have access to them now, and I know of NO issues that would bring this into focus for discussion.</p> <p>There is a simple question being asked by a lot of disgruntled Ratepayers, and that is "If council staff cannot carry out the tasks that they are employed to do without these varied Agendas then they should resign and consider starting at the top with the CEO". Good businesses have good Management who are good leaders. Council is failing the Ratepayers and I would like to see a performance review done and the NON performers at all levels be terminated. It is time to clean the house.</p>
309	Noel Silver			
310	Dianne Morris			<p>I feel you are on the right track fine tuning things. my personal concern is for Omokoroa where we live, that we will have enough schools at all levels for the local kids to get high school education without having to make the dreadful journey into Tauranga city every school day. We also hope that local amenities never include a big mall with their huge ugly carparks. We are hoping to preserve the beachy, family , relaxed feel that Omokoroa has at present. Thank you for helping with that</p>
312	Judy Treloar			<p>We want assurance that the Maketu - Te Puke don't become the "poor cousins" to Katikati-Waihi Beach, with more services, infrastructure and spending going to these other areas.</p>
334	David Ratima TrusT ceo-Agent			<p>We will be able to make the necessary changes to our requirements for this projected requirements</p>
345	Kyle Beetham			<p>This process you are implementing is now fundamentally compromised and must be halted.</p>
349	Pirihira Douglas			<p>Waste of time .</p>

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

358	Lynette Shields	Retain the status quo.
391	Allen Meredith	Any major changes in how we are represented on council should be made by binding vote of the whole community.
400	Karl Christoffersen	Rate payers are not your solution for vanity projects or incompetent do gooders
402	Anne Roberts	Your proposal would negatively affect the WBOP community, urban and more rural areas are intertwined in many ways. The WBOP needs to operate inclusively, not exclusively
415	Tippany Hopping	The overall council structure for the BOP needs to be reviewed and consideration to merging from Rotorua – Whakatane through to Kaimai's and Waihi Beach, and include Regional / Environmental Council. This would help to reduce confusion by ratepayers on which council does what. By creating One BOP, would gain better leverage of operating and service costs; and consider the holistic BOP from a strategy perspective. Circuit breaks the Papamoa have this and we don't have this type discussions and confusion. We use the same roads and all cross into each others townships / hubs. Maketu is closer to Papamoa East than Te Puke is as an example.
423	Cherry Clements	I think the present structure is a good one. People are currently represented across the board. Who is going to represent people in rural areas if not the Community Board? Would this be an unelected forum with representatives selected by Council?



## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

				<p>Katikati is a service town. Its community of interest is urban and surrounding rural areas. No valid argument has been given why this is necessary. To me Council is doing the opposite to what people want - so much for democratic rights. Our Mayor and councillors should stand firm to support the wishes of the community rather than being manipulated by Council. Council is meant to act in the interests of the community and serve the community not lead us down a course that can lead to division and acrimony. Whilst should be prepared to stand by their beliefs it is my opinion the information questions in the next Section are an intrusion. I was tempted to answer them with some flippancy.</p>
424	John Dallimore			
436	Alan Goodyear			•The current disparities in rates were unsustainable, and he felt Local Government restructure was overdue
461	Nicola Austin			Interested in the views of what being a councillor is like. KKCB are accessible/supportive
464	Tracy Brown			Would like to be informed about the proposed poisonous fluoride that is going into our water.
473	K Martin			the major needs to resign, he doesn't represent his ratepayers
482	Karen Aspey			Please listen to those promoting democratic and not the haters.
487	Pirirākau Tribal Authority - Incorporated (Julie Shepherd)			<p>To prevent the acknowledgment of submissions perceived as racially divisive, several measures could be considered:</p> <p>Establish Clear Guidelines: Develop and enforce guidelines for submission criteria that explicitly prohibit misinformation and racially divisive content or language. Review Process: Implement a thorough review process where submissions are evaluated by a diverse panel to ensure they align with principles of inclusivity and respect for all communities including the Marae communities alongside established iwi and hapū rohe, these are established throughout Aotearoa New Zealand.</p>
487	Pirirākau Tribal Authority - Incorporated (Julie Shepherd)			Public Consultation: Engage in public consultations to gather feedback on what constitutes racially divisive content, ensuring community voices not lobby groups are heard and considered. This is already underway and achieved by the representation review.

