

Topic ID	Topic	Issue ID	Issue	Sub ID	Sub Point	Name	Inclination	Summary	Decision Req
PC47	12.3 Rules	1	12.3.2 - Lots for Network Utilities, Electricity Generating Infrastructure, Reserve and Public Open Space - All Zones	1	1	Trustpower Ltd	Support	Support the proposed amendment to Rule 12.3.2.1 as it increases clarity of the District Plan and appropriately provides for activities such as electricity generating infrastructure. This will enable efficient land use where subdivision may be required to enable infrastructure development, particularly within the Rural Zone.	Request that the proposed amendments are accepted in full.
PC48	15.4.1 - Activity Performance Standards	1	15.4.1(c) - Yards - Future Urban	20	1	Toi Te Ora Public Health	Support	Support Council's intention for dwellings and other habitable buildings to be sited at least five metres from a site boundary. Safeguarding amenity values is important. The proposed changes will add to the amenity of an area, and add to individual and community health and wellbeing.	Retain as notified.
				21	4	Horticulture New Zealand And New Zealand Kiwifruit Growers Incorporation	Support with Amendment	The yard setback for dwellings in the Rural Zone is 30 metres. It is considered that a habitable building (in the Future Urban Zone) on the boundary with the Rural Zone should be 30 metres so the same setback provisions apply to protect the rural production activities in both the Rural Zone and adjoining zones.	Amend 15.4.1 (c) by adding a new rule as follows; - Where Dwellings, minor dwellings, accommodation facilities or education facilities are located on the boundary of the Rural Zone - 30m. Amend Proposed 15.3.3 (Restricted Discretionary Activities) by adding "(ii)" so that it reads as follows; - Any dwellings, minor dwellings, accommodation facility or education facility that fails to comply with performance standards 16.4.1 (c) (i) and (ii).
				23	2	Aurecon	Support with Amendment	The Plan Change is generally supported, however the yard rule needs to be drafted in a manner consistent with the rural yard rule to avoid confusion around dwellings and buildings and to ensure that the variation criteria also applies to 15.4.1 (c). The requested wording will clarify that dwellings are also subject to the "provided that" variation criteria.	That the Plan Change be approved but with amendments to address the submitter's concerns. Rule 15.4.1 (c) (ii) should be amended to refer to "a building (including dwellings) may be located..."
				53	1	Horticulture NZ and NZ Kiwifruit Growers Inc	Oppose	The submitter seeks that the provisions be retained as notified. Horticulture NZ and NZKGI have sought changes to the provisions.	Horticulture NZ and NZKGI have sought changes to the provisions (as per original submission 21).
PC48-2	16.4.1 - Activity Performance Standards	1	16.4.1(c) - Yards - Rural Residential	20	6	Toi Te Ora Public Health	Support	Support Council's intention for dwellings and other habitable buildings to be sited at least five metres from a site boundary. Safeguarding amenity values is important. The proposed changes will add to the amenity of an area, and add to individual and community health and wellbeing.	Retain as notified.
				21	5	Horticulture New Zealand And New Zealand Kiwifruit Growers Incorporation	Support with Amendment	The yard setback for dwellings in the Rural Zone is 30 metres. It is considered that a habitable building (in the Rural-Residential Zone) on the boundary with the Rural Zone should be 30 metres so the same setback provisions apply to protect the rural production activities in	Amend 16.4.1 (c) by adding a new rule as follows; - Where Dwellings, minor dwellings, accommodation facilities or education facilities are located on the boundary of the Rural Zone - 30m.

								both the Rural Zone and adjoining zones.	Amend Proposed 16.3.3 (Restricted Discretionary Activities) by adding "(ii)" so that it reads as follows; - Any dwellings, minor dwellings, accommodation facility or education facility that fails to comply with performance standards 16.4.1 (c) (i) and (ii).
				23	5	Aurecon	Support with Amendment	The Plan Change is generally supported, however the yard rule needs to be drafted in a manner consistent with the rural yard rule to avoid confusion around dwellings and buildings and to ensure that the variation criteria also applies to dwellings. Unlike the rural zone, there are no reverse sensitivity or productive land issues in the rural residential zone, and it is a privacy matter between neighbours which should not involve Council.	That the Plan Change be approved but with amendments to address the submitter's concerns.
				53	2	Horticulture NZ and NZ Kiwifruit Growers Inc	Oppose	The submitter seeks that the provisions be retained as notified. Horticulture NZ and NZKGI have sought changes to the provisions.	Horticulture NZ and NZKGI have sought changes to the provisions (as per original submission 21).
PC51	10.3 Activity Table for Infrastructure and Network Activities	1	Radio and Telecommunications Facilities 10.3.2(s), (t) and (u)	4	1	Price, Mervyn Archie	Support	Support Plan Change 51 regarding residential zones to restrict telecommunication and radio communication that complies with District Plan.	Retain as notified.
				17	1	Butler, Adrienne Alma	Support	Support the changes to Section 10.3 (clauses s, t and u). The change remedies confusion.	Retain as notified.
				18	1	Butler, Dennis Ronald	Support	Support the changes to Section 10.3 (clauses s, t and u). Plan change remedies confusion.	Retain as notified.
				19	1	Heywood, Brian	Support with Amendment	Support Plan Change 51 but seek permitted activity for amateur radio operators.	Add subsequent clauses to (s)(iv) and (t)(v) making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration. Add a new definition "Amateur Radio Configuration means the antennas, aerials (including rods, wires and tubes) and associated supporting structures, which are owned and used by licensed amateur radio operators".
				27	1	Brown, Raymond Alan	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the amendment in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
				27	2	Brown, Raymond Alan	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the amendment in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
				27	3	Brown, Raymond Alan	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the amendment in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
				27	4	Brown, Raymond Alan	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
				28	1	Mcquire, David Hugh	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the amendment in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.

			28	2	Mcguire, David Hugh	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the amendment in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			28	3	Mcguire, David Hugh	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the amendment in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			28	4	Mcguire, David Hugh	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			29	1	Bailey, Michael	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			29	2	Bailey, Michael	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			29	3	Bailey, Michael	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise),

								used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			29	4	Bailey, Michael	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			30	1	Wallace, Jason	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			30	2	Wallace, Jason	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and

								supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			30	3	Wallace, Jason	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			30	4	Wallace, Jason	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			31	1	Bull, Michael	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio

									configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			31	2	Bull, Michael	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.		Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			31	3	Bull, Michael	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.		Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			31	4	Bull, Michael	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.		Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the

							reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	
			32	1	Horne, David	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the amendment in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			32	2	Horne, David	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the amendment in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			32	3	Horne, David	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the amendment in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			32	4	Horne, David	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			33	1	Mcalevey, Michael	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			33	2	Mcalevey, Michael	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise),

									used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			33	3	Mcalevey, Michael	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.		Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			33	4	Mcalevey, Michael	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.		Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			34	1	Wilson, Ian	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.		Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and

							supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	
			34	2	Wilson, Ian	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			34	3	Wilson, Ian	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			34	4	Wilson, Ian	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio

									configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			35	1	Conner, Michael	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.		Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			35	2	Conner, Michael	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.		Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			35	3	Conner, Michael	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.		Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the

Summary Report for the 2014 Plan Changes 47-68

							reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	
			35	4	Conner, Michael	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			37	1	Vause, Stanley	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			37	2	Vause, Stanley	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the	Acknowledge that the Council has a

							submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			37	3	Vause, Stanley	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			37	4	Vause, Stanley	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur

							radio configuration.	
			38	1	Jury, Graeme	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			38	2	Jury, Graeme	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			38	3	Jury, Graeme	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan

							clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	
			38	4	Jury, Graeme	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			39	1	Dyer, R	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			39	2	Dyer, R	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of

								amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			39	3	Dyer, R	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			39	4	Dyer, R	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			40	1	Sewell, J	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states:

									"The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			40	2	Sewell, J	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.		Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			40	3	Sewell, J	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.		Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			40	4	Sewell, J	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.		Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed

							similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	
			41	1	Carss, Glenn	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			41	2	Carss, Glenn	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			41	3	Carss, Glenn	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide

								by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			41	4	Carss, Glenn	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			42	1	Shepherd, Robert	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			42	2	Shepherd, Robert	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached

								antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			43	2	Mccaw, Ron	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			43	3	Mccaw, Ron	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			43	4	Mccaw, Ron	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the

								requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			44	1	Keiller, Brett	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			44	2	Keiller, Brett	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			44	3	Keiller, Brett	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment

							aerials.	Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			44	4	Keiller, Brett	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			45	1	Bottomly, Wallace	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.

				45	2	Bottomly, Wallace	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
				45	3	Bottomly, Wallace	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
				45	4	Bottomly, Wallace	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable

							accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			46	1	Hayton, Ian	Oppose	<p>Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.</p> <p>Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.</p>
			46	2	Hayton, Ian	Oppose	<p>Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.</p> <p>Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.</p>
			46	3	Hayton, Ian	Oppose	<p>Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.</p> <p>Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to</p>

							communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	
			46	4	Hayton, Ian	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			47	1	Burt, George Leonard	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			47	2	Burt, George Leonard	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the

							District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	
			47	3	Burt, George Leonard	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			47	4	Burt, George Leonard	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			48	1	Calvo, Jacques	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the

							first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	
			48	2	Calvo, Jacques	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			48	3	Calvo, Jacques	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			48	4	Calvo, Jacques	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision

							report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	
			50	1	Fraser, David	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			50	2	Fraser, David	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			50	3	Fraser, David	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise),

									used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			50	4	Fraser, David	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.		Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			51	1	Service, Trevor	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.		Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			51	2	Service, Trevor	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.		Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and

							supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	
			51	3	Service, Trevor	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			51	4	Service, Trevor	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			52	1	Newman, Michael David	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio

									configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			52	2	Newman, Michael David	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.		Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			52	3	Newman, Michael David	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.		Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			52	4	Newman, Michael David	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.		Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the

							reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	
			54	1	Mccaw, Ian	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			54	2	Mccaw, Ian	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			54	3	Mccaw, Ian	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			54	4	Mccaw, Ian	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			55	1	Mackay, Colin	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			55	2	Mackay, Colin	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise),

									used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			55	3	Mackay, Colin	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.		Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			55	4	Mackay, Colin	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.		Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			56	1	Boyle, Leo	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.		Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and

							supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	
			56	2	Boyle, Leo	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			56	3	Boyle, Leo	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			56	4	Boyle, Leo	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio

									configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			57	1	Dale, Alan	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.		Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			57	2	Dale, Alan	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.		Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			57	3	Dale, Alan	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.		Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the

							reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	
			57	4	Dale, Alan	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			59	1	Hayton, Nigel	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			59	2	Hayton, Nigel	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the	Acknowledge that the Council has a

Summary Report for the 2014 Plan Changes 47-68

							submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			59	3	Hayton, Nigel	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			59	4	Hayton, Nigel	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur

							radio configuration.	
			60	1	Kenton, Blair	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			60	2	Kenton, Blair	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			60	3	Kenton, Blair	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan

							clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			60	4	Kenton, Blair	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			61	1	Birt, Douglas	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.
			61	2	Birt, Douglas	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.
							<p>Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.</p> <p>Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.</p> <p>Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of</p>

							amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	
			61	3	Birt, Douglas	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			61	4	Birt, Douglas	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			62	1	Fielding, Turner	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the amendment in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			62	2	Fielding, Turner	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the amendment in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			62	3	Fielding, Turner	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the amendment in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			62	4	Fielding, Turner	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.

				63	1	Rowe, Russell Gwynne	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
				63	2	Rowe, Russell Gwynne	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
				63	3	Rowe, Russell Gwynne	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable

							accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	
			63	4	Rowe, Russell Gwynne	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			64	1	Brill, Andrew John	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			64	2	Brill, Andrew John	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to

							communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	
			64	3	Brill, Andrew John	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			64	4	Brill, Andrew John	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			65	1	McQuarried, Robert	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the

							District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	
			65	2	McQuarried, Robert	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			65	3	McQuarried, Robert	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			65	4	McQuarried, Robert	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the

							first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			66	1	Neal, John	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials. Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			66	2	Neal, John	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials. Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			66	3	Neal, John	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials. Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision

							report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	
			66	4	Neal, John	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			67	1	HR Holdings Ltd	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the amendment in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			67	2	HR Holdings Ltd	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the amendment in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			67	3	HR Holdings Ltd	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the amendment in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			67	4	HR Holdings Ltd	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			68	1	Leicester, Rob	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to

							communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	
			68	2	Leicester, Rob	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			68	3	Leicester, Rob	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			68	4	Leicester, Rob	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the

							District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	
			68	5	Leicester, Rob	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			68	6	Leicester, Rob	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			68	7	Leicester, Rob	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the

							first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	
			68	8	Leicester, Rob	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			69	1	Capamagian, David	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			69	2	Capamagian, David	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision

								report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			69	3	Capamagian, David	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			69	4	Capamagian, David	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			70	1	Jennings, Lee	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise),

									used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			70	2	Jennings, Lee	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.		Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			70	3	Jennings, Lee	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.		Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			70	4	Jennings, Lee	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.		Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and

							supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
71	1	Forsyth, Jim	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the amendment in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.		
71	2	Forsyth, Jim	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the amendment in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.		
71	3	Forsyth, Jim	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the amendment in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.		
71	4	Forsyth, Jim	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.		
72	1	Crabtree, John Aubrey	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.		
72	2	Crabtree, John Aubrey	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the		

							first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	
			72	3	Crabtree, John Aubrey	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			72	4	Crabtree, John Aubrey	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			73	1	Allen, David Montgomery	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision

							report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	
			73	2	Allen, David Montgomery	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			73	3	Allen, David Montgomery	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			73	4	Allen, David Montgomery	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise),

									used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			74	1	Hutton, John	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.		Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			74	2	Hutton, John	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.		Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			74	3	Hutton, John	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.		Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and

							supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	
			74	4	Hutton, John	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			75	1	King, Phillip	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			75	2	King, Phillip	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio

									configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			75	3	King, Phillip	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.		Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			75	4	King, Phillip	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.		Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			76	1	Calvo, Susan Jean	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.		Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the

							reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	
			76	2	Calvo, Susan Jean	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			76	3	Calvo, Susan Jean	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			76	4	Calvo, Susan Jean	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable	Acknowledge that the Council has a

							accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			77	1	Spearman, Michael Brent	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			77	2	Spearman, Michael Brent	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur

							radio configuration.	
			77	3	Spearman, Michael Brent	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			77	4	Spearman, Michael Brent	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			78	1	Watson, Anthony	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan

							clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	
			78	2	Watson, Anthony	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			78	3	Watson, Anthony	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			78	4	Watson, Anthony	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of

							amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	
			79	1	Ironside, Kevin	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			79	2	Ironside, Kevin	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			79	3	Ironside, Kevin	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states:

									"The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			79	4	Ironside, Kevin	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.		Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			FS 80 [4]	1 [1]	Allan, Graham [Price, Mervyn Archie]	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.		Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			FS 80 [17]	2 [1]	Allan, Graham [Butler, Adrienne Alma]	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.		Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed

								similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			FS 80 [18]	3 [1]	Allan, Graham [Butler, Dennis Ronald]	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			FS 80 [19]	4 [1]	Allan, Graham [Heywood, Brian]	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			81	1	Valois, David	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide

								by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			81	2	Valois, David	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			81	3	Valois, David	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			81	4	Valois, David	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached

							antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	
			82	4	Lake, Peter	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			82	5	Lake, Peter	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			82	6	Lake, Peter	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the

									requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			82	7	Lake, Peter	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.		Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			82	8	Lake, Peter	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.		Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			84	1	Sexton, Ian	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio		Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment

							aerials.	Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			84	2	Sexton, Ian	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			84	3	Sexton, Ian	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.