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

		487	Pirirākau Tribal Authority - Incorporated (Julie Shepherd)	Education and Training: Provide education and training for those involved in the submission review process to recognise and address racially divisive content effectively. Legal Framework: Ensure that the legal framework supports the rejection of submissions that violate anti-discrimination laws or principles enshrined in Te Tiriti o Waitangi. These steps can help create a more inclusive and respectful environment for all submissions.
		489	Omokoroa Residents and Ratepayers Association Inc. (Bruce McCabe)	•It was Councils job when making decisions to put their personal political opinions to the side and determine what was best for the community.
		497	Jackie Wenham	Do not reduce representation at Waihi Beach
		502	Chiavanni Le'Mon	More Maori and more education about Te Ao maori and Te Tiriti o Waitangi
		505	Dale Snell	Does the proposal save money? It doesn't affect the rates in terms of salary. Number of pensioners concerned about increasing costs, some can't afford already. Harder for the general public to understand the processes + proposal
		509	Helen Biel	The democratic system does-not work well for minorities or indigenous peoples (ie) māori. This is highlighted in the māori ward debate across the country. My understanding is that it is the ONLY ward subject to a referendum. It is a racist and divisive in nature. Our country was founded on Te Tiriti o Waitangi and was a contract between the British and Māori sovereign nations. It is time to embrace the future based upon this partnership.
		514	Economic Development Group (Mark Boyle)	1. We believe communities of interest should have voice and a means to speak with elected members of Council.

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

		524	Graeme D Mankelow	<p>Please listen to the ratepayers. Elected members should decide policy (with staff help of course) and staff should be given the task of instigating Policy. Many people believe staff are trying too much to influence policy!! The tail should not wag the dog. There should not be a tussle for power and roles should be better defined, and adhered to. October 9 in KK helped redefine roles and public thinking. Please listen.</p>
		525	Norman F Mayo	<p>Refer. Local gvt Act 2002 Section 42 This defines various roles. Council questionnaire does not seem well drafted, public are confused, (re cause &amp; effect) One submitter even told me it should be reported to the Dept. of internal affairs. Rate ayers deserve &amp; expct Respect, and want to be listened to. But when mayor &amp; majority of councillors ignore 70% of submitters, on maori wards, then large numbers of people have said to me "submitting to WBCD is just a farce" - we will not waste our time in future! - some have said, "the buggars wont listen, they do not understand the democratic process!"</p>
		525	Norman F Mayo	<p>I have been involved in local and central govt. for over 47 years, but the current disconnect from the ordinary ratepayer, taxpayer, has in my opinion neer been worse! I constantly hear words from people. Like ignorance, policy corruption, apartheid in reverse, govt. control freaks, and much worse, and that worries me greatly. Hope it does not get any worse! Central govt.</p>