				84	4	Sexton, Ian	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
				85	1	Te Puke Amateur Radio Club Inc - Branch 53 Of Nzart	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
				85	2	Te Puke Amateur Radio Club Inc - Branch 53 Of Nzart	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable

							accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	
			85	3	Te Puke Amateur Radio Club Inc - Branch 53 Of Nzart	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			85	4	Te Puke Amateur Radio Club Inc - Branch 53 Of Nzart	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			86	1	Taylor, Robert K	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to

							communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	
			86	2	Taylor, Robert K	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			86	3	Taylor, Robert K	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			86	4	Taylor, Robert K	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the

							District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	
			87	1	Chandler, Lance William	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			87	2	Chandler, Lance William	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			87	3	Chandler, Lance William	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the

							first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	
			87	4	Chandler, Lance William	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			88	1	Heywood, Brian	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			88	2	Heywood, Brian	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision

							report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	
			88	3	Heywood, Brian	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			88	4	Heywood, Brian	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			89	1	Richardson, William Joseph	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise),

									used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			89	2	Richardson, William Joseph	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.		Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			89	3	Richardson, William Joseph	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.		Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			89	4	Richardson, William Joseph	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.		Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and

							supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	
			90	1	Eagle, Earle Henry	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the amendment in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			90	2	Eagle, Earle Henry	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the amendment in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			90	3	Eagle, Earle Henry	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the amendment in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			90	4	Eagle, Earle Henry	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			91	1	James, Ashley Howard	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			91	2	James, Ashley Howard	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the

							first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	
			91	3	James, Ashley Howard	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			91	4	James, Ashley Howard	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			92	1	Morris, Timothy Joseph	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision

							report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	
			92	2	Morris, Timothy Joseph	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			92	3	Morris, Timothy Joseph	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			92	4	Morris, Timothy Joseph	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise),

									used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			93	1	Rickard, Bernard	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.		Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			93	2	Rickard, Bernard	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.		Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			93	3	Rickard, Bernard	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.		Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and

							supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	
			93	4	Rickard, Bernard	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			94	1	Neno, Murray Robert	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			94	2	Neno, Murray Robert	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio

									configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			94	3	Neno, Murray Robert	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.		Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			94	4	Neno, Murray Robert	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.		Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			95	1	Spackman, Donald Gordon	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the amendment in respect to amateur radio aerials.		Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.

			95	2	Spackman, Donald Gordon	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the amendment in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			95	3	Spackman, Donald Gordon	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the amendment in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			95	4	Spackman, Donald Gordon	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			96	1	French, Maurice John	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			96	2	French, Maurice John	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			96	3	French, Maurice John	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise),

									used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			96	4	French, Maurice John	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.		Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			97	1	Wood, Thomas Edward	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.		Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			97	2	Wood, Thomas Edward	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.		Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and

								supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			97	3	Wood, Thomas Edward	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			97	4	Wood, Thomas Edward	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			98	1	Tauranga Emergency Communications Group	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio

									configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			98	2	Tauranga Emergency Communications Group	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.		Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			98	3	Tauranga Emergency Communications Group	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.		Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			98	4	Tauranga Emergency Communications Group	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.		Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the

							reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	
			99	1	Ellis, Neill	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			99	2	Ellis, Neill	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			99	3	Ellis, Neill	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the	Acknowledge that the Council has a

						submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	
			99	4	Ellis, Neill	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			100	1	Hudson, Arthur	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the amendment in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			100	2	Hudson, Arthur	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the amendment in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			100	3	Hudson, Arthur	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the amendment in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			100	4	Hudson, Arthur	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			101	1	Hislop, Ross Alexander	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and

							supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	
			101	2	Hislop, Ross Alexander	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			101	3	Hislop, Ross Alexander	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			101	4	Hislop, Ross Alexander	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio

									configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			102	1	Rodger, Tennant Rueben Reynold	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.		Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			102	2	Rodger, Tennant Rueben Reynold	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.		Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			102	3	Rodger, Tennant Rueben Reynold	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.		Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the

							reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	
			102	4	Rodger, Tennant Rueben Reynold	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			103	1	Royds, Peter	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			103	2	Royds, Peter	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the	Acknowledge that the Council has a

							submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			103	3	Royds, Peter	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			103	4	Royds, Peter	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur

							radio configuration.	
			104	1	Ralston, W	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			104	2	Ralston, W	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			104	3	Ralston, W	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan

							clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	
			104	4	Ralston, W	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			108	1	New Zealand Association Of Radio Transmitters	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			108	2	New Zealand Association Of Radio Transmitters	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of

							amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	
			108	3	New Zealand Association Of Radio Transmitters	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			108	4	New Zealand Association Of Radio Transmitters	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			109	1	Rumble, Owen	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states:

									"The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			109	2	Rumble, Owen	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.		Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			109	3	Rumble, Owen	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.		Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			109	4	Rumble, Owen	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.		Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed

							similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	
			111	1	Hastings Radio Club	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			111	2	Hastings Radio Club	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			111	3	Hastings Radio Club	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide

								by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			111	4	Hastings Radio Club	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			112	1	Wooller, Allan M	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			112	2	Wooller, Allan M	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached

									to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			112	3	Wooller, Allan M	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.		Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			112	4	Wooller, Allan M	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.		Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			113	1	Evans, Malcolm	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.		Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials,

								antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			113	2	Evans, Malcolm	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			113	3	Evans, Malcolm	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the submission in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
			113	4	Evans, Malcolm	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	Acknowledge that the Council has a duty, as expressed in the Environment Court at Tauranga, to provide for the reasonable accommodation of the

								requirements of amateur radio configurations, that is; the aerials, antennas (including dish antennas), and supporting structures (whether attached to buildings/structures or otherwise), used by amateur radio operators. Abide by the assurance given in the decision report for DPC42, (which proposed similar changes to DPC51), which in the first line of Paragraph 2.2 states: "The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate." Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	
				115	1	King, David	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the amendment in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
				115	2	King, David	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the amendment in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
				115	3	King, David	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the amendment in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
				115	4	King, David	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
				116	1	Rowe, Sydney John	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the amendment in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
				116	2	Rowe, Sydney John	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the amendment in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
				116	3	Rowe, Sydney John	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the amendment in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
				116	4	Rowe, Sydney John	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
				117	1	Day, Christopher Vincent	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the amendment in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
				117	2	Day, Christopher Vincent	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the amendment in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
				117	3	Day, Christopher Vincent	Oppose	Oppose the restrictive nature of the amendment in respect to amateur radio aerials.	Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
				117	4	Day, Christopher Vincent	Support	Support clauses to make reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.	Add to the District Plan clauses making reasonable accommodation for aerials of an amateur radio configuration.
PC52	18.4.2 - Subdivision Activity Performance Standards	1	18.4.2(vi) - Standards for the Creation of On-Site Protection Lots and Transferable Protection Lot	7	1	Heritage New Zealand	Support with Amendment	Support the inclusion of protection lot rules related to cultural heritage sites. This incentive has the potential to provide ongoing protection to the cultural heritage	We seek that the whole of the feature is protected within one lot. That 18.4.2 (h) (vi) 3 is amended to read as follows;

			Credits based on Cultural Heritage Features					resource. We seek to gain clarity though regarding the proposed standard reading "The whole of the cultural heritage feature falling within the subject existing lot shall be protected". This wording potentially precludes the possibility of improved protection at the time of protection lot subdivision by only referring to the portion of the feature within the existing lot.	"The cultural heritage feature shall be protected in one lot".
PC52	18.4.2 - Subdivision Activity Performance Standards	10	18.4.2(iii) Transferable Protection Lot Credits for Subdivision in the Lifestyle Zone	5	6	Stratum Consultants	Support with Amendment	Support the provisions of the protection lot rule changes with amendments. We seek that the provisions allow transferable development rights in other areas, particularly Te Puke. The Te Puke Lifestyle Zone is unfeasible and unusable and provides a monopoly for development in the Minden. This is an unfair and disproportionate development opportunity across the District. There are other areas and sites in the District which have similar characteristics to the Minden.	Approve the Plan Change with amendments to include additional areas/sites with complying criteria where transferable development rights can be utilised.
PC52	18.4.2 - Subdivision Activity Performance Standards	2	18.4.2(x) - Standards for the Creation of On-Site Protection Lots and Transferable Protection Lot Credits based on Natural Character Natural Features and Landscape Features	8	3	Bay Of Plenty Regional Council	Support	<p>District Plan provisions must:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Consider NZCPS and RCEP especially ONFL, and areas of outstanding and high natural character. - Be consistent with objectives, policy and methods in the BOPRPS and criteria for the assessment of Natural Character (Set 1) and Natural Features & Landscapes (Set 2) in Appendix F of the BOPRPS. - Not be inconsistent with RCEP provisions including those relevant to Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes (ONFL's). <p>Landscape Protection Lots create opportunities to protect and enhance landscape values in large areas such as the Kaimai Range (including peaks and ridgelines), Wairoa River and Tauranga Harbour. Consistently applied assessments of natural character, natural features and landscape values in a Protection Lot Rule can achieve cumulative positive effects over time.</p>	<p>Retain identified landscape features in Appendix 2.</p> <p>Develop and add new Subdivision Performance Standards Criteria for Assessment of Protection Lots for Natural Character, Natural Features and Landscape Values as 18.4.2 (h) (x) of the WBOPDP noting existing Landscape Criteria in Section 6 of the Operative WBOPD Plan relate to applications for subdivision and not applications for Protection Lots.</p> <p>Assessment criteria for Protection Lots should be consistent with the criteria in Sets 1 & 2 in Appendix F of the Operative Bay of Plenty Regional Policy Statement.</p> <p>The criteria will:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Provide for consistent assessment (and monitoring) of natural character and natural features and landscape values present at locations subject to Protection Lot provisions and subdivision applications. - Assist the protection of view-shafts and natural character, natural features and landscape values that at the present time occur over large areas of land (noting that adverse effects on natural character, natural features and landscape values tend to diminish incrementally as development spreads across a district).
PC52	18.4.2 - Subdivision Activity Performance Standards	3	Miscellaneous - Ability to Create Multiple Lots	8	4	Bay Of Plenty Regional Council	Support	Support in principle the need to amend the provisions to be clearer about situations where multiple or single Protection Lots only can be created based on the type of feature being protected.	Retain as notified.
PC52	18.4.2 - Subdivision	4	18.4.2(viii) - Standards for	16	1	Flegg, Brian Richard	Support	Support in part the Proposed Plan	That the Plan Change be approved with

	Activity Performance Standards		Creation of On-Site Protection Lots and Transferable Protection Lot Credits based on Expansion of or Access to Reserves					Change. The proposed provisions will enable social and cultural well being of the community because they will facilitate public access to reserves, their expansion, and the enhancement of public access to and along the coastal marine area.	amendments to address to submitters concerns. These amendments are detailed in submission points 2-6.
				16	2	Flegg, Brian Richard	Support	The reference to reserves "not otherwise shown in the District Plan" is irrelevant. There should be no disadvantage to landowners who have access ways or proposed reserves already identified on planning maps.	That the reference to reserves "not otherwise shown in the District Plan" be deleted.
				22	3	D155 Limited	Oppose	As part of the settlement for the D155 Limited appeal relating to Plan Change 39 (Protection Lots) it was agreed that the minimum size criteria for gaining a protection lot (500m for esplanade reserves and 0.5ha for other reserves) needed to be reviewed. This was to ensure that provisions were not overly restrictive such that they were not practicable and workable, and would not achieve the outcomes supported by objective and policies. On the basis of the Section 32 analysis, we do not consider that this has been reassessed.	We consider that the strategic value of the reserves in question should also be assessed, rather than just the length and width attributes.
PC52	18.4.2 - Subdivision Activity Performance Standards	5	Miscellaneous - Non-Notification Rule for Complying Applications	16	3	Flegg, Brian Richard	Support	Where complying applications are made in accordance with the District Plan's standards, there should be a specific non-notification rule which applies to enable such applications to be processed on a non-notified basis without the approval of other parties.	Where complying applications are made in accordance with the District Plan's standards, there should be a specific non-notification rule which applies to enable such applications to be processed on a non-notified basis without the approval of other parties.
PC52	18.4.2 - Subdivision Activity Performance Standards	6	Miscellaneous - Certification of Features already Scheduled in the District Plan	22	5	D155 Limited	Oppose	The Plan Changes require a certification process for features already scheduled in the District Plan. Given the District Plan scheduling and assessment process, this is unnecessary. The significance of these features has already been identified and assessed as part of the District Plan process.	We seek that the provisions are simplified and changed to instead focus on ongoing management measures and maintenance requirements to be recommended at resource consent stage.
PC52	18.4.2 - Subdivision Activity Performance Standards	8	18.4.2(iv) Standards for creation of On-Site Protection Lots and Transferable Protection Lot Credits based on Ecological Features	22	1	D155 Limited	Support	We support the use of protection lots as a mechanism to protect and enhance ecological areas and features in the District, particularly adjacent to the Tauranga Harbour.	We support the use of protection lots as a mechanism to protect and enhance ecological areas and features in the District, particularly adjacent to the Tauranga Harbour.
PC52-2	18.5 - Matters of Discretion	1	18.5.11 Restricted Discretionary Activities - Protection Lot Subdivision	7	2	Heritage New Zealand	Support with Amendment	Heritage NZ note that there is no provision to address the matter of the cultural heritage feature (subject of the protection lot subdivision) not being retained with one lot at the time of the protection lot subdivision. If a feature is not contained within one lot, protecting the feature becomes more difficult e.g. fencing issues.	Heritage NZ seeks the inclusion of a new rule, a discretionary activity to assess non-compliance with performance standard 18.4.2(h)(iv)3 - the retention of a cultural heritage feature in one lot.
				8	2	Bay Of Plenty Regional Council	Support with Amendment	Seek amendments to new Section 18.5.11 'restricted discretionary activities - Protection Lot subdivision' criteria to	Amend the matters of discretion in new Section 18.5.11(a)(i) to read as follows: "Maintains the productive capability of

							provide greater protection of versatile land and to avoid reverse sensitivity effects on existing rural production activities. Reference to 'lots greater than 1ha' is questioned as lots less than 1ha in rural areas are likely to be predominantly established for rural lifestyle purposes and have similar effects on existing rural production activities. The issue is also not about whether the lots are created but where they are located in relation to existing rural production activities (e.g. quarry or milking shed).	the rural land resource, by avoiding reverse sensitivity effects on rural production activities and the fragmentation of versatile land, in particular where additional lots greater than 1ha are proposed to be located." As a consequential amendment, and to clarify the amendment sought above, insert a new definition in Section 3 'Definitions' for 'Rural production activities' to read: 'Rural production activities means rural land use activities that rely on the productive capacity of land or have a functional need for a rural location such as agriculture, pastoral farming, dairying, poultry farming, pig farming, horticulture, forestry, quarrying and mining. Also included in this definition are processing and research facilities that directly service or support those rural land use activities.'	
				16	5	Flegg, Brian Richard	Oppose	Criteria in 18.5.11 (a) should not apply to applications for expansion of or access to reserves as these matters are irrelevant. Further matters should be applied under 18.5.11 to consider whether the access of further reserve is necessary to service future urban growth requirements and 18.5.11 (b) (iii) should be amended by deleting the words "Council reserves plan or strategy or other".	Criteria in 18.5.11 (a) should not apply to applications for expansion of or access to reserves as these matters are irrelevant. Further matters should be applied under 18.5.11 to consider whether the access of further reserve is necessary to service future urban growth requirements and 18.5.11 (b) (iii) should be amended by deleting the words "Council reserves plan or strategy or other".
				53	3	Horticulture NZ and NZ Kiwifruit Growers Inc	Support	Recognition of rural production activities as a matter of discretion is supported as it is consistent with the approach in both the RPS and the District Plan. The definition for rural production activities is supported but note that any new term needs to be consistent with other terminology currently within the District Plan.	Recognition of rural production activities as a matter of discretion is supported as it is consistent with the approach in both the RPS and the District Plan. The definition for rural production activities is supported but note that any new term needs to be consistent with other terminology currently within the District Plan.
PC52-7	18.3 - Activity Lists	1	Restricted Discretionary Activities - 18.3.3(d) and (e) - Expansion of, or Access to, Reserves	8	1	Bay Of Plenty Regional Council	Support	The intent to provide scope for restricted discretionary activity status for the creation of two and five additional on-site Protection Lots is supported in principle to promote the protection of various natural and cultural heritage features and enhance public access to reserves. These are all matters of national importance under section 6 of the Resource Management Act 1991. The preferred option gives effect to Policies MN 1B, MN 4B and MN 5B of the Operative Bay of Plenty Regional Policy Statement.	Retain as notified.
				16	4	Flegg, Brian Richard	Support	Any applications for expansion of or access to reserves should be provided for on a restricted discretionary basis. It is unclear from the complicated numbering of the plan's protection lot provisions	Any applications for expansion of or access to reserves should be provided for on a restricted discretionary basis. It is unclear from the complicated numbering of the plan's protection lot