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

525	Norman F Mayo	<p>is the most criticised at the moment but the main opposition is not much better! I want NZ [not aotearoa] in all levels of governance, to be led by people, who are not just career politicians, there for a job, and dollars, but people who are driven by a vision for a better NZ, for strong democratic principals. I read recently, in the bay times, a huge advert [paid with ratepayer funds I am sure] with a message from the WBDC I was gobsmacked! It says, we need more maori at the table, so that we can hand on heart work, [what ever that is] in partnership with tangata whenua. I hope the people that stand for election in october are perceptive and intelligent enough to ignore what could be called racist remarks,and elect the people most suitable for the job, whether they be european, maori, islanders, INDIAN ESKIMOES!</p>
525	Norman F Mayo	<p>I do not give a stuff,as long as their principals are sound and universally accepted. Time politicians stopped racial stuff, and recognise we should all be good mates, pulling together. I personally believe the of WBDC should not be trying to influence voting policy or patterns. I WANT ONE COUNTRY&lt;ONE LAW&lt;AND ONE PEOPLE! IT IS CALLED DEMOCRACY! Lets have some guts, and recognise all achievers, from all races in NZ, [not aotearoa} PS, there are a few organisations with there heart in the right place, some being Hobsons choice, Dr.Murial Newman for nzcpr, democracy association,taxpayers organisations, and others.,that have the expertise,wisdom, and professional ability, to try and restore NZ back o what it used to be. I love and respect them all.</p>
526	John Bell	<p>Quality of candidates may be higher in numbers are lower. Need to have good quality people making decisions regardless of race especially now our population is more diverse, especially around kiwifruit areas with large numbers of people from different nationalities.</p>

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

		533	Bruce McCabe	It was Councils job when making decisions to put their personal political opinions to the side and determine what was best for the community.
		544	Linda Groenewegen	Doesn't feel represented therefore voting feels pointless, councillors need to be more accessible and visible
		554	Graeme Thomson	Increasing concern that with reduced representation people/communities on the margins get forgotten about. Concern around power of CEO
		561	Esther & Arjen Rutmeester	Each rep review looks at the boundaries. Where is the Maori Ward boundary?
		565	Joan Dugmore	Which parts of Parton Rd are in WBOP? Why do these decisions about Maori wards keep coming up? Paengaroa + Pukehina - what ward are they in?
		565	Joan Dugmore	We want councillors to look after Te Puke. We are a floodable town and need the township freed with road and traffic instead of being crippled.
02: Consultation Approach		6	Alison Henderson (Alie)	Thank you for providing so many different options for people to engage with these important questions. I am delighted to see such thoughtful, inclusive and wide-ranging different possible ways for our communities to become involved and hope that many people will take advantage of these opportunities to become more engaged with their local communities.

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

7	Craig Darrall	This is a shameful attempt by Western Bay of Plenty Council to undermine the democratic process. The communication around this proposal has been dismal. What I want to know is how any of this benefits the residents of the 4 wards?
9	Vincent Beckett	Please have a comms person visit Fairview Golf Club to explain the proposed changes.
21	Brooke Jones	No. This survey seems like a waste of funding when it is unlikely to impact the decision. Consultation on a restructure seems like a have when so many businesses and agencies are downsizing. It's just a given that the funding isn't there for this many people.
38	Wayne Koedyk	no, and thanks for taking the time to read and understand my comments
98	Maxine Wallis	Improved, and more focussed communications to all rate payers so we know what decisions are being made on our behalf at council level. Current comms is very general and after the fact when decisions have already been made
111	Alan Richard Kurtovich	This survey is a total waste of time , the council will do what they want they will ignore public opinion
123	Gavin Stansfield	Thank you for the chance to be involved in the process
124	Anonymous	Thank you for the opportunity to comment
142	Richard	Surveys of this sort and verbal presentations for those who wish to speak
146	Iain Haggarty	Your map is incorrect. Te Puna quarry road is not in this area.
176	Carol Parsons	I need more information to make an informed answer
194	Colin Hewens	Very good to consult with your electorate. Thanks for the opportunity. 🙏
220	Kevin Christie	The whole proposal is deficient in that it does not address why you are making the proposal. Why are you reducing the number? This could have been explained better. Why don't we use a different provider for posting the letters? What other methods could we use?
307	Paul Mead	Again a poorly structured and worded survey. Stop wasting our money on this nonsense and stick to the basics of sewage, rubbish etc.
330	Kura Martin	•Council should have gone to the Community Boards and hapū to get their input on the ward boundaries.