								whether this is the case or not. This needs to be clarified.	provisions whether this is the case or not. This needs to be clarified.
PC52-8	18.4.2 - Subdivision Activity Performance Standards	1	Miscellaneous - Protection Lots for Features not Scheduled in the District Plan	16	6	Flegg, Brian Richard	Oppose	Lots of community benefit should contain enabling provisions relating to the enhancement and creation of further wetland areas particularly around the margin of Tauranga Harbour. Flooding of low-lying areas should be encouraged. Council's interpretation of MHWS applies that such land is outside the jurisdiction of the District Plan and therefore outside District Plan rules - this is not correct. The location of MHWS is only assessed at the time of survey under s223 of the RMA.	Lots of community benefit should contain enabling provisions relating to the enhancement and creation of further wetland areas particularly around the margin of Tauranga Harbour. Flooding of low-lying areas should be encouraged. Delete references to MHWS.
				22	2	D155 Limited	Oppose	We oppose the use of protection lots being restricted to just those features as identified in the District Plan. The Plan does not schedule all features of significance to the community and a more flexible approach is required.	We seek more enabling provisions be included to provide for features regardless of whether these are currently scheduled in the District Plan or not (including heritage features). We consider that the District Plan should provide for protection lots in exchange for the settling aside of large areas of land which may be of significant community benefit but which may not necessarily be vested as reserve.
				22	4	D155 Limited	Oppose	D155 Limited were advised as part of their appeal settlement that Council had approved the investigation of a Plan Change to allow protection lots for reversing reclamations around the Tauranga Harbour. This was scheduled to occur in time for notification of a Plan Change by mid 2014 but has obviously been delayed. Much of the coastal margin and environment around Tauranga, and its rivers and streams is highly modified and degraded and the District Plan should incentivise and encourage restoration and enhancement of features in exchange for protection lots. The current rules are inadequate and the quality of the environment remains degraded particularly along riparian margins and around Tauranga Harbour which is contrary to the BOP Regional Policy Statement and NZ Coastal Policy Statement.	Given the definition of land and water under Section 2 of the RMA, we seek that the reference to "above MHWS" in relation to riparian margins and wetlands should be deleted from the feature type table to allow for the protection and enhancement of such features in the District, particularly where they are located adjacent to the harbour and can be enhanced to qualify for a protection lot.
PC53	Appendix 4A	1	Appendix 4A - Schedule of Proposed Esplanade Reserves and Strips	6	1	Gideons Valley Trust	Oppose	We oppose the taking of the esplanade in Gideon's Valley for multiple reasons.	Allow us to keep our property to the edge of the stream (Waimapu).
				8	6	Bay Of Plenty Regional Council	Support	Support targeting of specific waterways for esplanade acquisition.	Retain Appendix 4A as notified.
				15	1	Poripori Farm A Block	Oppose	Oppose Plan Change 53 so far as it applies to Pt Poripori Farm A Block. The opposition is based on the following grounds. - The block is Maori freehold title; - The block is subject to alienation restrictions under the trust order and the Te Ture Whenua Maori Act 1993; - Any protection of riparian margin on the	Remove Pt Poripori Farm A Block from the Schedule of Proposed Esplanade Reserves and Strips Eligible for Protection Lots.

								block and along the Wairoa River will be at the sole discretion of the trust - Any protection of riparian margins will be by way of a Maori reservation under the Te Ture Whenua Maori Act 1993 with access solely for the benefit of the owners and their descendants. - The trust opposes any public access to the block.	
				22	7	D155 Limited	Oppose	We oppose the use of protection lots being restricted to just those features as identified in the District Plan. The Plan does not schedule all features of significance to the community and a more flexible approach is required. The consideration of sites should not be restricted solely to those in Appendix 4A of the District Plan.	We seek more enabling provisions be included to provide for features regardless of whether these are currently scheduled in the District Plan or not.
				83	2	Gideons Valley Trust	Support	Oppose these rules as a mandatory measure for taking of esplanade on their property in relation to subdivision and in particular for boundary adjustment.	Boundary adjustment which should be excluded from triggering any mandatory requirement to take esplanade reserves. The Gideon Valley Trust esplanade should not be identified or taken when the landowner is not interested and the esplanade land is impractical for public access or use.
				110	1	Bay of Plenty Regional Council	Oppose	BOP Regional Council supports the targeting of specific waterways for esplanade acquisition. The approach provides a transparent means for identifying where futures reserves are a priority for acquisition. Landowners intending to subdivide will be better informed where esplanade reserves will be sought by Council. Regional Council therefore opposes the submission made by Gideons Valley Trust. The enhancement of public access to and along rivers is a matter of national importance under Section 6(d) of the Resource Management Act 1991. The preferred option of targeting specific waterways in Proposed Plan Change 53 and identifying them in Schedule A4 is considered consistent with Policy MN 58 of the Operative Bay of Plenty Regional Policy Statement.	BOP Regional Council supported the Plan Change as per their original submission (submitter ID 8).
PC53	Appendix 4A	2	18.4.2 (vii) Standards for Creation of On-Site Protection Lots and Transferable Protection Lot Credits based on Provision of Land for Esplanade Reserves and Strips	8	7	Bay Of Plenty Regional Council	Support	Support in principle Plan Change 53. The Plan Change recognises the enhancement of public access to and along rivers is a matter of national importance under Section 6(d) of the Resource Management Act 1991. The preferred option is considered to be consistent with Policy MN 5B of the Operative Bay of Plenty Regional Policy Statement - "Encouraging public access to and along the coast lakes and rivers".	Retain Plan Change as notified.
				8	5	Bay Of Plenty Regional Council	Support with Amendment	To be effective a planning regime for esplanade reserves should require	Retain Plan Change as notified.

							assessment of ecological values before establishment of esplanades in potentially sensitive areas. Esplanades that provide trails for walking and cycling access can present ongoing and repeated ecological disturbance if they are located too close to habitats of significant indigenous flora and fauna. Trails can also provide for the introduction or proliferation of pest plants, pest animals, and pets that may not be kept under the control of their owners. Fragmentation of biodiversity sites can arise. The District Plan's ecological certification criteria are consistent with those in BOP Regional Council's Appendix F Criteria Set 3 for Indigenous Vegetation and Habitats of Indigenous Fauna.'		
				22	6	D155 Limited	Oppose	We oppose the advice note in the Plan Changes in relation to the matter of compensation under s.237 of the RMA. The District Plan cannot contain a rule which overrides the obligations of s.237 of the RMA. The submitter is referring to a proposed rule stating that compensation (under the RMA) will not be payable for the taking of esplanades (as the ability to create a new lot is considered to be fair compensation).	Delete this advice note.
				83	1	Gideons Valley Trust	Support	We support the submission of D155 Limited in supporting that the protection lot rules and rules relating to esplanade reserves. However such measures should not be in lieu of Council's statutory obligations set out in the RMA 1991 for all sites larger than 4 hectares to provide compensation for any acquisition of esplanades.	Any method should be on the basis of promoting and encouraging landowners as a voluntary measure.
				110	2	Bay of Plenty Regional Council	Oppose	The creation of esplanade reserves or strips through the protection lot system provides economic opportunities to landowners that wouldn't otherwise exist. To seek to obtain a protection lot while at the same time requesting compensation for the land taken (at rate payer's expense) is akin to double dipping.	BOP Regional Council supported the Plan Change as per their original submission (submitter ID 8).
PC54	12.3 Rules	1	12.3.3 Boundary Adjustment - Explanatory Note for Rural Minimum Lot Sizes	5	1	Stratum Consultants	Oppose	Oppose the Plan Change. - Boundary adjustments are often undertaken to rationalise existing land use resulting in very effective land use intensification. - In many cases a lot over 6ha may contain 1ha of elevated land and 5ha of bush or river margin and is not a rural production lot. Surely Council will not be requiring a horticultural investigation to disqualify land. - Requiring assessment of the rural production lot criteria makes boundary adjustments restrictive and will add further	Decline the Proposed Plan Change and retain status quo. If the explanatory note is to be added, then this should be amended to reflect only those properties which have been created through the rural production lot provisions, not historical titles. The provisions should also allow for boundary adjustments as controlled activities for those lots that are neither production lots not general farming lots as the current boundary adjustment provisions provide for.

								costs and time implications. - No additional titles are created through boundary adjustments and Council still has control over access and house sites.	
				23	1	Aurecon	Support with Amendment	This Plan Change is based on the fact that there are two minimum lot sizes. This is not the case. For example the District Plan also provides for lots around additional dwellings and protection lots (which are not 6ha or 40ha) and no lot sizes for utilities. It is unclear what the Plan Change is trying to achieve and whether there is an existing problem which justifies the changes. We consider the Plan Change is potentially unnecessary given that boundary adjustments of existing titles can proceed to allow the rationalising of boundaries so long as the outcome produces no further non-compliance if lots were created under a specific rule like that for rural production lots. What is relevant is the rule under which the lot was created in the first instance.	That the Plan Change be approved but with amendments to address the submitter's concerns.
				53	4	Horticulture NZ and NZ Kiwifruit Growers Inc	Oppose	It is considered that there should be clarity as to how the boundary adjustments may be applied. Horticulture NZ and NZKGI seek to ensure that the 6ha lot for rural production are retained.	It is considered that there should be clarity as to how the boundary adjustments may be applied. Horticulture NZ and NZKGI seek to ensure that the 6ha lot for rural production are retained.
PC55	18.4 Activity Performance Standards	1	18.4.1(n)(i) Accessory Buildings - Lots 2ha or less	5	5	Stratum Consultants	Oppose	Oppose the Plan Change as it is restrictive. For example, a pump shed, garden shed or garage would be included within the 200m2 combined gross floor area and limit the reasonable size of an accessory building.	Decline the Plan Change and retain the status quo. A 200m2 limit for one shed is sufficient to maintain rural amenity.
				23	3	Aurecon	Oppose	We oppose a combined gross floor area of 200m2 for all accessory buildings as it is unclear whether there has been an existing problem which justifies a change. How the rule will be enforced and monitored is also problematic. The rule must also be for a resource management purpose. In our experience, sheds and barns are more in keeping with modern dwellings and the Council is not yet to restrict the size of dwellings.	Withdraw the Plan Change.
PC56	4C.1 Noise and Vibration	1	4C.1.3.7(a) Frost Protection Fans - Performance Standard for Controlled Activity - Cumulative Noise	5	3	Stratum Consultants	Oppose	Oppose this Plan Change with regard to cumulative noise. As it stands, this will mean that land owners that had the opportunity and means to establish frost fans already have an advantage on a first in first served basis. Written approval is already required for dwellings/properties within close proximity to frost fans which is adequate to address potential effects.	Decline the proposed cumulative noise provisions from Rule 4C.1.3.7.
				21	2	Horticulture New Zealand And New Zealand Kiwifruit Growers Incorporation	Oppose	While Horticulture NZ understands the cumulative effect issue, the approach is not most effective or efficient and the effects are far more significant than stated in the Section 32. Consultation	That amendments proposed to Rule 4C.1.3.7(a) and Rule 4C.1.3.7 are opposed and this part of Plan Change 56 should be withdrawn.

								undertaken by Council is deficient. There has been no consultation with the horticultural sector. The change proposed is not minor and will impact on the horticultural sector and create uncertainty for growers. The consideration of cumulative noise effects should be undertaken in an assessment of a controlled activity application. However, defining a cumulative total noise limit at a notional boundary as a rule is not a reasonable approach. The rule is unfair, unworkable and impossible to enforce. How is the measurement to be undertaken? How can the applicant be responsible for other landowner's frost protection fans?	
				53	5	Horticulture NZ and NZ Kiwifruit Growers Inc	Support	Horticulture NZ and NZKGI are concerned as to how the cumulative noise effects would be assessed and sought that this part of PC56 be withdrawn.	Horticulture NZ and NZKGI have sought that this part of PC56 be withdrawn (as per original submission 21).
PC56	4C.1 Noise and Vibration	2	4C.1.4.1 Matters of Control - Frost Protection Fans	20	3	Toi Te Ora Public Health	Support	While the proposed changes are supported, they do not go far enough to protect public health from frost fans. The District Plan enables a frost fan to be erected as a permitted activity when the rural performance standards for noise are met. Frost fans could continue to be erected without an assessment to determine whether a new stand-alone fan or in combination with other fans is a controlled activity requiring an assessment of cumulative noise. Council needs to consider retaining involvement with frost fan land use to ensure that the single sound level performance standard for permitted noise are maintained for the protection of public health.	That Council adopts Plan Change 56 and adds a rule to require an assessment of cumulative noise for new frost fans.
				21	3	Horticulture New Zealand And New Zealand Kiwifruit Growers Incorporation	Support	Agree that not specifying relevant matters of control creates uncertainty and raises issues for the legality of any condition on a consent which seeks to control matters not listed. Support the change for the reason stated in the Section 32 Report.	Amend Rule 4C.1.4.1, Matters of Control - Frost protection Fans, as notified.
				53	6	Horticulture NZ and NZ Kiwifruit Growers Inc	Oppose	The submitter seeks that the provisions be adopted and a rule added to require an assessment of cumulative effects for new frost fans. Horticulture NZ and NZKGI have sought that the provisions be withdrawn given the difficulty of assessing cumulative noise across a range of properties.	Horticulture NZ and NZKGI have sought that the provisions be withdrawn given the difficulty of assessing cumulative noise across a range of properties (as per original submission 21).
PC56-2	18.4.1 Activity Performance Standards	1	18.4.1(a) Height of Buildings - Frost Protection Fans	20	2	Toi Te Ora Public Health	Support	The inconsistency in height between the permitted and controlled activity performance standards of nine metres and 15 metres respectively is acknowledged and the technical changes proposed are supported.	The technical changes proposed are supported.
				21	1	Horticulture New Zealand And New Zealand Kiwifruit	Support	The effect of the current rule framework is to require otherwise complying frost fans	Amend Rule 18.4.1 (a) as notified.

						Growers Incorporation		to unnecessarily require resource consent for the non-complying height. Support the change for the reason stated in the Section 32 Report.	
PC57	11.3 Rules	1	11.3.4 Financial Contributions for Discretionary and Non-Complying Land Use Activities - 11.3.4(a) Recreation and Leisure	5	4	Stratum Consultants	Oppose	Oppose the Plan Change. Additional dwellings often occur on properties that have already been subdivided and contain existing dwellings that have paid a recreation and leisure financial contribution. Simply requiring a recreation and leisure financial contribution is unjust and does not relate directly to an effect created by the activity. For example, in the case of an additional dwelling, it is almost certain that it would utilise the road network and therefore a transportation financial contribution would be appropriate, however there is no certainty occupants would utilise recreation and leisure facilities in the District.	Decline the Plan Change and retain the status quo.
				10	1	Ministry of Education	Support with Amendment	Plan Change 57 seeks to impose recreational and leisure financial contributions for all activities that require a discretionary and/or non-comply activity resource consent. The District Plan currently lists educational facilities as a discretionary activity and the proposed change will therefore apply to all educational facilities, including schools, where a designation is not in place. Given the Ministry's requirement to provide outdoor recreational and leisure areas within their facilities, which are often used by the local community, the Ministry is of the view that it is unnecessary that the Ministry is required to provide financial contributions for recreational or leisure areas, over and above those provided by schools, where a discretionary or non-complying consent is needed.	Support Plan Change 57 provided the inclusion of the following amendment to Rule 11.3.4.2(a) to exclude educational facilities, as follows: (a) Recreation and Leisure "This will be charge in accordance with 11.3.3 (a) for all activities excluding educational facilities and seasonal worker accommodation. "
PC58	8.3 Activity Lists	1	8.3.6 Prohibited Activities - 8.3.6(a)(ii) Coastal Protection Areas (Subdivision)	2	1	Downey Survey Consultants Ltd	Support with Amendment	I support the proposed change as it acknowledges that the inability to update cross-lease titles in the primary risk coastal protection area was unintended. The logic outlined applies equally to the conversion of cross-lease titles to freehold titles or where consented dwellings are established but have not yet been formally cross-leased. The additional exemptions would still only result in a chance of land tenure and would not place additional demands on services or result in additional risk.	Adopt Plan Change with an amendment to Rule 8.3.6 (a) (ii) that reads; "Subdivision (excluding minor boundary adjustments, updates to cross-lease flats plans and upgrading to freehold titles which incorporate consented building developments".
				5	2	Stratum Consultants	Support with Amendment	Plan Change is supported. However, the conversion of cross lease titles to freehold titles and subdivision of properties containing two existing dwellings should also be excluded from the prohibited activity status where the development has been completed. In this case, the	Approve the Proposed Plan Change, with the addition of excluding cross lease to freehold subdivision and subdivision of properties containing two existing dwellings from being a prohibited activity.