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

340	Bruce and Susan Hamblyn	Why are you even considering this proposal? What are the real reasons behind it? The whole proposal needs clarification as to why
341	Liz Farrell	Get better communications about who sets the wages for councillors and how many hours they put in for that pay.
346	Julian Fitter	You should provide much more accurate maps to indicate clearly where you are proposing to draw boundaries.
370	Hamuera Kohu	don't know enough to make an informed decision.
345	Kyle Beetham	The council put a statement on an envelope addressed to me stating on it "Reducing the number of councillors will provide for more effective decision-making" this is highly misleading and making statements like this is spreading mis-information. You must retract this and issue an apology immediately! I will be issuing a formal complaint.
419	Mike Rose	It is hard not to think that the council has already made up its mind and this "consultation process" is just a box tick exercise. Is there any single example of the Council listening to the feedback from these "consultations" and changing their minds. For example, the last referendum on Maori wards showed an overwhelming majority against and yet still the Council has chosen to go ahead and implement one (or maybe two). I would be happy to see evidence to the contrary and to see my cynicism challenged but I won't hold my breath.
437	W. B. P. Martin	Foot note; The Council's questionnaire is poorly drafted. Deliberately asking double barrel questions is confusing. Only deceptive people looking for the answer they want ask double barrel questions. The Council should have broken the three questions into five separate questions. If the Council's letter was a submission to a business college exam and I was grading the papers. It would have received an F grade because of deception and failure to include the correct map. The whole council letter suggests deliberate deception. It is so bad that I believe it needs to be reported to the Department of Internal Affairs.
472	Billie Jean Potaka Ayton	Was this proposal posted? I did not receive this information
485	Paul Hickson	Appreciate the workshop you held in Te Puke on the 10th. Good format and allowed good discussion.
491	Katikati Community Board	8. The numbers used in terms of residents was confusing as the numbers from council did not align with the rates model – there was a significant difference in some cases.

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

		491	Katikati Community Board	<p>9. The Community Board has concerns regarding the consultation process:-</p> <p>a. Council chose to consult with Māori on Māori wards but not with the other members of the community. If the council is to consult, then it should consult with all groups without fear nor favour.</p> <p>b. The notification of consultation on the representation was not well managed. Those who did not have computers nor internet, did not read the newspapers would not have been informed of the issue and even the promised postcard has not arrived after the consultation process.</p> <p>c. To attend the meetings you had to “book your place” and this is for a public consultation and if you changed your mind you were asked to advise council staff.</p> <p>This is often a barrier, this caused hesitancy and resistance. Only 24 hours before the Katikati workshop was the Community Board chair advised by a councillor that it was open to all without booking but that was too late and informal.</p>
		493	Katikati - Waihi Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	<p>We have already set out our concerns that the results of the Pre-engagement Survey have not been reported accurately. And in some cases the real results have been interpreted as the opposite of what was recorded by the submitters. This appears to indicate extreme bias by the Council staff charged with this work.</p>



## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

493	Katikati - Waihi Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	<p>Some ratepayers received a flyer from Council with huge lettering on the envelope (occupying an area four times the size of their address) that stated "Reducing the number of councillors will provide for more effective decision making". There is no evidence to support this claim. Staff should not be making statements designed to influence potential submitters. If this flyer was sent to every ratepayer in the district at normal postage rates it would have cost approximately \$50,000. That is mostly ratepayer's money being used by staff to provide ratepayers with misleading information. This envelope contained an A4 sized piece of paper in three different colours which also made claims that are grossly misleading. It stated "The establishment of a Maori ward allows those on the Maori electoral roll to vote for a representative on Council." People on the Maori roll have always been able to vote for a representative on council." Also "Reducing the number of councillors to 10 will allow our councilors to represent about the same number of people."</p>
493	Katikati - Waihi Beach Residents and Ratepayers Association	<p>Councillors have always been able to represent about the same number of people – that is what the +/- 10% rule is all about. WBOPDC has produced a video which has been playing on the Council facebook page and on the Katikati Support Local Business page. We are extremely concerned by the bias of the staff members who prepared these documents and the video. The summary of the Pre-Engagement Review is extremely biased. The Representation Review Initial Proposal mostly ignores the results of the Review. It is an affront to the residents who completed the survey. It is a disgrace to the staff who worked on it.</p>
499	Te Puke Community Board	<p>The consultation document did not give the opportunity for the community to consider alternative Community Board boundaries.</p>
503	Patricia Hay	<p>Communication has been abysmal. Bias of reporting disgraceful.</p>

Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

		515	Keith Hay	<p>We have already set out our concerns that the results of the Pre-engagement Survey have not been reported accurately. And in some cases the real results have been interpreted as the opposite of what was recorded by the submitters. This appears to indicate extreme bias by the Council staff charged with this work. Some ratepayers received a flyer from Council with huge lettering on the envelope (occupying an area four times the size of their address) that stated "Reducing the number of councillors will provide for more effective decision making".</p>
		515	Keith Hay	<p>There is no evidence to support this claim. Staff should not be making statements designed to influence potential submitters. If this flyer was sent to every ratepayer in the district at normal postage rates it would have cost approximately \$50,000. That is mostly ratepayer's money being used by staff to provide ratepayers with misleading information. This envelope contained an A4 sized piece of paper in three different colours which also made claims that are grossly misleading. It stated "The establishment of a Maori ward allows those on the Maori electoral roll to vote for a representative on Council." People on the Maori roll have always been able to vote for a representative on council.</p>
		515	Keith Hay	<p>" Also "Reducing the number of councillors to 10 will allow our councilors to represent about the same number of people." Councillors have always been able to represent about the same number of people – that is what the +/- 10% rule is all about. WBOPDC has produced a video which has been playing on the Council facebook page and on the Katikati Support Local Business page. This one minute video has large sub-titles in red, green and white with a voice-over that appears to be that of an American woman. Large subtitles in white red and green. We have concerns about the veracity of a number of the claims made by this video.</p>

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

		515	Keith Hay	<p>1 Reducing the number of councillors from 12 to 10 will not ensure everyone is represented in a way that is better or fairer than now. It will most probably have the opposite effect</p> <p>2 If the population has increased how will decreasing the number of councillors reflect who we are now?</p> <p>3 There is no relationship between having fewer councilors and the clearness of their mandates.</p> <p>4 How will having fewer councillors ensure people's voices are heard more effectively?</p> <p>5 Why would having fewer councillors enhance decision making?</p> <p>6 The video does not disclose the real reason for reducing the number of councillors – That they are introducing a Maori ward.</p> <p>7 Neither does it state that the introduction of a Maori ward with one councillor may result in the loss of two councillors who are Maori from General wards. Despite the supposed consultation on the Representation Review running for five months from 15 May until now we contend there has been no proper consultation with the non-Maori people of this district.</p>
		515	Keith Hay	<p>As mentioned earlier the results of the Pre-Engagement Survey have been mis-represented and Council has made statements in flyers and in a video that have no factual basis. We are extremely concerned by the bias of the staff members who prepared these documents and the video. The summary of the Pre-Engagement Review is extremely biased. The Representation Review Initial Proposal mostly ignores the results of the Review. It should have been presented to the people without being politicized with lies and half-truths. It is an affront to the residents who completed the survey and are making submissions on the Review. It is a disgrace to the staff who worked on it. It should be an embarrassment to the Mayor and the Councillors who allowed it to get this far.</p>

## Representation Review 2024: Initial Proposal

		517	Dianne & Peter Dudfield	Peter has completed Council's online questionnaire but the way the questions were framed and with the limited nature of the subjects covered both Peter and Dianne decided that a full submission was required.
		533	Bruce McCabe	Concerned that Council didn't listen to the feedback during pre-engagements.
		555	Kerry Swasbrook	Can I have an interest in activities/decisions in other wards? Why do lots of rural residents not know about the proposal?