								development is existing and will only result in a change of legal land tenure.	
PC59	3 Definitions	1	Soils Engineer	23	4	Aurecon	Support with Amendment	We support in part, however the Council's current practice as outlined in the Development Code has been to accept and adopt the TCC accredited soils engineer system to reduce risk to Council. Accreditation can only be achieved through long serving practice and expert knowledge of soils and ground conditions in the District. Certification is granted following an interview process before a panel of experts. It is critically important that the high standard currently in place is upheld.	That the Plan Change be approved but with amendments to address the submitter's concerns. That the definition be specifically amended to refer to "accredited" and the Category 1&2 geotechnical engineers qualifying under the definition. Alternatively, adopt the wording from the TCC Infrastructure Development Code.
PC60	3 Definitions	1	Definition of Cleanfill	20	4	Toi Te Ora Public Health	Support	Improperly disposed waste can lead to public health risk e.g. by encouraging vermin which carry disease, create odour or contaminate land and water. A recent waste survey conducted by Waikato and BOP Regional Council highlights the potential risk of rural waste disposal activities. The proposal to include a definition of "Cleanfill" is supported. It will raise awareness of what solid waste may be disposed on private land and allow Council to improve management of solid waste.	That Council includes a definition of "Cleanfill" in Section 3 - Definitions of the District Plan.
PC61	19.4.1 Activity Performance Standards	1	19.4.1(e)(iii) - Minimum Parking Requirements for Dwellings in Town Centre	20	5	Toi Te Ora Public Health	Oppose	Existing parking provisions are based on demand for free parking at the peak hour for each site. This creates an oversupply and fails to recognise the value of land used for car parks. It also reduces availability of land and drives up the price of urban land and distributes the cost of free parking throughout the economy. Minimum parking requirements undermine sustainable development by inhibiting compact growth and subsidising single-occupant vehicle trips. This is at odds with SmartGrowth.	That Council consider removing minimum parking requirements in town centres and explore other responses to parking management.
PC62	Omokoroa Structure Plan - Appendix 7 and Planning Maps	1	4.2 Omokoroa Structure Plan Rooding Schedule, 4.3 - Omokoroa Rooding Projects Plan and Map U59	3	1	Progressive Enterprises Limited	Support	Support the thrust of Plan change 62.	That the Plan Change as notified be approved unchanged.
				9	1	Thwaites, Donald Alan	Oppose	I oppose the Plan Change as proposed. I support in part the deletion of the Prole Road to Francis Road structure plan road that transverses a future storm water valley. My objection is based on the importance of connectivity in the long term for a vibrant community. I believe affordability is better addressed through other available options such as remission of financial contributions. Due to debt already incurred in this area, I believe it is inequitable to reduce financial contributions in this catchment below other WBOP urban areas of Waihi Beach, Katikati and Te Puke. In Bethlehem, the connection of Beaumaris Link and Sterling Gate Drive provides safe	WBOPDC needs to endorse the Omokoroa structure plan road from Prole Road to Hamurana Road to support development over the long term. Timing of construction and funding reflective on development. With over \$60 million of WBOPDC debt tied up in Omokoroa, innovative ways of encouraging development must be explored. Retain Hamurana Rd extension south to Prole Road and create a separate internal road from the Hamurana Rd extension just south of the railway line to run roughly parallel with Omokoroa Road south to the new Francis Road re-alignment.

							connectivity within this popular community. A completed Hamurana Road will deliver similar benefits to the Omokoroa community. Affordability of development throughout the whole District is a key issue but this Plan Change is not the way to address this problem.	
			12	1	JCM Projects Ltd	Support	We support Plan Change 62 with respect to financial contributions in the Omokoroa area on the basis that it will facilitate growth and we support any further reduction of development contributions in the future. We agree the feasibility of development in Omokoroa is marginal. The Plan Change will encourage development and will provide for social, economic and cultural wellbeing on Omokoroa.	We seek the Plan Change be adopted and Council continues to refine and make changes to the current calculation of financial contributions in the Omokoroa area to reduce the cost of development which is currently significantly higher than others areas such as Tauranga.
			13	1	Omokoroa Developments Ltd	Support	<p>We support Council for taking some positive action to reduce the level of roading contributions.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The Council's "growth pays for growth" approach needs reconsideration. Although Council has significantly reduced the level of roading infrastructure through this Plan Change, Council should further review the rates funded component in the financial contribution schedule to more fairly apportion additional costs to ratepayers. This is appropriate given ratepayers and the District will benefit from growth and improve infrastructure this creates. - A further issue is that there is still a significant imbalance in the cost of developing a section between TCC and WBOPDC. Until this is corrected, the growth of Omokoroa will continue to struggle. - Although financial contributions per lot is proposed to reduce under Plan Change 62, the timing applied to the payment will continue to affect the number of sections created. Council should consider partial deferral of payment to the building consent for dwellings as currently undertaken by TCC. This will act to reduce the front end cost to subdividers. - Currently financial contributions are charged on a per lot/dwelling basis. We consider that the option of charging on a per hectare approach to divide the cost of servicing across an area of land should be incorporated. This would minimise the risk of under-collection, would result in an incentive for increased yield (the financial contributions a fixed cost for developers) and aligns with the primary cost driver for infrastructure which is based on the area of land to be serviced. - The review of further aspects of the 	That the Plan Change be approved with amendments to address the submitters concerns.

							Omokoroa Structure Plan, in particular stormwater infrastructure is seen as a critical consideration for further reducing overall costs.	
			105	1	JCM Projects Ltd	Oppose	<p>We consider that the affordability of development issue raised in the submission is directly addressed by Plan Change 62.</p> <p>The purpose of the plan change is to ensure that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the level of contribution is sustainable. • that the rate of urbanisation and development at Omokoroa increases • that the cost burden is reduced over time • that infrastructure, transport, planning, and design outcomes are appropriate. <p>We disagree with the submitter that it is inequitable to reduce FINCOs below others in urban growth areas. Other areas noted in the submission are not currently subject to a plan change process.</p> <p>Although we agree that FINCOS may also be unsustainable in other areas, (for example Te Puke) the Council should be commended for their work in reducing the FINCOs which apply to Omokoroa. It is understood that FINCOs in other areas are to be reconsidered as part of a separate review process along with matters such as timing of payment, the Councils FINCO philosophy and a similar review of structure plans. The alternative proposed is the submission is unclear.</p>	Reject the matters raised by the submitter and also seek through an urgent pre-hearing meeting that the submitter withdraws his opposing submission such that Plan Change 62 can be made operative as soon as possible.
			106	1	Omokoroa Developments Ltd	Oppose	<p>We consider that the affordability of development issue raised in the submission is directly addressed by Plan Change 62. The purpose of the plan change is to ensure that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the level of contribution is sustainable. • that the rate of urbanisation and development at Omokoroa increases • that the cost burden is reduced over time • that infrastructure, transport, planning, and design outcomes are appropriate. <p>We disagree with the submitter that it is inequitable to reduce FINCOs below others in urban growth areas. Other areas noted in the submission are not currently subject to a plan change process.</p> <p>Although we agree that FINCOS may also be unsustainable in other areas, (for example Te Puke) the Council should be commended for their work in reducing the FINCOs which apply to Omokoroa. It is understood that FINCOs in other areas are to be reconsidered as part of a</p>	Reject the matters raised by the submitter and also seek through an urgent pre-hearing meeting that the submitter withdraws his opposing submission such that Plan Change 62 can be made operative as soon as possible.

								separate review process along with matters such as timing of payment, the Councils FINCO philosophy and a similar review of structure plans. The alternative proposed is the submission is unclear.	
PC65	Planning Maps	1	Planning Maps U12, U154, U155 and U156 - Removal of Landscape Features from Residential Zones	8	8	Bay Of Plenty Regional Council	Support	Support amending Natural Features and Landscape overlays at the specified locations in the residential zone only. Review of the Bay of Plenty Regional Coastal Environment Plan and the Proposed Regional Coastal Environment Plan shows that there are no Outstanding Natural Features and Landscape or Regionally Significant Features and Landscape overlays at any of the three areas specified. We note however hearings on the Proposed Regional Coastal Environment Plan are still to occur and the ONFL areas could alter as a result of Council's decisions on submissions. Bay of Plenty Regional Council notes that all three sites are within the coastal environment and will therefore be subject to Policy 15 of the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement. We note that district significance was established by technical assessment of landscapes recorded in "The Visual Landscape – Western Bay of Plenty District Visual Landscape Evaluation" Boffa Miskell, October 1993. The level of significance was confirmed in the Western Bay of Plenty Landscape Review of 2008. To avoid setting a precedent for removal of district landscape overlays without having relied on technical assessments, Regional Council encourages WBOPDC to ensure any removal of the overlay has regard to Policy 15, particularly subsection (c) of that policy, and clearly records exceptional specialist reasons that explain why the landscape overlay is being removed.	Retain as notified.
PC66	7.3 Activity Lists	1	7.3.3 Discretionary Activities - 7.3.3(c) and (f) Excavation and Alteration of Features	7	3	Heritage New Zealand	Support with Amendment	Heritage NZ supports the proposed amendment to Rule 7.3.3 (c) and (f). While this creates clarity that the rule only pertains to cultural heritage features, we seek that the word "scheduled" is retained to ensure the consideration of the cultural heritage features is of those within the heritage schedule. We acknowledge that the removal of the words "archaeological sites" is acceptable as the heritage schedule includes significant archaeological sites from a previous Plan Change.	That revised Rule 7.3.3, is retained as notified, except that the word "scheduled" is added before the words "cultural heritage feature" in all instances.
				8	9	Bay Of Plenty Regional Council	Support	Support the recommendation to delete Discretionary Activity 7.3.3(c) and merge 'alteration' and 'reconstruction' components into Discretionary Activity 7.3.3(f), while making it clear this rule only	Retain as notified.

								applies to cultural heritage features. The amendments will avoid duplication in the plan and align the rule with its original intent while providing certainty to iwi and hapu what types of activities are covered by the rule. The changes proposed are considered consistent with the following iwi resource management provisions in the Operative Bay of Plenty Regional Policy Statement:	
PC66	7.3 Activity Lists	2	7.3.3 Discretionary Activities - 7.3.3(x) Protection of Non-Built Aspects of Built Heritage Features	7	4	Heritage New Zealand	Support	Heritage NZ supports the introduction of a new discretionary activity rule protecting those parts of built heritage features which are "non-built" but which contribute to the significance of that feature. The surroundings of the feature are important and contribute to heritage values.	That the proposed rule is retained.
				8	10	Bay Of Plenty Regional Council	Support	The preferred option is supported which introduces a new Discretionary Activity to protect non-built parts of heritage features which contribute to the significance of built heritage features, and to combine the bridge embankment (T13/341) with the bridge heritage features 66. This is consistent with Policy MN 1B 'Recognise and provide for matters of national importance' as it clearly identifies the matters of national importance relevant to Section 6(f) 'historic heritage' in the District Plan and provides for their protection from inappropriate subdivision, use and development.	Retain as notified.
PC66	7.3 Activity Lists	3	7.3.4 Non Complying Activity - Demolition and Destruction of Heritage Features	7	5	Heritage New Zealand	Support	Heritage NZ supports the proposed amendment to Rule 7.3.4 as the word "destruction" more helpfully covers the destruction of cultural or archaeological sites whereas demolition usually refers to demolition of built items.	That the proposed amendments are retained.
				8	11	Bay Of Plenty Regional Council	Support	Support the recommendation to amend Non Complying Activity 7.3.4 to include 'or destruction' to make it clear the rule applies to cultural heritage features. Regional Council agrees there is a risk the current wording could be argued against encompassing the protection of cultural heritage features as the word 'demolition' is commonly applied in the context of buildings only. The changes proposed are considered consistent with the following iwi resource management provisions in the Operative Bay of Plenty Regional Policy Statement: Policy IW 5B: Adverse effects on matters of significance to Maori Policy IW 2B: Recognising matters of significance to Maori	Retain as notified.
PC66-2	Whole of Plan Change	1	Whole of Plan Change	14	1	Te Mana O Ngati Rangitahi Trust	Support	Support the proposed Plan Change 66.	The protection of cultural heritage is upheld and adhered to.
PC67	18.4.1 Activity Performance Standards	1	18.4.1(c) Yards (i)(e) Setback from Rural Contractors Depot	8	12	Bay Of Plenty Regional Council	Support	Support Plan Change. Regional Council emphasises the need to protect the	Retain as notified.

								productive potential of rural production activities from potential reverse sensitivity effects. To that end the District Plan must give effect to the following relevant Operative RPS rural development objective and policies: Objective 26: The productive potential of the region's rural land resource is sustained and the growth and efficient operation of rural production activities are provided for.' Policy UF 18B: Managing rural development and protecting versatile land Policy UF 20B: Managing reverse sensitivity effects in rural areas Policy UG 23B: Providing for the operation and growth of rural production activities	
				11	1	Stewart, David John Keith	Support	We support Plan Change 67 with respect to setbacks of sensitive activities from rural contractor depots. We consider that all dwellings should be setback a minimum of 60m from contractor depots to avoid reverse sensitive effects.	We seek that the Council grants the Plan Change.
				53	7	Horticulture NZ and NZ Kiwifruit Growers Inc	Support	Recognition of rural production activities is supported as it is consistent with the approach in both the RPS and the District Plan.	Recognition of rural production activities is supported as it is consistent with the approach in both the RPS and the District Plan.
PC67-2	15.4.1 and 16.4.1 Activity Performance Standards	1	15.4.1 Future Urban Yards and 16.4.1 - Rural Residential Yards - Setbacks from Rural Contractor Depots	21	6	Horticulture New Zealand And New Zealand Kiwifruit Growers Incorporation	Support with Amendment	Plan Change 67 establishes a setback for sensitive activities from rural contractor depots but it only applies to sensitive activities in the Rural Zone.	Amend future urban and rural residential zone setback provisions to apply setbacks of 30m from rural contractor depots where they are located adjoining the boundary with the Rural Zone.
PC68	Appendix 3	1	Appendix 3 - Schedule of Identified Significant Heritage Features - Built Heritage Feature 31 - Former Union Bank Building	7	6	Heritage New Zealand	Oppose	Oppose the deletion of this feature. The preferred option has not been supported or informed by a heritage assessment from a suitably qualified expert. We note that the s32 contains a brief heritage assessment from when the building was included in the District Plan, when it was also considered to be in poor condition, yet this did not stop its inclusion. We expect the building would only be eligible for removal if it retains insufficient heritage values to justify retention on the schedule. Effectively the s32 report is arguing that delayed maintenance/neglect is a reason for the current owner to not have to bear the perceived additional cost of complying with any heritage rules. This is despite the District Plan providing for the waiving of resource consent fees and applying a 50% reduction on building and other fees associated with preservation works on scheduled heritage features. Heritage New Zealand is concerned that this same thought process could be applied to other heritage features and urges Council to address the matter of precedent.	That Plan Change 68 is put on hold until a heritage assessment is undertaken by a suitably qualified heritage expert to assess the current heritage values of Built Heritage Feature 31 and its worthiness of being retained in Appendix 3 - Schedule of Identified Significant Heritage Features.
				49	1	Boyed, Margaret Jean	Oppose	Heritage New Zealand states that a brief	Remove the building from the identified

Summary Report for the 2014 Plan Changes 47-68

								<p>Heritage Assessment was included in the Sec 32 report. If this is brief then why was the building scheduled as a Heritage Feature in the first instance without further specialist information? The designation process was inadequate in that the property owner was not properly informed and did not understand the implications from the advice that they received. They are adamant that much of the building is not in its original form and have serious concerns about safety obligations. It is not the perceived costs of having to upgrade the building - it is the actual cost. Council waiving of fees is only a minor fraction of the works and costs required to upgrade the building. If the building is to be designated by Council as a heritage feature then Heritage New Zealand and/or Council should be contributing towards the costs of maintenance/upgrading of the building if they deem that this is required. As far as we are aware Heritage New Zealand have not even viewed the property, particularly the interior of the building. The Plan Change was discussed with Heritage New Zealand who indicated they did not place the designation and as such the thoroughness and qualification of the original assessment is questioned. We reiterate there was a lack of adequate consultation with the property owner. Precedent is irrelevant in this case. Precedent is a concern that similar proposals should be dealt with in a similar way. Approving a plan change to remove the building from the scheduled heritage feature register has no precedent effect in the strict sense as each proposal has its own particular set of circumstances and characteristics. The Act requires plan changes to be considered on their own merits. The extent of influence that this proposal might have on other applications will entirely depend on the similarities and the timing of applications.</p>	<p>significant feature list as proposed by Plan Change 68.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--